

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Revenue* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 472

PressMis.

43-44

Dated

4 3 1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	472	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	September 19 1862	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 805

Tanjore Collectors Office
Vallam 27th August 1862.

From

G. E. Morris Esquire
Acting Collector of Tanjore.

To

The Honorable T. Percott
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

I have the honor to report
that T. Moossami aiyar, whose appointment
as Deputy Collector of Tanjore was notified
in the Fort Saint George Gazette of the
25th Jul 1862 arrived here yesterday evening
and has this day subscribed the usual
declarations prescribed by Act XXI of 1837,
the same being recorded in this office.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

G. E. Morris.
Acting Collector

Ms: 25 July / 62 no 355

Rev: 13th of good
from 11. 11. 89.

The strong collection

of Janyne

To the Chief Secretary

to Government

Secretary

18th July / 62

12 805

Ms: 25 July

Reporting the arrival
of the ship Co. M. 25
J. 11. 11. 89. 22. 8.

32. 11. 1862.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th March 1862.*

No. 43. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd January 1862, No. 536:—

Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 1st October 1861, No. 245.
(In Cons. 4th October 1861, No. 6,323.)

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated Bramapuram, 7th December 1861, No. 298:—

1. In reply to the Board's Proceedings, dated 15th October last, No. 5,619, I have the honor to state that in the case of the Inámdár therein referred to Rupees 25-10-0 was the sum fixed by the arbitrators as compensation for the entire loss of crop actually standing on the lands at the time it was taken up in Fasli 1265.

2. During the succeeding Faslis up to 1270 inclusive (the equivalent in land being granted from Fasli 1271) no loss in crop was of course incurred; and in recommending for these years, compensation equal to the Taram assessment of the land taken up, I merely proposed to close with an arrangement that had been accepted by the Inámdár himself, and which was in accordance with the more economical principles on which such adjustments have hitherto been made in the District.

3. The land of which there is present question being freehold, the Inámdár has both proprietor's and occupant's rights in it. The compensation recommended in my first letter would only satisfy his claim in the capacity of landlord. To buy up the occupancy right, I would suggest that a sum equal to the proportionate value of the gross produce fixed as Kudivaram at the time of settlement, *minus* expenses of cultivation, should be paid to him.

4. The land being Nanja watered from wells, the Melvaram or proprietor's share was fixed at one-third of the assumed produce. The cultivator's share would thus be Rupees 12-9-6, or double the Taram assessment.* Deducting from this, the expenses, which may in the case of land watered from wells be approximately estimated at half the Kudivaram, the balance, viz., Rupees 6-4-9, would represent the net annual profit realized by the Inámdár as cultivator or occupant. The Inámdár would by this computation be entitled to receive Rupees 88-9-6 in full compensation on account of the Inám.

5. Adverting to the concluding part of paragraph 2 of the Proceedings under reply, I have only to add that as the Inámdár has agreed to accept the land assigned from his Patikat holding as an equivalent for what he had lost, and made no claim for further compensation of any kind, I gave him credit for being the best judge of his own interests, and recommended the arrangement accordingly.

6. If, however, the Board are of opinion that compensation to the full extent should be awarded, the Inámdár would be entitled to receive, in addition to what has been recommended in paragraph 4, Rupees 33-1-0 if calculated at six years' value of the assessment of the Patta land, the rate which has heretofore been allowed in the District when the land was Ayin; or Rupees 57-1-8 if ten years' assessment be given as has been the practice when the land lay within the precincts of Zamíndáries, and as was sanctioned by Government in the case of Kallur Shrótrium in their Order, dated 5th November 1861, No. 2,117.

