M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Revenue—DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 498 — Press Mis.

9495

Dated 7 3 1862.

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 498 — Press / Mis.

Dated Sep 19 86.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

Rev Dept

No 29 -

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Dated 13th September 1862 -

Resolved that the following distributions be made of the 100 Copies of Dr Bidie's work on "The Timber trees of India" purchased by Government:

10 Copies to the Secretary of State for India -
2 — " — Govt of India -
10 — " — Conservator of Forests for distribution -
3 — " — Govt Central Museum -
1 — " — Agri Horticultural Society -
1 — " — Madras Literary Society -
1 — " — Gun Carriage Manufactory -
1 — " — Ootacamund Library -
25 — " — Board of Revenue for themselves and distribution to Collectors -
5 — " — Commissioner of Mysore Consulting

1 Copies to the Consulting Engineer for Railways —

1 — " — Resident of Travancore

1 — " — " — the Circuit

1 — " — Governor of the French Settlement in the East Indies —

2 — " — Commissary General.

1 — " — Supt^te of the Cinchona Plantations

1 — " — D^o " Balfour —

12 — " — Members of Govt — and Secretaries.

21 — " — to be retained in Store —

One Copy to Govt India P. W. D. Instructs — Index Office Memo to Govt Madras 20 July 1864 No 29 —
One Copy to Conservator Forest Burmah — Letter 63 this —
Five Copies to Govt of India P. W. D. Secret — Office Memo 9th April 1864 No

Revenue 1862 Sept 13
Pro: of Govt for Sept.

No 29.

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dt 13 Sept 1862.

Distributing to Certain
authorities 100 Copies of
Dr Bidie's work on the
Timber trees of India.—

Mis No 498

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 7th March* 1862.

No. 94. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th November 1861, No. 6,153:—

Read again letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 30th August 1861, No. 264.

(In Cons. 4th September 1861, No. 5,618.)

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated 16th October 1861, No. 344:—

1. In reply to the Board's Order contained in their Proceedings of the 23rd ultimo, No. 5,129, I have the honor to state that on reference to the Petition Register, it appears that a petition applying for pension was presented by the Petitioner in the month of November 1850, but that no order was then passed thereon. The Petition, as already stated, is now not forthcoming; but it is to be observed that the application in question was *not* made till nearly fifteen months after the Petitioner was discharged, and his son had been placed in his situation.

2. Admitting, however, that the application so made was admissible, the circumstances connected with Petitioner's services, as particularized in paragraph 2 of my letter of the 30th August last, No. 264, are, as the Board will observe, not such as would admit of a pension being granted him.

---

In Cons. 10th July 1861, No. 4,247.

1. Resolved that the above letter with their enclosures be submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 8th July 1861, No. 1,363.

2. It appears from the above letters that the Memorialist referred to by Government was employed in the office of the Collector of Tanjore for 28 years; that in October 1849 his son was appointed to his situation on his own application; and that in November 1850, he applied to the then Collector for a pension.

3. The Collector also explains that for the nine latter years of his service he was employed in the Devastanam Department, the mangement of which is not now in the hands of Government, and that therefore his actual service towards pension is less than 20 years, the smallest period of service which would entitle him to such a provision.

4. The Board observe, however, that although the Memorialist was employed for a part of his service in the Devastanam Department, that Department was then entirely under the control of Government, and he might fairly be allowed to include such service in calculating that which would entitle him to pension. It remains, therefore, for Government to decide, whether the fact of his son having been appointed to succeed him, and of his son having failed to make his application for pension for upwards of a year after his resignation (which omission, it is observed, he accounts for by the state of his health), are sufficient grounds for refusing his request.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

---

No. 95. ORDER THEREON, 7th March 1862, No. 498.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the Memorial from Suckajee Ráu, late Davastanum Gumáshta in the Office of the Tanjore Collectorate, be forwarded to the Home authorities, and intimation of the same be given to the Memorialist.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

*Deputy Secretary to Government.*

No. 5,129.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd September 1861.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Vellum, 30th August 1861, No. 264:—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of Government under date the 8th ultimo, No. 1,363, communicated with the Board's order of the 11th idem, No. 3,669, forwarding for my report a Memorial* addressed to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, by one Sakhaji Rau, I have the honor to state that Petitioner was employed in this office in various situations for a period of 28 years up to October 1849, when, in consequence of his having been laid up with severe and prolonged illness, and on his own application, he was discharged from his office and his son was appointed to it. Petitioner, however, after his recovery, and since 1852, has been applying for a pension.

* Herewith returned.

2. During the latter part of his service, and till the date of his discharge, Petitioner was employed for a period of nine years as a Gumasta in the Devastanam Department, and the management of this Department having since been made over to the Trustees of the Pagodas, there are no funds available from which a pension can be granted him. Further, the period of his employment in the actual service of Government, exclusive of the nine years during which he was employed in the Devastanam service, falls short of 20 years, thus rendering him ineligible for pension on the Government Establishment according to the pension rules.

