

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 702	Press ~~Mis.~~
500.501	
Dated	29. 3. 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 500. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th March 1862, No. 1,617:—

Read the following Tabular Report from Mr. WOOD, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Cuddalore, 24th December 1861, No. 172, on a lapsed Dehaut Inam Pension in that District:—

Case.			Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.			Decision of Government.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Meer Baiker Hoossain, son of Meer Raza Ally, No. 2 in the Statement of shares sent to Board with letter, dated 30th March 1847.			Meer Raza Ally, great grandson in the male line, died on the 31st May 1861, as per report from the Tahsildar of Tindivanam.			The deceased was in the enjoyment of one-ninth share or Rupees 58-5-4, the other members enjoy their respective shares excepting No. 5 in which case 19 Rupees lapsed to Government as per Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 1st February 1853, Rupees 19-5-4 also lapses to Government in No. 6 as per Extract from Minutes of Consultation, under date the 5th September 1853, conveyed in the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th September 1853, No. 2,400.		
The two villages of Navelpaukum and Manalvaudy in late Chaitpet Taluq were resumed, and a money pension of Rupees 52½ per annum sanctioned by Government, 29th July 1843, and communicated in the Board's Proceedings, 7th August 1843, which was sub-divided as per order conveyed in the Board's Proceedings, dated 6th May 1847.			These two villages were originally granted by the late Nawab of Carnatic to Syed Goolam Hussain, and on their resumption the money pension allotted to in the foregoing column was granted during the pleasure of Government. No Sanads are submitted as the villages have been resumed.			The deceased left a son (the present claimant) aged 30, by first wife who died 25 years ago. Besides that on the individual the surviving relations of the deceased are as follows, viz:—		
When and by whom granted, name of the original grantee, Sanads or other documents in support of the grant.			Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.			Family left by the deceased, name and age of the parties, their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.		
Nature of the grant, charity or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.			Nature of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.			Recommendation of the Collector, the grounds on which it was made.		
For subsistence.			The deceased left a son (the present claimant) aged 30, by first wife who died 25 years ago. Besides that on the individual the surviving relations of the deceased are as follows, viz:—			It appears from the records that on the death of two of the shareholders (Nos. 5 and 6) in this pension, the Government in their letters under dates 1st February 1853, No. 126, and 5th September 1855, No. 1,021, sanctioned the continuance of two-thirds of their portion for the maintenance of their family.		
						The case of the sharer, whose death is now reported, is similar to that of the deceased sharer, and the Collector in consequence begs to recommend that two-thirds of the deceased's share of the pension, viz., Rupees 39 be continued in the name of the claimant from the date on which his father died.		
						The two Inam villages in the late Chaitpet Taluq have since been transferred to North Arcot District, in which the Pensioner resides, but as the ready money pension sanctioned by Government, is payable in this District, ment, is payable in this District, the usual Tabular Statement is prepared and submitted.		

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th March 1862.*

	RS.	A.	P.
Original grant.....	525	0	0
First lapse Rupees 19 ..	0	0	0
Second „ „ 19 ..	38	9	0
	487	0	0
Lapse now recommended.	19	0	0
	468	0	0

1. The particulars of this grant, as entered in the foregoing Statement, have been verified in this Office.

* 2. The distribution of the original amount of pension, Rupees 525, was sanctioned by Government on the 29th April 1847, and entered in the name of the representative of the family, Syed Hussain Mohideen, to continue during the pleasure of Government.

3. On the 5th September 1855, the Board submitted the case of a lapsed share equal in amount to the one now under reference, viz., Rupees 58-5-4 for the orders of Government, when the continuance of nearly two-thirds of it, Rupees 39, was sanctioned to Meer Syed Ally, younger brother of Meer Rajah Hoossain, the deceased.

4. Under the views expressed by the late Honorable Court in Despatch* of 18th May 1842, the Board recommend that as on the last occasion Rupees 39 be continued in the present instance to Meer Bauker Hoossain, son of Meer Raza Ally, from the date of the latter's demise. This further lapse of Rupees 19 per annum in the deceased's share will reduce the amount of the original grant to Rupees 468.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 501.

ORDER THEREON, 29th March 1862, No. 702.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Read the following Tabular Report from .

PROCEEDINGS OF
WOOD, ESQ., Collector of South
AND OF REVENUE, dated 11th March 1862.

