M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 381 Press Mis.

435 436

Dated 21 2 18b~.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 21st February 1862.

No. 435. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd January 1862, No. 556:—

Read the following letter from A. PURVIS, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Cocanada, 30th November 1861.

SIR,

1. I have the honor, with reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd April, forwarding the Proceedings of Government of the 9th and 23rd February 1861, and to your letter of the 22nd July last, to state that notices were in due course issued, in the places noted in the margin, where alone it appeared that any Muhturfa had been collected by the holders of the Estate or village, publicly notifying that no payments on account of Muhturfa were to be made, or would be recognized after the 1st July 1861.

1. Totapalli Estate.
2. Kotipalli, village belonging to the Vizianagrum Zamíndár.
3. Korkonda, Sarva Aggraharam in the Táluq of Rajahmundry.

2. The Board are acquainted with the circumstances of the Totapalli Estate. It was till lately held free of Peshkash on the condition of furnishing 700 men when required. The holder was relieved of this condition, and a Peshkash of Rupees 6,500, or one-fourth of the estimated annual value of the Estate fixed. In the account prepared under the instructions of the late Collector for Fasli 1263 showing the revenue of the Estate, a sum of 190 Rupees was entered as derived from Muhturfa. According to the accounts now given in by the Mansahdar, the correctness of which there is no reason to doubt, the collections on the same account, for the last three years, have been as in the margin, the average for the three years being Rupees 212-13-4. Under the circumstances, I think that the holder of the Estate is entitled to a remission in her present Peshkash of the sum which, according to the account of Fasli 1263, was then realized on account of Muhturfa.

To Board, 23rd July 1859, No. 111.
From Board, 13th October 1859.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Fasli 1268	220	14	0
„ 1269	210	8	0
„ 1270	207	2	0
Total..	638	8	0

3. The village of Kotipalli belongs to the Zamíndár of Vizianagrum, though situated in this District, and it appears from a letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam that the sum collected by the Rájáh as Muhturfa in the above village has been on behalf of Government, and has been paid into the Treasury of that District. The Collector has requested the Rájáh to instruct his Amin no longer to make collections on the above account.

4. The village of Korkonda was conferred as a Sava Aggraharam on Stree Lakshminarsimhaswami some four are five hundred years ago, and the land Revenue, Muhturfa, as well as the tax on Palmira trees had usually been collected by the trustees, and the sums appropriated by them to the Pagoda ceremonies and festivals. About 43 years ago the management of the said Aggraharam was entrusted for some time by the then trustees to the late proprietor of the Kapavaram Estate in which Korkonda was included. On a dispute arising between the proprietor and trustees in 1820, a former Collector, Mr. Robertson, passed an order on 18th May 1820, taking the management out of the hands of the proprietor. At the time of doing so, he had an account prepared showing the receipts and disbursements of the Aggraharam. In the former a sum of 53 Rupees was entered as Muhturfa revenue. The account Mr. Robertson attested with his signature, and gave a copy of it to the Aggraharamdars. The present holders urge that the about fact shows that the collection of the item of revenue in question was allowed by a late Collector after the matter had come to his notice in 1820. The collections have since considerably increased. The amount realized during the last three years, according to the statements of the Aggraharamdar's Agent, is given in the margin. If entitled to compensation at all, the Aggraharamdars can certainly not claim a greater sum than that entered in the document already referred to, or Rupees 53 per annum.

	Rs.
Fasli 1268	96
„ 1269	108
„ 1270	118
Total	322
Average	107¼

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 21st February 1862.

Rs.	
6,500	Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommenda-
190	tion that the remission of Rupees 190 from the Peshkash of the Totapalli
6,310	Estate be sanctioned reducing it to Rupees 6,310, and that the Collector be instructed to make over to the Korkonda Surva Aggraharamdar assessed land adjoining the Inám of the annual value of Rupees 53, in compensation for loss of income resulting from the abolition of the Muhturfa.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 436. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1862, No. 381.