3. Under these circumstances, and considering more especially that, at Petitioner's own request, his situation was conferred on his son, the late Collector, Mr. Bishop, in 1853, declined to entertain his application for pension, and I am decidedly of opinion that, for the reasons above stated, the claim now again put forward by the Petitioner is inadmissible.

4. I would further beg to add that, when the Establishments attached to the Devastanam Department were abolished in consequence of the transfer of its management to the Trustees, provision was made for Petitioner's son in another Department, and the latter now accordingly holds an appointment in my office. The case of the Petitioner would, therefore, appear to be one in which a pension cannot be claimed as a matter of right, and looking to the fact that the Petitioner's son was at once provided with the full salary obtained by his father after a service of many years, I do not conceive that any hardship has been experienced by the Petitioner, or that he has claim for any special indulgence.

---

The Collector has omitted to report on the principal point in Petitioner's case, *i. e.*, whether, as he states, he applied for pension in 1850, a few months after his resignation of office, or whether he deferred doing so until 1852. It is observed that in the Collector's Endorsement on Petitioner's application for copies of all the papers in his case, dated 2nd November 1860, it is stated that the Petition presented in 1850 is not forthcoming, and the Collector will now be good enough to inform the Board by a reference to his Petition register whether it was ever presented, and what orders were passed on it.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL.
*Sub-Secretary.*

To W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, with the Petition and Enclosures.

Exd. J. Wright.

To the Right Honourable
the Governor in Council
Fort St. George

The humble petition of
Sreeraje Row late Gumastah
in the Collectorate of Jaygeer
Humbly sheweth

That your Petitioner served the Circar with diligence and faithfulness for 35 years from 1814 to 1849, that he subsequently resigned his situation in consequence of sickness, that after the lapse of 11 months he recovered, and thought he is now better, than when he resigned, he is unfit for any business from too much uneasiness both of body and mind, That he has three

Copy in a Letter to England
of 10th March 1862.

Encl. in the Proceedings of this Bd
of Revt. to Board of Govt. &c. &c.
Dated 9 November 61 No 6153.

1861 Department.

Rev 2706/61

No.

PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE,

*Dated 23rd September* 1861.

No. 5,129.

Calling for information as to whether the application for a pension stated by Sakkajee Row late Gumasta in the Devastanam Department to have been submitted by him in 1,850, was ever presented, and what orders were passed on it.

petitioned the Collector for a pension for the support of himself and of his family but having yet received no answer, requests, that the Government will in consideration of his long and faithful services, grant him a pension.—

For which act of Charity he as in Duty bound shall ever pray.

Tanjore
5th Sept.
1842

Petitioner resigned his Situation in 1046 in Consequence of ill health and his Son was put into his Situation for which station he was duly Encouraged for a pension at the time

1830 / 1854

5

To

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council
Fort St George

The humble Petition of
Surkanjee Row late a Aazoor
Goomastah in the District
Sanjore now residing at
Tranquebar

Most Respectfully Sheweth-

That your Lordships humble Petitioner begs leave to enclose his last Petition to Government for a pension, which was returned to him by the late Collector Mr Bishop, with the endorsement it bears- He will inform your Lordships of the length of Petitioner's service & the circumstances that induced him to resign- He begs to add that on several applications to the late Collector, that officer advised him to apply to Govt, considering it inexpedient for himself to recommend the Petitioner for a Pension after the interval, however accounted for, that had elapsed between Petitioners resignation & application, such assuring him at the same time, that in case his Petition should be referred to the Collector, it would receive due consideration The enclosed Petition was presented in conformity to this advice and on its receipt by the collector, your Petitioner was sent to the Civil Surgeon at Combaconum to obtain a superannuation certificate which he accordingly produced- Under such circumstances, your Lordships Petitioner is utterly at a loss to account for the late

Collector's

Collectors having taken no better notice of this application to Govt than he had done. Your Lordships humble Petitioner trusts that Govt will not admit the legality of the principle, upon which the late Collector as stated in his endorsement declined to countenance the Petitioner's Prayer. That this principle was not recognized by that officer himself, will be proved by the fact of his having acted contrary to it, as will be shown by the enclosed memorandums of instances, wherein he both pensioned the father and appointed the son. Your Lordships poor Petitioner regrets to say that several Petitions presented by him to the present Collector have received no notice and therefore humbly begs that your Lordship will compassionate the distress of an old and faithful servant of the Govt & protect him during his remaining days granting him Pension.

For which act of charity your Lordships humble petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Tanjore
Vaiguntha Rau
19th August 1854

To
The Secretary to Govt
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Petition addressed to Government by Vaiguntha Rau late Peishcar of Gamasta in the Zillah of Tanjore, praying that he may be pensioned in consideration of his long services.

2. The Petitioner states that he was appointed Peishcar of Gamasta in 1830 and held that office until 1851 when he was dismissed by the Collector Mr Ellis for alleged misconduct.

3. The Petitioner has been unsuccessful in obtaining employment since his dismissal and is now in very indigent circumstances.

