

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 710	Press Mis.
516-517	
Dated	31. 3. 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
31st March 1862.*

No. 516. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th March 1862,
No. 1,488:—

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLE-
STON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 12th February 1862,
No. 50.

SIR,

Extent.....	V. M. G.
	1 1 80
Tariff assessment.....	Rs. A. P.
	50 4 6
Deduct Shróttrium Jédi.....	3 11 0
Difference.....	26 9 6

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2,864 of 1861, addressed to the Board by Narrainasami Aiyan, applying for permission to sue in the established Courts for the recovery of certain Shróttrium land, situated in the village of Pilvalisai in the Puttukottai Taluq, and the extent and value of which are noted in the margin.

2. From the enquiries made, as also from an examination of the Survamaniem records in this Office, it appears that V. 4,200, M. 5, G. 83½ of Nanja, Punja, &c., land in Nellivayal, and 132 other villages, were, in the year 1784, granted by Tulja Maharajah to a body of Brahmmins of Vellampattamahai on Shróttrium tenure, under a Sicca Sanad which is entered in No. 24 of the Register made in the year 1810; V. 51, M. 3, G. 31½ of Nanja, Punja, &c., land in the village in which the land now under dispute is situated, and in another village were, at the time of the register, in the enjoyment of Lutchmanaiyan and 17 others.

3. Petitioner states, that his father Venkatteswariyan was in enjoyment of certain extent of land in the said village, including that now under dispute, which descended to him from his ancestors by hereditary succession; that about twenty years ago, his father mortgaged five-eighths of a Pangu of the land to Andiappa Chetti (defendant's father), and borrowed of him Rupees 95; that subsequently he (Petitioner) again mortgaged eleven-sixteenths of a Pangu of the land to the said individual, and borrowed of him a further sum of Rupees 125; that the two deeds of mortgage aforesaid contain a stipulation to the effect, that the mortgager should restore possession of the land, whenever the amount of mortgage is repaid; that the mortgagee has subsequently died; and that Mutturaman Chetti, son of the deceased, declining, however, to act up to the stipulation, Petitioner seeks to recover the land by means of a Civil action.

4. The Defendant admits the Petitioner's statement, inasmuch as it relates to the mortgage of the land, and states that he has re-mortgaged the same to two other parties named Annavanjan and Malayappaiyan; that the Petitioner's father borrowed of his father Rupees 42 and odd, irrespective of the said amount, and executed to him a deed binding himself to repay the same, together with the mortgage amount, but that if the Petitioner re-paid the whole amount due by him as aforesaid, he has no objection to restore possession of the land.

5. The two re-mortgagees above named, confirms the Defendant's statement.
6. I see no objection to the permission sought by the Petitioner being granted.

Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation, that Petitioner's request be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 517.

ORDER THEREON, 31st March 1862, No. 710.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Narrainasami Aiyan to sue in the established Courts for one Veli and odd of Shróttrium land situated in Pilvalisai village, Puttukottai Taluq, Tanjore District.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 1,488.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 6th March 1862.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 12th February 1862, No. 50:—

	V. M. G.
Extent	1 1 80
	RS. A. P.
Taruf assessment.....	30 4 6
Deduct Shrotriem Jodi....	3 11 0
Difference.....	26 9 6

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2,864 of 1861, addressed to the Board by Narrainasami Aiyar, applying for permission to sue in the established Courts for the recovery of certain Shrotriem land, situated in the village of Pilvalisai in the Puttukottai Taluk, and the extent and value of which are noted in the margin.

2. From the inquiries made, as also from an examination of the Survamaniem records in this Office, it appears that V. 4,200, M. 5, G. 83½ of Nunjah, Punjab, &c., land in Nellivayal, and 132 other villages, were, in the year 1784, granted by Tulja Maharajah to a body of Brahmins of Vellam-pattamahall on Shrotriem tenure, under a Sicca Sunnud, which is entered in No. 24 of the Register made in the year 1810; V. 51, M. 3, G. 31½ of Nunjah, Punjab, &c., land in the village in which the land now under dispute is situated, and in another village were, at the time of the register, in the enjoyment of Lutchmanaiyan and 17 others.

3. Petitioner states, that his father Venkatteswariyan was in enjoyment of certain extent of land in the said village, including that now under dispute, which descended to him from his ancestors by hereditary succession; that about twenty years ago, his father mortgaged five-eighths of a Pangu of the land to Andiappa Chetti (Defendant's father), and borrowed of him Rupees 96; that subsequently he (Petitioner) again mortgaged eleven-sixteenths of a Pangu of the land to the said individual, and borrowed of him a further sum of Rupees 125; that the two deeds of mortgage aforesaid contain a stipulation to the effect, that the mortgager should restore possession of the land, whenever the amount of mortgage is repaid; that the mortgagee has subsequently died; and that Mutturaman Chetti, son of the deceased, declining, however, to act up to the stipulation, Petitioner seeks to recover the land by means of a Civil action.

4. The Defendant admits the Petitioner's statement, inasmuch as it relates to the mortgage of the land, and states that he has re-mortgaged the same to two other parties named Annavanjan and Malayappaian; that the Petitioner's father borrowed of his father Rupees 42 and odd, irrespective of the said amount, and executed to him a deed binding himself to repay the same, together with the mortgage amount, but that if the Petitioner re-paid the whole amount due by him as aforesaid, he has no objection to restore possession of the land.

5. The two re-mortgagees above named, confirms the Defendant's statement.

6. I see no objection to the permission sought by the Petitioner being granted.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that Petitioner's request be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. M. Cadell

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. A. Ballard, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Decr 1862. Department.
 Dear your father

692.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 6th March 1862.

Despatched. 11 o'clock

Received, 12th

Feb 1893

No. 1,488.

Submitting a letter from the Collector or
Magistrate reporting on Petition No 2864 of 1861,
and recommending that Petitioner be permitted
to sue for the recovery of certain lands.

Si 710 - 750 Chaud
Wills are order

82710

London Oct 2. 1851

The Fort Howard Reservation
situate to me in the Eastern
County for one well and good
situate land situated in
Pittsburg village, Pittsburg
County, Kansas District.

Prima

And^{ts} Geo^l
3rd March 1862

Office of the Mayor
with Edition - Dec 18 1855

© 24 March 2024

Ms 764517