M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 403 — Press ~~Mis.~~

483-484

Dated 25 - 2 - 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 25th February 1862.

No. 483. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th January 1862, No. 652 :—

Read the following letter from H. A. BRETT, Esq., Collector of Salem, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated 4th January 1862, No. 9.

SIR,

1. I have honor to forward herewith a Comparative Statement of the Contingent charges for Fasli 1267, showing an excess expenditure amounting to Rupees 406-7-4 over the sanctioned rates ; and to state that the excess is owing chiefly to the enhanced price of paper and oil above the price prevailing at the time the Estimates were sanctioned.

2. I therefore request the Board to obtain the sanction of Government to my writing off the sum above alluded to from the accounts.

3. I should explain that at the time I submitted for sanction the excess expenditure for Faslis 1268 and 1269,* the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury omitted by an oversight to include the excess charges for Fasli 1267.

* Sanctioned in Proceedings of Government, dated 28th October 1861, No. 2,063.

---

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that sanction be accorded to the Sádirwárid expenditure of Rupees 406-7-4 incurred in the Salem District during Fasli 1267, in excess of the Standard Estimate, the extra charge having been occasioned chiefly by the enhanced price of paper and lamp oil since that Estimate was framed, and by a larger consumption of the latter article in the preparation of the village accounts in the new form.

2. The Deputy Collector has laid himself open to censure for his carelessness in omitting to bring this excess to notice when sanction was sought for the excess expenditure in the two subsequent Faslis, which was granted by Government on the 28th October 1861, No. 2,063.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 484. ORDER THEREON, 25th February 1862, No. 403.

1. Sanctioned Rupees (406-7-4) four hundred and six, Annas seven and Pice four.

2. The delay in adjusting this charge, and which is attributed to neglect on the part of the Deputy Collector, is very reprehensible.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 652.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th January 1862.

Read the following letter from H. A. BRETT, Esq., Collector of Salem, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated 4th January 1862, No. 9:—

1. I have the honor to forward herewith a Comparative Statement of the Contingent charges for Fasli 1267, showing an excess expenditure amounting to Rupees 406-7-4 over the sanctioned rates; and to state that the excess is owing chiefly to the enhanced price of paper and oil above the price prevailing at the time the Estimates were sanctioned.

2. I therefore request the Board to obtain the sanction of Government to my writing off the sum above alluded to from the accounts.

3. I should explain that at the time I submitted for sanction the excess expenditure for Faslis 1268 and 1269,* the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury omitted by an oversight to include the excess charges for Fasli 1267.

* Sanctioned in Proceedings of Government, dated 28th October 1861, No. 2,063.

Enclosure No. 1.

Abstract.—Comparative Statement of Sadirwarid expenses for Fasli 1267.

---

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that sanction be accorded to the Sadirwarid expenditure of Rupees 406-7-4 incurred in the Salem District during Fasli 1267, in excess of the Standard Estimate, the extra charge having been occasioned chiefly by the enhanced price of paper and lamp oil since that Estimate was framed, and by a larger consumption of the latter article in the preparation of the Village accounts in the new form.

2. The Deputy Collector has laid himself open to censure for his carelessness in omitting to bring this excess to notice when sanction was sought for the excess expenditure in the two subsequent Faslis, which was granted by Government on the 28th October 1861, No. 2,063.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.,
with original enclosure.

Copy to H. A. Brett, Esq., Collector of Salem.

Exd. J. Wright.

Proc of 28th Oct / 61 Nos 345-
346.

Revr 1862. Department.
Pros: of Govt for February.

No. 361-

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 28th January 1862.
Dely 7th Febr—
Recd 8th —
Encl—

No. 652.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Salem and recommending that sanction be accorded to the Sadirwared expenditure incurred during Fasli 1267, in excess of the standard estimate.

Nos 483 & 484.

Nos 403 & 403.

Sanctioned Rs —

/406-7-4/ Four hundred and Six Rupees seven and pice four.

The delay in adjusting this charge, and which is attributed to neglect on the part of the Deputy Collector, is very reprehensible.

W M

Trans to Govt
25th July 1862
Bd of Revl with an Encl Copy 26

Acct Genl
Ch Paymaster

Nos 403 - 23 Feb.
With an Order

Ep Feby 1862