4. I beg to recommend the Petitioner's case to the favorable consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Collector

Collectorate Office
Tanjore
20th August 1854

Rec'd 24th Aug 1854

No 1830 of 1854

From Succajee Rau, late Poozar Gamasta in the Zillah of Tanjore, now residing at Vaiguntha.
Dated 19th Aug 1854
Rec'd 29th do.
With 2 Enclosures.
No 54

Alludes to the Collector's Endorsement on his enclosed Petition addressed to Govt for a pension, in which he states that his pay being put into his situation he was not recommended for a pension.

Sub
In 3181 of 1854

Transferred to the Chief Secretary to Government.

By order
[illegible]
Private Secy

Govt House
30 Aug 1854
30

Petition

Rec'd 29th Aug 1854

Mete a Memorandum to show that Rau hath been employed without prejudice to their father's pensions, and prays that he may be pensioned in consideration of his long Services.

To His Excellency Sir Edward Charles Trevelyan K.C.B.

Governor in Council

Fort St. George

The humble Petition of Suckajee Rawe late Tomestah in the Treasury of the Collectorate of Tanjore.

Sheweth,

That your Petitioner is a Servant in the Collectorate of Tanjore for a period of 35 years - In Mr. Collector Bishop's administration he was a Tomestah in the Treasury Department on a Salary of Rs 17½ per Mensem - Your Petitioner fell sick in 1849 & asked the Collector to grant him a Leave of absence - The Collector having declined to grant it unless the Doctor's Certificate is produced Your Petitioner accordingly appeared before the Medical officer who granted me a Certificate upon which the Collector Mr Bishop granted me a Leave of absence for one month as stated in his Petition to the Cash Keeper Cummiapah Broadley. On your Petitioner proceeding to Trichinopoly District to take medicine his illness was in such an incurable state that he was confined to his sick bed for 8 months. In the mean while the Cash Keeper having reported to the Collector that there was no hope of your Petitioners recovery & thereby his situation should be ordered to be bestowed upon his son - The Collector bestowed the situation upon his son - After this was done your Petitioner by god's grace recovered himself and appeared before the Collector on the 29th November 1850 (the date of his complete Recovery) requesting him to restore to his situation or Recommend him for the grant of a Pension, but this Petition having been laid undisposed of or unanswered until 18 months & 14 days, i.e. until the 13th June 1852, your Petitioner presented another Petition on the same date repeating the Requests as contained in his first. This too having been laid unnoticed your Petitioner presented himself before Mr Bishop and Reminded him of the long delay that has been allowed to

(Please)

---

No 1830 of 1854

Petitioner should have preferred his claim in 1849 when he resigned his situation to his son -

Fort St George
19th October 1854

By Order

Illegible

Deputy Secy to Govt

---

No 1830 of 1854

Petitioner should have preferred his claim in 1849 when he resigned his situation to his son -

Fort St George
19th October 1854

By Order

Illegible

Deputy Secy to Govt

occur in granting his prayer by the Court itself, that gentleman ordered to bring your Petitioners two Petitions aforesaid, and perusing it, has said, it is too late for him to do any thing & hence your Petitioner must address to the Govt. & the Govt. saying that he will submit his recommendation if the Govt. referred the matter for his Report -

Your Petitioner on the 5th Sept. 1852 Petitioned to Govt who returned it to your Petitioner. On your Petitioner presenting the same Govt Petition to Lord Bishop that gentleman instead of Endorsing his opinion on his two former Petitions has done so in the Govt Petition stating the Petitioner Resigned his situation in 1849 & his son was put into his post for which reason he cannot recommended for a pension at the time. Hence your Lordships should bear in mind that Lord Bishop first did not consider to indulge your Petitioner with the grant of pension & so gave him a note to the Doctor to inspect him & send him a Certificate on its receipt that gent took his discriptive roll & was about to recommend him for a Pension, but in the meanwhile considering the delay that has occurred by himself in not noticing his two Petitions dated 27th Nov. 1850 & 13 June 1852 has endorsed on the Govt Petition as aforesaid -

Whereupon your Petitioner addressed a Petition to Govt in Dec 1850 explaining that your Petitioner has not resigned his situation to his son & that he preferred his claim to pension in 1850 just immediately after his recovery but the Govt endorsed upon it as if your Petitioner did not apply in 1849 when he resigned his situation to his son -

In this stage of your Petitioners deprivation of the indulgence due to him in consideration of his long services it is now to ascertain from the Records whether he has resigned his post in 1849 by written Orders & whether he has or has not applied for pension immediately or delayed as the Collector shows - In this your

Petitioner can say that he has not resigned his post. In that case the written application if any should be called & inspected - The point is whether he did claim or presented his Petition for pension immediately on his return - The Petition now on the Collectors Record dated 27 Nov 1850 (the date of his return) should be called & perused - By the foregoing two instances your Lordship will consider him fit object to pension for his son has been made only to receive 15 Rupees a month whilst his pay is or was 17½ -

Therefore your Petitioner will have Memory upon him and call for the said documents and grant him a pension as he has been deprived by the delay occurred by the late Collr & not by your Petitioner as the Collr observes in his Endorsement and as the Govt endorsement shows to be -

Shall ever pray

Endorsed

Punnud (order) sent by the Collector of Tanjúr to the Cazanjee (Cashkeeper) No. 2025 dated the 22nd June 1849.

