M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2674 Press Mis.

238.359

Dated 23-12-1926

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 23rd December 1862.

No. 338. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th November 1862, No. 7,855:—

Read again letter from the Sub-Collector in charge of Nellore, dated 17th July 1862, No. 177.

(In Cons. 25th July 1862, My. No. 3,103.)

1. With the above letter the Sub-Collector forwarded a Bill for Rupees 100-12-0 for batta due to one Bandla Ramasawmy Nayadu, late Head Clerk of the Income Tax Department, who was appointed on the 6th February last, to act as Tahsildar of Rapur, that post being vacant by the suspension of the Tahsildar. Subsequently the Board ordered the restoration of the suspended Tahsildar, and payment of the salary due to him for the period of suspension; and on the 19th May last, the Head Clerk was recalled to his duties in the Hoozoor.

2. The grounds upon which the batta is claimed, are that the Income Tax Head Clerk did the duties of the Tahsildar of Rapur which Talook is situated at a distance of 40 miles from Nellore, without any additional remuneration for a period of 107 days, he drawing during part of that time the salary of Head Clerk at the rate of Rupees 100, and for the remaining time at the reduced rate of Rupees 70.

3. As the Head Clerk was actually absent from his head quarters on public duty, the Board passed the Bill according to the usual rules, and forwarded it to the Civil Paymaster for audit. That Officer however objects to pass it, as the Head Clerk was not absent on work connected with his own Department, and he states that the special sanction of Government is necessary for the charge in question.

4. The Head Clerk was doing the duty under the orders of the Collector without any extra remuneration, and as he was away from his head quarters as Income Tax Clerk, and only drawing the allowances of that Office, the Board consider him fully entitled to the batta which will still leave his aggregate receipts much below the pay of the post in which he was officiating. They resolve therefore to request that Government will sanction the charge amounting to Rupees (100-12-0) one hundred and Annas twelve.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed.) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 339. ORDER THEREON, 23rd December 1862, No. 2,674.

The payment of batta is sanctioned as a special case, but the principle of refunding to a suspended Officer the whole of his pay without leaving any remuneration for the person who does his duty seems erroneous. The Board will explain more fully what their practice is in these cases, and also state what pay the Income Tax Head Clerk actually drew during his employment as Acting Tahsildar.

(Signed.) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue :—

Requesting that Government will sanction the charge of Rupees 100-12-0, being batta due to one Bandla Ramasawmy Nayadu, late Head Clerk of the Income Tax Department, Nellore District. } (Here enter 28th November 1862, No. 7,855.)

ORDER THEREON, 23rd December 1862, No. 2,674.

~~Sanctioned as a special case.~~

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ ~~Acting Civil Paymaster.~~

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 7,855.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 28th November 1862.

Read again letter from the Sub-Collector in charge of Nellore, dated 17th July 1862, No. 177.

(In Cons. 25th July 1862, My. No. 3,103.)

1. With the above letter the Sub-Collector forwarded a Bill for Rupees 100-12-0 for batta due to one Bandla Ramasawmy Nayadu, late Head Clerk of the Income Tax Department, who was appointed on the 6th February last, to act as Tahsildar of Rapur, that post being vacant by the suspension of the Tahsildar. Subsequently the Board ordered the restoration of the suspended Tahsildar, and payment of the salary due to him for the period of suspension; and on the 19th May last, the Head Clerk was recalled to his duties in the Huzur.

2. The grounds upon which the batta is claimed, are that the Income Tax Head Clerk did the duties of the Tahsildar of Rapur, which Taluk is situated at a distance of 40 miles from Nellore, without any additional remuneration for a period of 107 days, he drawing during part of that time the salary of Head Clerk at the rate of Rupees 100, and for the remaining time at the reduced rate of Rupees 70.

3. As the Head Clerk was actually absent from his head quarters on public duty, the Board passed the Bill according to the usual rules, and forwarded it to the Civil Paymaster for audit. That Officer however objects to pass it, as the Head Clerk was not absent on work connected with his own Department, and he states that the special sanction of Government is necessary for the charge in question.

4. The Head Clerk was doing, the duty under the orders of the Collector without any extra remuneration, and as he was away from his head quarters as Income Tax Clerk, and only drawing the allowances of that Office, the Board consider him fully entitled to the batta which will still leave his aggregate receipts much below the pay of the post in which he was officiating. They resolve therefore to request that Government will sanction the charge amounting to Rupees (100-12-0) One hundred and Annas twelve.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. W. B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev = 1862. Department.

Rec: of Govt for December

No. 3331.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated. 28th November 1862.
Despatched. 5 Dec
Received. 4 —

No. 7,855.

Requesting that Government will sanction the charge of Rupees 100-12-0 being batta due to one Bandla Ramasawmy Nayadu, late Head Clerk of the Income Tax Department, Nellore district.

N. 2674 - 23 Dec.

N. 338 & 339.

16 Dec 62 R 18

It appears to me that the payment of the salary of the officer suspended when a person of his standing is employed in the payment of another officer who had done the work of this one suspended was improper: the ~~case~~ person employed as a special man who did the work however must be paid.

20/12

First Secy
23 Dec 1862

To The Board of Revenue, Dept 24

Ag Civil Paymaster —

The payment of Batta is sanctioned as a special case - but the principle of refusing pay to a suspended officer the whole of his pay without leaving any remuneration for the person who does his duty seems erroneous. The Board will explain more fully what their practice is in these cases and also state what pay the Income Tax Head Clerk actually drew during his employment as acting Sub Collr.