1. Ordered that the above papers be submitted to Government.
2. Certain Inám land in the Chendragiri Táluq assessed at Rupees 6-4-9, were taken up in Fasli 1265 for public roads. It is now proposed to compensate the owner by a remission from the demand on his Patta lands equal to the annual value to him of that which he has lost.
3. It appears to the Board that for this adjustment to be equitable, the remission must equal the assessment on the Inám land, *plus* the net profits derived therefrom whether as land-

Extent.	Assessment. RS. A. P.	
K. 0 11 12	6 4 9	
As already recommended.....	57 1 9	
For Fasli 1265... ..	25 10 0	
Faslis 1266 to 1270, at the rate of Government demand.	31 7 9	
Now recommended from Fasli 1268 to 1270 at the rate of Rupees 6-4-9, per annum as occupant's share.	31 7 9	
	88 9 6	

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th March 1862.*

lord's rent or as actual occupant, where as seems to have been the case in the present instance, the Inámdár was also the owner of the right of occupancy. The Board deduce from the Collector's

	RS.	A.	P.
Assessment on Inám land.....	6	4	9
Annual profits from do. on cultivation	6	4	9
	12	9	6

compensation for the deficiency at twenty years' purchase.

	RS.	A.	P.
Value of crops destroyed.....	25	10	0
Do. of five years' estimated profits at Rs. 12-9-6.....	62	15	6
	88	9	6

5. In the Arcot Taluq it is proposed to assign to an Inámdár waste land of the annual value of Rupees 0-6-9, in lieu of Punja Inám land similarly occupied for public purpose in Fasli 1270. The Board recommend that the arrangement be sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 44. ORDER THEREON, 4th March 1862, No. 472.

1. In these Proceedings the Board of Revenue submit their recommendation as to the compensation to be made to the owners of certain Inám lands in the Chendragiri and Arcot Taluqs of the North Arcot District, which were taken up for public purposes.

2. In the case of the land in the Chendragiri Taluq valued at Rupees 6-4-9 which was taken up in Fasli 1265, the Board, for reasons stated in their Proceedings, recommend that a sum of Rupees 12-9-6, which is set down as the annual value to the Inámdár of the land in question, be remitted from the demand on his Patta lands, or if these do not bear so large an amount of assessment, that compensation be paid for the deficiency at twenty years' purchase. They also recommend the payment of the value of the crops on the land at the time it was taken up, and of compensation for the interval from Fasli 1266 to 1270 at the annual rate above given; the whole amounting, as shown in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings, to Rupees 88-9-6. The Government accede to these recommendations, and grant authority for their being carried out; but they must at the same time notice the long delay which has taken place in the adjustment of the claim. The Collector will be instructed to explain how the delay arose and when the Inámdár first preferred his claim.

3. The land in the Arcot Taluq was occupied in Fasli 1270; and in lieu thereof, it is proposed to assign to the owner fresh land of equal value. This arrangement is sanctioned.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

No. 5,619.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th October 1861.

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Chittoor, 1st October 1861, No. 245:—

1. I have the honor to enclose a Statement showing the particulars of some Inam lands taken up for public roads in the Chendraghiri and Arcot Taluks.

2. In columns 10 and 11 of this Statement are exhibited the extent and assessment of the lands to be granted to the Inamdars in lieu of the ground taken up for the aforesaid purpose.

Former value	6	4	9
Present do.	5	8	2
	0	12	7

3. Although the value of the land in the Chendraghiri Taluk is somewhat lower than the assessment of the Inam ground it is intended to replace, the proprietor has agreed to accept it as an equivalent. As the lands in this case were taken up in Fasli 1265 when the crops were on the ground, the loss so entailed on the Inamdar has to be made good, and is estimated by the arbitrators at Rupees 25-10-0. This together with Rupees 31-7-9, being the compensation for five years from Fasli 1266 to 1270, will now have to be disbursed to Vangipuram Thomala Strinivassa Charlu.

4. In the case of the other Inamdar, the lands were not taken up until the crops of Fasli 1270 had been harvested; and no compensation is, therefore, due on this account. As no wells were sunk, or other special improvements undertaken in the lands in question, the Inamdars are not entitled to an additional compensation under this head.

5. In Chendraghiri Taluk, the lands which it is proposed to give in substitution are assigned out of the Putcut holding of the Inamdar himself, whilst in the Arcot Taluk Porumboke land has been allotted.