It appears from your Arzee that the Dávestanum Goomastah Succajee Rao who is attacked with fever should be permitted to be absent on leave for 4 weeks in order that he might proceed to Combhakonam which is bounded on the bank of Cavery river, there to take medicine and recover himself.

You will communicate the said Succajee Rao that he may present himself before the Medical Officer at that station, obtain a sick certificate, and apply to the Hoozúr forwarding the same.

Calmeer
22d June
1849.

(Signed) I. Bird
acts Collector

To ... a Letter to Govt Cand
No 16 dated March 11 1862

Petition of Government Peon
from Vadakkeer Peon
Lately a Executive under the
Revenue Collector
of Tanjore -

Transmitting Petition that
annexing the Petition
Dated 22 June 1849

Referred to the
former Petition of No
of 1854 -

Rec 2 Feb 62
Ans 24 Feb 1862

Petition 4318 of 1859
R 22. 11 -59

Sunnud (order) sent by the Collector of Tanjore to the Cazanjee (Cashkeeper) No. 2058 dated the 29th June 1849.

As the Medical officer Mr Fernandez has written a letter to the Hoozoor that one month's leave should be granted to Succajee Row, the Davestanum Goomastah, for the purpose of recovering his health at Combaconum, leave has been accordingly granted to him for one month. You will communicate this to Succajee Row and report to the Hoozoor of his recovery and attendance at the office within the time allowed.

(signed) I. Bird
collector.

(A true copy).
(signed) W. M. Cadell
collector.

Copy of a letter to England
Dated 1st October 1849

No 1

Collector's order to the Cash Keeper requiring Petitioner to attend Circle Cutcherry & to grant leave to the Hoozoor stated

20th June 1849

Petitioner

Received 1st Oct 1849

Cash Keeper

To

The Collector of Tanjore
Point Calymere

Sir,

Suckajee Row Goomastah, has been ill with fever for three or four Months, but as he is recovering his strength very slowly, I have the honor to request you will grant him leave of absence for one Month to visit his friends at Combaconam for the sake of change of air.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
(Signed) J. Fernandez M. D.
Assist. Surgeon

Tranquibar
27th July 1849

(True Copy)

Copy in a Letter to England
dated 10th March 11, 1862

No. 42

Collectors order to the Cadd Rooler relating that one Months leave of absence has been granted to the Petitioner as stated in the Medical Officers Letter dated 27th June 1849

Received
Bridgetown
Barbados
10th April 1862

J. F. Bishop Esquire

Collector of Tanjore

Arzee from Cunniappa Moodly the

Cazanjee (Cashkeeper).

The Hoozoor Davestanium Goomastah Succajee Rao saying that as he is ill this 6 months, he is not able to manage his duty of writing accounts, that on his verbally telling before your honor that his post should be bestowed upon his son Ringanatha Rao, who is well experienced, with 5 Pagod's rate that the indulgence will be useful for himself and his family and that your honor has been pleased to bestow upon his son the same 5 Pagod's post, requesting me that that post may be conferred on his son.

The said Succajee Rao's son aged 25 years being qualified in the business, as also the said Succajee Rao disabled, it is therefore requested that I may be permitted to take the business from that Ringanath Rao.

(signed) Cunniappa Moodly

August 1849

(A true copy)

(signed) W. H. Cadell

Collector.

No 3

Copy of Mr. Cadell's Letter to the Collector transmitting the Petition of Cunniappa Moodly in a Letter to England dated March 11 of 1852

Read 21st July 1852

Ans 21st July 1852

Recd 21st July 1852

Sunnud (order) sent by the Collector
of Tanjúr to the Cazanjee Cashkeeper
No. 239 dated 27th August 1849

Agreeably to your arzee dated
1st Instant, the situation of Dawestanum
Gomastah Succajee Raú, has been confer
=red on his son namely Runganath Raú

You will therefore struck off
from the Student the name of the said
Succajee Raú from the 1st of September
and enter Runganath Raú's name in his
place and employ him in the account busines

(signed) I. F. Bishop
collector

(A true copy)
(signed) W. M. Cadell

Copy on a Letter to England
dated March 11th 1862

Post

(Certified)

Cadell Register, Superintendent
Collector's Secretary Office
to Government Collectorate
Tanjore

Received 15th March 1862

(signed) P. Ramaswamy Pillay
Pen Dispatcher

Tanjore 5th March 1862

H. M. Cadell Esquire

Collector of Tanjore

The humble Petition of Sunkaya Rao
lately Hoozoor Goomastah in this Collectorate.

As, from the reason of my ill-health, I was deprived of my situation and of the benefit of the grant of pension after a long service of 35 years, and as I am disposed to make an appeal to the Parliament against the injustice done towards me, it is therefore requested that the Copies of the following Documents might be furnished to me as they are wanted for that purpose.

