M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 73	Press Mis.
146-147	
Dated 18. 1. 1862.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 18th January 1862.

No. 146. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th November 1861, No. 6,417 :—

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Chittoor, 9th November 1861, No. 272.

SIR,

1. In the orders of Government, dated 25th October 1861, No. 2,038, forwarded to me with your foot note, dated 29th idem, No. 5,929, I am directed to explain why the money therein alluded to was not recovered from the Constable responsible for the same.

2. On the loss of the money being reported to the Sub-Magistrate of Kangundi, that Officer inquired into the matter, and eventually committed to the Criminal Court the two Constables who, in his opinion, were answerable for the safe custody of the cash. They were indicted for fraud. The case was tried before the Mufti Sudder Amín, who convicted the 1st prisoner Viranna, but acquitted and released the 2nd, on the ground that the charge was not established against him.

3. The sentence on the 1st prisoner was three months' imprisonment with hard labor in irons, and fine of Rupees 10, in default further imprisonment of three months under Section 7, Regulation X of 1816. On the receipt of the report of the case, I wrote* to the Session Judge, observing that Act XVI of 1850 empowers Judicial Officers to make good by fine from the convicted party the full amount of loss sustained, and took objection to the Mufti Sudder Amín's sentence on the ground that the provision of the Law had been lost sight of.

* 27th June 1861.

4. The Session Judge in reply stated that he had already reviewed the Mufti Sudder Amín's sentence in the case, and pointed out the inadequacy of the sentence.

5. The fine not having been paid, the prisoner is now by the terms of the sentence undergoing the further imprisonment ordered in commutation.

6. Under these circumstances, the Board will admit it was not possible to have done more than was done towards making good this loss.

Submitted for the information of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 147. ORDER THEREON, 18th January 1862, No. 73.

Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 6,417.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 20th November 1861.

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Chittoor, 9th November 1861, No. 272:—

1. In the orders of Government, dated 25th October 1861, No. 2,038, forwarded to me with your foot note, dated 29th idem, No. 5,929, I am directed to explain why the money therein alluded to was not recovered from the Constable responsible for the same.

2. On the loss of the money being reported to the Sub Magistrate of Kangundi, that Officer inquired into the matter, and eventually committed to the Criminal Court the two Constables who in his opinion were answerable for the safe custody of the cash. They were indicted for fraud. The case was tried before the Mufti Sudder Amin who convicted the 1st prisoner Viranna, but acquitted and released the 2nd, on the ground that the charge was not established against him.

3. The sentence on the 1st prisoner was three months' imprisonment with hard labor in irons, and fine of Rupees 10, in default further imprisonment of three months under Section 7, Regulation X of 1816. On the receipt of the report of the case, I wrote* to the Session Judge observing that Act XVI of 1850 empowers Judicial Officers to make good by fine from the convicted party the full amount of loss sustained, and took objection to the Mufti Sudder Amin's sentence on the ground that the provision of the Law had been lost sight of.

* 27th June 1861.

4. The Session Judge in reply stated that he had already reviewed the Mufti Sudder Amin's sentence in the case, and pointed out the inadequacy of the sentence.

5. The fine not having been paid, the prisoner is now by the terms of the sentence undergoing the further imprisonment ordered in commutation.

6. Under these circumstances, the Board will admit it was not possible to have done more than was done towards making good this loss.

Submitted for the information of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. Hudleston

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev 1861 Department.

Pro: of Govt for 4 Jans 62.

No. 2776

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 20*th November* 1861.
Despatched. 26 " —
Received. 26 " —

No. 6417.

Submitting for information a letter from the Collector of North Arcot forwarding explanation regarding certain sum lost,

RECORDED

Fort St. George
18 Jany 1862