6. With this explanation, I beg to solicit the Board's authority to assign these lands as particularized in columns 10 and 11 to the parties respectively entitled, and to disburse Rupees 57-1-9 to the Inamdar in the Chendraghiri Taluk.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the extent of lands to be granted in lieu of Inam lands taken up for road purposes together with particulars of compensation for loss sustained.

1. The Board are at a loss to understand on what principle the compensation has been calculated in the case of the Inamdar in Chendragiri.

2. If his losses in the year that the land was taken up are rightly estimated at Rupees 25-10-0, there appears no reason why in the subsequent year's payment equal to the Government assessment on the land, amounting to Rupees 6-4-9 per annum, should be held to be a sufficient compensation, or why a future remission of the demand on the Inamdar's assessed lands equal to the assessment on the Inam lands taken up for public purposes, should compensate him for their loss. The remission ought to be equal to the Inamdar's whole net profits from the land lost, when an equal extent or value of new land is not made over to the individual.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary.

To J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Ed. J. Wright.

*Enrolled Dec 29/61
Case & the Board of Rev.
order 22/10/62 No. 536*

1861 Department

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 15th October 1861.

G.I. 21/61.

Dec = 32/62.

No. 5,619.

Requesting an explanation of the principle on which compensation has been calculated in the case of Inam lands taken up for public roads in Chendraghiri taluq.

See a letter from
the Collector of
North Ward to
the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
dated, the 21st October
1867 No 245.

Dec: 3 21/62.
Statement showing
the extent of lands
to be granted in Ben-
galene lands to the
right of the Board of Revenue
together with the land
of Camp and a line
from the Board of Revenue
No 245 of 1867
in the 21st October

Revenue Sept 11
No 472

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the 11th ^{March} February 1862

Read the following
Proc: of the Board of Revenue

Submitting with
remarks a letter from
the collector of North Arcot
regarding the compensation
due to certain landowners
for lands taken up for
public roads. —

(Received 22 Jan'y
1862 No 536)

1. In these Procs.
in go, the Board of Rev.
submit their recommenda-

I think more notice should be taken as to the compensation
taken of the delay. Whanded. time to be made to the
the man make his claim. if
he has delayed making it for owners of certain land
four or five years his lot land in the Chendragiri
cannot have been such as and Arch. Siliy of the
to demand compensation

(M)

S/W

W. A. M.

North Arcot district, which
were taken up for public
purposes.

2. In the case of the land in the
Chendragiri Siliy situated
at

at P. 6. 1. 1. which was taken
up in Feb. 1265, the Board,
for reasons stated in
their Proceedings, recom-
mended that a sum of
P. 12. 9. 6, which is set
down as the annual value
to the demand of the
land in question, be re-
mitted from the demand
on his Putak land, or
if these do not bear
so large an amount of
assessment, that com-
pensation be paid for
the deficiency at twenty
year purchase. They
also recommended the
payment of the value of
the crops on the land at
the time it was taken
up, and of compensation
for the interval from
Feb. 1266 to 1270 at the
annual

at the same time noted
the long delay which
has taken place in
the adjustment of the claim.

The Coll^r will be
instructed to explain
how the delay arose
and when the demand
was first preferred
his claim.

annual rate above
given; the whole sum
up, as item in para
4 of the Bd's Proceedings
to P. 88. 9. 6. The Govt
accede to these recom-
mendations, and grant
authority for their being
carried out, but they must

3. The land in the
Great Valley was occu-
-pied in Feb. 1270, and
in lieu thereof, it is pro-
posed to assign to
the owner fresh land
of equal value. This
arrangement is suggested.

J. D.

14 Copies

To the Board of Revenue P. 4
3 " Accountant General
3 " Civil Engineer

Compensation for certain
lands in North Creek
taken up for pub. lands.

R.D. No. 5. 11-61 No 62-63

Page 444

Received 1862 White
D. of 1862 for claim.

1862.

of the Madison Co.
D. of 1862 for

No of the 1862 of 1862
26 May 1862 & No 1862

of 1862 orders on 1862
proceedings, 1862 including
compensation to the north
of certain claim lands taken
for public purposes with
Chadron and 1862
1862, 1862, 1862, 1862