1 Copy of an order sent to the Cashkeeper in the matter of my ill-health in 1849 stating that leave shall be granted if the Medical certificate was obtained and forwarded on that subject

2 Copy of a certificate which was forwarded by the Medical officer to the Collector's office

3 Copy of a Paukeed sent to the Cashkeeper that leave for one month has been granted.

4 Copy of an Arzee addressed to the Collector by the Cashkeeper, requesting that as I am sick my situation might be bestowed upon my son.

5 Paukeed sent to the cashkeeper that my situation has been bestowed upon my son as above requested

6 Copy of an application which I presented to the Collector in 1850 requesting that I might be sanctioned.

Copy of a Letter to England from Mr. Cadell No 11 of 1862

Collector's order granting the situation petitioned for

Rs 5 (Five)

P. Sankaya Rao

Tanjore 6th Feb 1862

Dr - - - Dr in 1852

Copy of a letter which on the receipt of my Petition to Government dated 1852 was given to me to the address of the Medical officer at Combaconum.

Copy of a certificate which was sent by the Medical officer to the Collector's office

These 9 Documents should be granted and if any of them was not granted the reason for not furnishing it may be stated in the Endorsement to be made on this Petition.

As an Endorsement is made by the Collector upon a Petition dated 1852 which was presented by me to Government and which was referred to the Collector stating as if I had presented a Resignation to my office, it is requested that, orders should be given inorder that the Copy of that Resignation might be furnished to me.

3d May 1860. /Signed/ Ducheyjeroo

15

Collector's orders on Petition 1854 presented by late Hoozoor Gomastah Ducheyjee Rowe -

Of the Documents herein required, two Petitions presented in the Hoozoor in 1850 & 1852, and the Medical officer's Certificate being mislaid, they were not therefore granted to the Petitioner - Copies of the remaining Papers, which are forthcoming, have been furnished to him -

2d November 1860 - /Signed/ W. H. Cadell
Collector

| Names of Officers. | Names of Vessels. | Date of Action. | Names of Prize Vessels. | Estimation of Prize Money awarded. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Capt. Hon. Geo. Grenville | Phlegethon Steamer | 1847 | Cora Dhow | |
| Lieut. Lindsay | Cleopatra | 4 | Sylphide Dhow | |
| Lieut. Forbes | Nimrod | 18 | Zeedha Dhow | |
| Mr. Grenville | Pluto | 23 | Zephir Dhow | |
| Mr. Hewett | Cyclops | 23 | Zaffer Dhow | |
| Mr. Hayes | Phlegethon | 27 | Fatima Dhow | |
| Mr. Hayes | Cyclops | 27 | Fatima

To The Right Honorable
The Secretary of the State
for India

The humble Memorial of Suchoyee
Rao Saheb Dawalamum Gomastah
in the Collectors Office of Tanjore under
the Presidency of Madras

Sheweth

This is an Appeal against the Orders
of the Local Government of Madras passed on a
Petition by him presented in Pet.ⁿ 4318 of 1859
referring him to the former one Pet.ⁿ 1830 of 1854, which
was finally confirmed. the Local Authorities Orders
in denying the grant of a Pension; and which
under the Documentary evidence hereinafter
explained are not founded upon true Causes
except the Collectors Conscious attempt to screen
his Head Sheristadar from his avowed negli-
gence in not carrying out the Papers referred
to him for Report. Whereby Your Memorialist
was deprived both of his long Service, and
the benefit of the Pension to which he is
legally entitled — With such assurance that the
Home Authorities are not less prepared to do
justice to the Company's long Servants, than
their deprecation of the indulgence appeared
to

Petition of Suchoyee Rao Saheb Dawalamum Gomastah in the Collectors Office of Tanjore under the Presidency of Madras

to them to be the avowed and unjust denial on the part of their Indian Agents. Your Memorialist falls on the Mercy of Her Majesty the Queen & most respectfully submits that in case it such as calling for more deliberate Consideration and decision whether the delay in applying for Pension was on your Memorialists part, as the Local Government & Courts in their orders on Petition Nos 1830 & 1854, or whether it regularly applied and that the delay has occurred in the Interior Offices. Owing to many circumstances hereinafter alluded to and the Home Authorities believe that your Memorialist united to apply for pension as early as they deem proper he wishes not to claim their indulgence on that head, and should they deem that the failure in doing justice to your Memorialist was entirely on the part of the Local Government or their Subordinates, then your Memorialist humbly solicits their interference with the orders now under perusal so that he might be placed on the Pension list, on the following among other grounds.

1st The Conveyance of the intelligence which your Memorialist was met with by the Local and Controlling authorities in the following

following.

1. Your Memorialist was a Hazoor Jemedar in the Tanjore Collectors Office on a Salary of 5 Pagodas per Mensem, and at any Retirement of 35 Years, as from 1814 to 1849.

2. In May or June 1849 Your Memorialist was indisposed so that he must apply for leave for 4 Weeks to proceed to some other place to take Medicine.