Case.	Taluk and Village, extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted, name of the grantee, Sums or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members.	Family left by the deceased, name and age of the parties, their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	The two villages of Navelpaukum and Manalvaudy in late Chaitpet Taluk were resumed, and a money pension of Rupees 525 per annum sanctioned by Government, 29th July 1843, and communicated in the Board's Proceedings, 7th August 1843, which was sub-divided as per order conveyed in the Board's Proceedings, dated 6th May 1847.	These two villages were originally granted by the late Nabob of Carnatic to Syed Goolam Hussain, and on their resumption the money pension alluded to in the foregoing column was granted during the pleasure of Government. No Sums were submitted as the villages have been resumed.	For subsistence.	Meer Raza Ally, great grandson in the male line, died on the 31st May 1861, as per report from the Tahsildar of Tindavannam.	The deceased was in the enjoyment of one-ninth share or Rupees 58-5-4, the other members enjoy their respective shares excepting from Minutes of Consultation, dated 1st February 1853, Rupees 19-5-4 also lapses to Government in No. 6 as per Extract No. 5 in which case 19 Rupees lapsed to Government as per Minutes of Consultation, under date the 5th September 1855, conveyed in the Board's Proceedings, dated 17th September 1855, No. 2,400.	The deceased left a son (the present claimant) aged 30, by 1st wife who died 25 years ago. Besides the individual the surviving relations of the deceased are as follows, viz. :— 1. His second wife Paucha Beebee ... 40 2. His daughter Kayer Beebee ... 20 3. His brother Asadoolah ... 20 4. His younger sister Jayer Beebee ... 50 5. Abbasee Beebee, niece by his elder brother ... 40 6. Zeenud Beebee, niece by ... 30 7. Horee Beebee, niece by do. ... 26 8. Syed Kazumally nephew by his elder brother ... 20 9. Syed Abbasally nephew by his elder sister ... 19 10. Meer Mahadi Ally do. ... 45 11. Sharo Beebee niece by his elder sister ... 40 All of whom have been participating in share of the grant allotted to the deceased No. 1 and 2 reside at Masulipatam. " 3 in Nabitargal in Arcot. " 4 in Tellicherry. " 5 and 7 in Cannanoor. " 6, 9, 10 and 11 in Navelpaukum. " 8 in Madras. These persons are altogether dependant upon the allowance which was drawn by the deceased, excepting No. 9, who is a sharer in this pension. No. 4 in the Statement of sharers sent to Board with letter, dated 30th March 1847.	It appears from the records that on the death of two of the shareholders (Nos. 5 and 6) in this pension, the Government in their letters under dates 1st February 1853, No. 126, and 5th September 1855, No. 1,021, sanctioned the continuance of two-thirds of their portion for the maintenance of their family.	The case of the sharer, whose death is now reported, is similar to that of the deceased sharer, and the Collector in consequence begs to recommend that two-thirds of the deceased's share of the pension, viz., Rupees 39 be continued in the name of the claimant from the date on which his father died.
2								

2. The distribution of the original amount of pension, Rupees 525, was sanctioned by Government on the 29th April 1847, and entered in the name of the representative of the family, Syed Hussain Mohideen, to continue during the pleasure of Government.

4. Under the views expressed by the late Honorable Court in Despatch* of 18th May 1842, the Board recommend that as on the last occasion

Rupees 39 be continued in the present instance to Meer Bauker Hoossain, son of Meer Raza Ally, from the date of the latter's demise. This further lapse of Rupees 19 per annum in the deceased's share will reduce the amount of the original grant to Rupees 468.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

Copy to C. W. Reade, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

10-1-98
4-6-96
18-4-98.
26-10-05
4-12-07
7-5-09
9-6-02

108004601
29-3-62

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
No. 33 of 1862 dated 26
March 1862 to 1862
Dated 11th March 1862.
Despatched, 1862
Received, 1862.

No. 766.

Decr: 1862. Department,
 Geo: of Geo: Forthwell.

No. 1,617.

29-3-62-702

Submitting a letter from the Collector of South Arcot and recommending that Ramesh 339 be continued to Meer Banker Hoossain son of Meer Raza Ally, from the date of the latter's demise.

Ms 702

Dear Sir,