The Board's proposal is sanctioned.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 556.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd January 1862.

Read the following letter from A. PURVIS, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Cocanada, 30th November 1861 :—

1. I have the honor, with reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd April, forwarding the Proceedings of Government of the 9th and 23rd February 1861, and to your letter of the 22nd July last, to state that notices were in due course issued, in the places noted in the margin, where alone it appeared that any Moturpha had been collected by the holders of the Estate or village, publicly notifying that no payments on account of Moturpha were to be made, or would be recognized after the 1st July 1861.

1. Totapalli Estate.
2. Kotipalli, village belonging to the Vizianagrum Zemindar.
3. Korkonda, Sarva Aggraharam in the Taluk of Rajahmundry.

2. The Board are acquainted with the circumstances of the Totapalli Estate. It was till lately held free of Peshkush on the condition of furnishing 700 men when required. The holder was relieved of this condition, and a Peshkush of Rupees 6,500, or one-fourth of the estimated annual value of the Estate fixed. In the account prepared under the instructions of the late Collector for Fasli 1263 showing the revenue of the Estate, a sum of 190 Rupees was entered as derived from Moturpha. According to the accounts now given in by the Mansahdar, the correctness of which there is no reason to doubt, the collections on the same account, for the last three years, have been as in the margin, the average for the three years being Rupees 212-13-4. Under the circumstances, I think that the holder of the Estate is entitled to a remission in her present Peshkush of the sum which, according to the account of Fasli 1263, was then realized on account of Moturpha.

To Board, 23rd July 1859, No. 111.
From Board, 13th October 1859.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Fasli 1268.....	220	14	0
„ 1269.....	210	8	0
„ 1270.....	207	2	0
Total.....	638	8	0

3. The village of Kotipalli belongs to the Zemindar of Vizianagrum, though situated in this District, and it appears from a letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam that the sum collected by the Rajah as Moturpha in the above village has been on behalf of Government, and has been paid into the Treasury of that District. The Collector has requested the Rajah to instruct his Amin no longer to make collections on the above account.

4. The village of Korkonda was conferred as a Sarva Aggraharam on Stree Lakshminarsimhaswami some four or five hundred years ago, and the Land Revenue, Moturpha, as well as the tax on Palmira trees had usually been collected by the trustees, and the sums appropriated by them to the Pagoda ceremonies and festivals. About 43 years ago the management of the said Aggraharam was entrusted for some time by the then trustees to the late proprietor of the Kapavaram Estate in which Korkonda was included. On a dispute arising between the proprietor and trustees in 1820, a former Collector, Mr. Robertson, passed an order on 18th May 1820, taking the management out of the hands of the proprietor. At the time of doing so, he had an account prepared showing the receipts and disbursements of the Aggraharam. In the former a sum of 53 Rupees was entered as Moturpha revenue. The account Mr. Robertson attested with his signature, and gave a copy of it to the Aggraharamdars. The present holders urge that the above fact shows that the collection of the item of revenue in question was allowed by a late Collector after the matter had come to his notice in 1820. The collections have since considerably increased. The amount realized during the last three years, according to the statements of the Aggraharamdar's Agent, is given in the margin. If entitled to compensation at all, the Aggraharamdars can certainly not claim a greater sum than that entered in the document already referred to, or Rupees 53 per annum.

	Rs.
Fasli 1268.....	96
„ 1269.....	108
„ 1270.....	118
Total.....	322
Average.....	107¼

Rs.
6,500
190
6,310

Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the remission of Rupees 190 from the Peshkush of the Totapalli Estate be sanctioned reducing it to Rupees 6,310, and that the Collector be instructed to make over to the Korkonda Surva Aggraharamdar assessed land adjoining the Inam of the annual value of Rupees 53, in compensation for loss of income resulting from the abolition of the Moturpha.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to A. Purvis, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

(13)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No. 556.

Dated 23rd January 1862.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Godavery and recommending that the remission of Rupees 190 from the Peshcush of the Totapully Estate be sanctioned on account of Moturpha collections.