3. The Cuzanjee or Cash Keeper, under whom he was doing duty having submitted to the Collr to grant Your Memorialist a leave of absence for 4 weeks the Collector sent perfly (Copy 1st) to the Cash Keeper that Your Memorialist should obtain a Medical Certificate.

4. The Medical Officer having sent in his Certificate (Copy 3d) to the Collector, that Authority granted him one Months leave as would be seen by his order (Copy 2).

5. Your Memorialist not recovering himself within the time allowed, and considering the arrears of pay to be the Cash keeper asked him "If your Son can be made suitable?" Your Memorialist avilly assented to the Arrangement and the Cash Keeper on recommending to the Collector about his Son added some under words

[illegible] Memorialist
[illegible] The papers in the
Collectors Office [illegible]

words in his report, but as in your Memorialist was absented to transfer the situation entirely in his own name without any written resignation or any Document being received from him on such an Annual Arrangement.

6. After your Memorialist's situation was conferred on him for as would appear from the Collectors orders No. 5. he was not recovered until after December 1849. but as this he was better yet from the effects of this, and disease & penuriously from his infirmity he was therefore without working on the Collector to restore him to his situation presented an Application in 1850 November stating that he is 35 years servant, that he is unable to do the Service any longer, and that therefore a pension should be recommended to be granted to him. This Application the Collector with advice to testify the Correctness of the length of his service was referred to the Head Sheristadar Goondapoor, who consciously kept aside the Application and neglected to report to the Collector for Disposal thereon.

7. Your Petitioner considering the unusual delay on the part of the Head Sheristadar has presented another Petition in 1852 June

reminding



reminding the presentation of the former one and soliciting him to expedite the indulgence. This Application too was referred to the same Sheristadar for report. The Sheristadar in an absolute determination to do irrie Your Petitioner of the pension was made no report at all upon the two Applications adverted to.

8. It is the well known truth of the nature of man that the reasons should delay in executing the prayers of their underlings, they can do no more than repeatedly appearing before their Lordships for your Memorialist united upon the Sheristadar and Collector for which they for months new to no purpose.

9. Your Memorialist not knowing what to do even after two Applications were presented he was therefore presented a Petition (A) to Government in No. 1593 of 1852, which was returned to him under Para 3 of the Petition rules (no local Authority's orders being had thereunto as required in that para). Producing this Endorsement to the Collector Vizt Berhampore, and Verbally complaining to him that while his first Application was given in 1850 & the 2d in 1852, & while they were referred to the Sheristadar for Report, he (the Sheristadar) never

[illegible] Office
line. The papers in the
Collector's Office

never made any record thereon since so long, and requesting therefore that they might be called for, and orders issued for pension as Allied for in 1850, being in a very few weeks after he recovered his health. Two gentlemen called for the two applications from the Nerisdadar Dapler and asked him why were these applications kept in abeyance for so long." The Nerisdadar saying that "as your memorialists Petition was Conferred on him the grant of pension to him is unnecessary."

10.— Altho' the Collector appeared as not satisfied with the same explanations afforded by the Nerisdadar yet considering the Case of very near 4 Years showed his unwillingness to submit a recommendation on their favour for a pension, but upon Your Petitioners explaining that this first application for pension having been presented in 1850, as just as soon as he recovered himself of his illness with which he suffered for 8 Months, and the same having been laid aside without reporting upon for 4 or 2 Years, the delay or length of time is not on your Memorialists part but entirely on that of the Head Nerisdadar & requesting that he should be recommended to Govt. for



for pension. Then the Collector hereby told your memorialist that he would forward a Petition to Government & that he will if they will refer the matter for his pension & recommend, but afterwards giving part to the Head Nerisdadar's sayings as above mentioned has declined of the Government Petition No. 1593 marked (A) by indorsing on its back to the Effect "Petitioner cannot be recommended for a pension for the reason of his having resigned his situation in 1849 inconsequence of ill health & has been put into his situation."

11.— Thereupon knowing that the Collector upon the misrepresentation of the Head Nerisdadar has made up his mind not to grant him a pension and that conferring his situation to his son will prove no bar to his being pensioned as General Officials on the Collectors Establishment mentioned in the Accompanying Ext. No. 7 have been pensioned, and their sons employed in their employments Your Memorialist has therefore presented a Petition B/ No. 1830 of 1854 to Government submitting Mr. Bishop's Indorsement on Petition A and requesting them

to

for

time. The papers in the Collector's Office

to grant him a pension which the Collector unjustly declines to grant him merely on the grounds of his son having been put into his petition.

12 The Government on the 19th October 1854 disposed of the said Petition No. 1830 (B) by Indorsement "Petitioner should have preferred his claim in 1849. when he resigned his petition."

13 Your Memorialist, this disallowed for Pension in 1850 and this his prayer was not granted to him by the Collector & Government has remained quiet for months thinking that his son will protect him & his wife; but his son and his wife declined to take notice of him and his wife so that your Memorialist and his wife are now have recourse to the doors of their relatives for food and raiment but at last finding that his (Your Memorialists) wandering about the streets for maintenance is scarcely provided him even one times meal has again presented a Petition to Government on Pet. 4318 of 1854 marked (C) stating all matters from the beginning and requesting that in consideration of his long service a pension might be granted to him for, his application for pension is

dated.

dated just as he was recovered &c." The Government ascertaining whether your Petitioners statement that he presented his application just as he recovered himself was true referred him to their orders on former Petition No. 1830 of 1854 (B).

