

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Revenue* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 811

Press~~Mis.~~

188 189

Dated

11-4-1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th April 1862.*

No. 188. Read the following letter from the Honorable C. PELLY, Member of the Board of Revenue, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Chepauk, 31st January 1862, No. 1,159 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to reply to the several points noticed by Government in their Proceedings of the 1st November last, No. 2,037, on my recommendation for the revision of the Sea Custom Establishments at the Out Ports.

2. With reference to paragraph 13 of the order, observing that Shroffs should be entertained only at ports where the Customs Revenue exceeds Rupees 30,000, I have the honor to state for the information of Government, that in the Statement of Proposed Establishments it will be observed that such Officers are allowed only for ports whose Revenue exceeds 30,000 Rupees, with the exception of the two Ports of Tondi and Kilakarai in the Madura District. My reason for allowing Shroffs at these two ports, as explained in paragraph 22 of my report, dated 12th July 1861, No. 992, was, because at these Treasuries the Salt Revenue would also be collected. Those two Shroffs have been retained accordingly.

3. In reference to Clause III of paragraph 14, enquiring who the Superintendent of Sea Customs at Paumben is, and how he is connected with the Police, I beg to state that the Sea Custom Chowkedar at Paumben is the Superintendent alluded to in my report. He receives a monthly salary of Rupees 50. This Officer was originally employed as a Police Amin on 35 Rupees, and in 1818, when the place of the Assistant Pilot was abolished, his pay was raised to 50 Rupees, out of which Rupees 15 was charged to the Police, and the remainder to the Marine Department.

4. Adverting to Clause V of paragraph 14, I beg to state that out of the forty-three Peons allowed for the Port of Kalai, thirty-eight are stated by the Collector to be required solely for guarding the approaches to Mahè.

5. As requested in paragraph 15 of the order, I beg to submit a Tabular Statement of the present and proposed Sea Custom Establishments at the Out Ports.

6. With reference to paragraph 16, I beg to state that the collective Board are now engaged in the revision of the Madras Sea Customs Establishment, which I had already commenced, and I trust that a report will shortly be sent to Government.

No. 189. ORDER THEREON, 11th April 1862, No. 811.

1. The thirty-eight Peons stated in paragraph 4 of this letter to be required solely for guarding the approaches to Mahè have properly no place in the present Statement, which refers to Sea Custom Establishments.

2. Omitting these Peons, as also the Establishment for North Canara, which District is about to be transferred to Bombay, the additional expenditure involved by the scheme now in question will be Rupees 1,199 a month, as shown below :—

	Per mensem. RS.
Total of the new Establishments as shown in the Tabular Statement...	6,096
Deduct North Canara Establishments.	582
Do. pay of the thirty-eight Peons referred to above, at 5 Rupees a month	190
	772
	5,324
Sanctioned Establishment, omitting North Canara	4,125
	1,199

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

for the Port of Kalai; 38 are stated by the Collector to be required solely for guarding the approaches to Mahe.

5. As requested in Para 15 of the order I beg to submit a Tabular Statement of the Present and proposed Sea Custom Establishments at the out Ports.

b. With reference to para: 16, I beg to state that the Collection Board are now engaged in the revision of the Sea Custom Establishments which I had already commenced; and I trust that a report will shortly be sent to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. P.
Helly
Member.

Revenue 1862 Oct 18th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th

Pro 1st Nov 4 - 14th 3-4 -
14 March 1862 - 237 - 232

Copy in a Dr to E.
of 11th & 1862 8421.
23. 9. 110

Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th

Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th

Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th

What is the why is it
necessary to have 30 men to guard
the approaches and

The 38th Dec 1862 and from
a of the letter to the Regiments
to be by the ground being the approaches
to the houses properly in place
in the present situation of the
regiments to the 38th Dec 1862

Something like this, as
also the 38th Dec 1862 and from
the 38th Dec 1862 and from
the 38th Dec 1862 and from
the 38th Dec 1862 and from

Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th

Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th
Pro of Gov for 25th Dec 288th

22 March

17884189.

William order

17884189.