14 The Memorialist having been thus deprived of the bounty of Government in having the pension in consideration of his long service of 35 Years and the Indian Authorities not having done justice in reference to the Documentary proofs on their own records, Your Memorialist entertains a fond hope that the Home Authorities would graciously cast their eyes on his papers herewith submitted, they will no doubt be kindly disposed to declare that the decline both on the part of the Collector & Government in granting him pension on the grounds stated in their respective orders, to quote over again on their part, and that they (Home Authorities) will now favour Your Memorialist pale by ordering that he be placed on the pension list commencing the pension from the date of his petition having been conferred on his son.

15 The only grounds on which the local Authority and the local Government

[illegible] allowance for
[illegible]. The papers in the
Collectors office shew

5, Madras declare granting Pension to, by your Memorialist as the Attorney-

1st That Collector on his orders on Government Petition No. 1593 (A1) declares that he cannot recommend for pension as this person was employed in less than

2d That Government on their order on Petition No. 1830 of 1854 declare to interfere as your Memorialist did not present this application in 1849.

3d That Government in their order on Petition No. 1318 of 1859 to correct your Memorialist to the former Petition (No. 1830).

16 - - - That for the 1st ground your Memorialist would very easily bring proofs nothing nor to a Duty, Petition to deprive him of the ground, namely of Government, for the several Collectors had granted pensions to the same and situation in their own as evidently true as the Recommending may (No.) never, who all are to have duty in the employment of the pension and situations - Thus other evidence from the Home Authorities would to satisfy themselves, with that the Collectors proceedings in your Memorialists case were to take or unjust.

17 That for the 2d ground your Memorialist says

2299

says to add that if the Home Authorities were to recall Petition marked C (No. 1318) they will clearly prove that the Memorialist did immediately get his Pension prevent his Petition in 1850 & 1852 that therefore no Reasonable case or his part to the Government to remand that the application as pretended by him were not made by the Petitioner without deposit.

18 - - That from the foregoing Circumstances the Home Authorities would clearly come to conclude that your Memorialist did justly for pension in 1850 that the were Authorities did take no cognizance of, that the Government were not acquainted with the proceedings of the Collector as to the Memorialist

19 - That Memorialist would prove to the Home Authorities by submitting Copies of the real Petitions that they would find the Documents to that the Collector

[illegible] Memorialist [illegible] Collector [illegible] Memorialist [illegible] Collector

such substituted for him. The papers in the Collector's Office also.

7 Secretary's No 598 Rev. 2706. 23

Revd Proceedings are in reply to the order of Govt dated 8th July last No 1363 forwarding for report a Memorial to H.E. received from the Honble Committee of the Sabarkaji Rao, formerly a Sunaatra in the Surat Collectr's Office, appealing against the orders of the local Government declining to accede to his request for pension.

2. Memorialist accompanied his petition account had been kept for 25 years 2m from 1814 to 1844. From the Collector's report however it appears that his total service amounted to 28 years. Having fallen sick in 1844 he obtained leave of absence about the middle of that year, & not being able to return at the expiration his son was, with his consent substituted for him. The papers in the Collector's office show

Sir Secretary to Govt

N.W. Sur

1. Pl. ... to Govt ...

Jan. ... —

2 Pl. Journal Register ... Collector ... Case of Sabarkaji Rao ... 8th July last ... 1861. ... Collector ... 23rd Oct. 1861 — No. 5127 — 9 Nov. 1861 — No. 6153.

Memorial to His Right Honorable the Governor in Council

Bombay

Surat 1st June 1861.

I have the honor to forward herewith a Petition addressed to Government by Sabarkaji Rao formerly a Sunaatra in this Collectorate, and to request that you will be pleased to submit the same for the consideration of Government.

2. I have also the honor to forward a Petition addressed to me by the same individual, and to request that you will be pleased to submit the same for the consideration of Government.

3. I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

Sd/ [illegible]

Collector

To

The Secretary to Govt

N.W. Sur

Bombay

Enclosure

A to C

Rec'd 24th June 1861

Secy to Govt

as such as they were summoned thereby saying them never will come out.

Under the foregoing circumstances your memorialist most humbly hopes that his grievance may receive the favorable consideration of the Honble Authorities and necessary instruction sent to the local Government of Bombay in order that your memorialist may receive the pension hereby granted him by the Government as stated in his application dated 18th & 1852 as above stated.

I have &c

Sd/ [illegible]

Collector

17th June 1861.

Copy in a Letter to Govt No 1 of 1862

that this substitution was intended to be permanent, and that the memorialist had agreed in consequence of his infirmities to resign his post altogether. An order appointing the son was therefore issued according to which memorialist's name ceased to be borne on the Establishments from 1 September 1846. The memorialist on the other hand contends that he never executed any wish to resign, though willing that his son should do duty for him as a temporary measure. In consequence however of continued ill health he acquiesced in the arrangement and in November 1850 presented a petition to the Collector requesting that a pension might be conferred upon him

4. Upon this petition as appears from the Collector's letter of 16 Oct. last, No. 344, no orders were ever passed. A similar address presented in 1852 was, the memorialist states, also unnoticed.

Endorsement d/ 19 Dec. 1854 to Sec. 1830.

in March 1853, after applying to Govt was directed him to go to the Collector, he obtained from that officer an endorsement to the effect that he was not recommended for a pension in 1849 because his son was put into his situation. He followed, and again applied to Govt who told him that he should have preferred his claim in 1849 when he resigned his situation to his son. He took no further steps till 1854 when in consequence of the age, of the refusal of his son to support him, he again petitioned Govt who referred him to their former decision.

It is against that decision memorialist now appeals to the Secretary of State. In the petition on which it was passed he had not explained the facts of the case as regarded his general application for pension. He now begs to detail the circumstances specified above, and contends that his son's ab-

part of this service."

The Devastations Dept. obj. militate against the [illegible] not yet being granted pensions and leave the decision of both whether the grant of pensions and leave should precede the application for pensions for a year after his resignation (which application is reserved, he states) are significant grounds for refusing his request."

7. The Pension Rules provide that no application for pension on behalf of an individual is entertained after he has finally quitted the public service. This fact has not been noticed by the Collector or the Board of the rule was fully in force in 1849, it would be seen that Petitioner resigned his situation of his own accord

Civil Auditor's Manual Chap. III. p. 131.

Recorded in Board's Pro. 23 Sept/60. No 5729.

-tinued in his room is no bar to an acquiescence in his request for pension, as in other cases of such he quite a list, both pensions have been granted to both servants and their heirs also provided for. It must be noticed however that, as observed by the Collector in para 4 of his letter of 30 August 1860 - No 264, the Memorialist was "not originally provided with "the full salary obtained by his petition after "a service of many years"

6. The Collector does not in favor of a compliance with Memorialist's request admit that the application made in November 1850, or nearly fifteen months after date of the cessation of employment, admissible, the circumstances of Memorialist's service do not admit of his being considered eligible according to the pension rules. The Board on the other hand do not consider that the fact of Memorialist having been employed

No. 6,153.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th November 1861.

Read again letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 30th August 1861, No. 264.

(In Cons. 4th September 1861, No. 5,618.)

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated 16th October 1861, No. 344:—

1. In reply to the Board's Order contained in their Proceedings of the 23rd ultimo, No. 5,129, I have the honor to state that on reference to the Petition Register, it appears that a petition applying for pension was presented by the Petitioner in the month of November 1850, but that no order was then passed thereon. The Petition, as already stated, is now not forthcoming; but it is to be observed that the application in question was *not* made till nearly fifteen months after the Petitioner was discharged, and his son had been placed in his situation.

2. Admitting, however, that the application so made was admissible, the circumstances connected with Petitioner's services, as particularized in paragraph 2 of my letter of the 30th August last, No. 264, are, as the Board will observe, not such as would admit of a pension being granted him.

---

In Cons. 10th July 1861, No. 4,247.

1. Resolved that the above letter with their enclosures be submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 8th July 1861, No. 1,363.

2. It appears from the above letters that the Memorialist referred to by Government was employed in the office of the Collector of Tanjore for 28 years; that in October 1849 his son was appointed to his situation on his own application; and that in November 1850, he applied to the then Collector for a pension.

3. The Collector also explains that for the nine latter years of his service he was employed in the Devastanam Department, the mangement of which is not now in the hands of Government, and that therefore his actual service towards pension is less than 20 years, the smallest period of service which would entitle him to such a provision.

4. The Board observe, however, that although the Memorialist was employed for a part of his service in the Devastanam Department, that Department was then entirely under the control of Government, and he might fairly be allowed to include such service in calculating that which would entitle him to pension. It remains, therefore, for Government to decide, whether the fact of his son having been appointed to succeed him, and of his son having failed to make his application for pension for upwards of a year after his resignation (which omission, it is observed, he accounts for by the state of his health), are sufficient grounds for refusing his request.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 498.

R 8th July 1862 Nos 117-118.

Collector's Letter to Govt. Dated 1st March 1862 No 11

2

1861 Department.

Received for March 1862

No. 2706

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

*Dated* 9th *November* 1861.
*Despatched.* 15 ——
*Received.* 16 ——

E.-
Cuddy
Wilson

No 6,153.

Submitting with remarks a letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting on the application of a certain Petitioner for Pension,

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the Memorial from Sinckajee Row, late Darustaniem Gumasta in the Office of the Tanjore Collectorate be forwarded to the Home authorities and an intimation of the same be given to the Memorialist.

C/110

Jno. M. Currie
7th March 1862

14 Copies to the Board per Dispy

94+95

No 498 7 March 62
With an order

3 Dec. No 10