M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2630 — Press / Mis.

252-255

Dated 18-12-1918 62

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 18th December 1862.

No. 254. Read the following letter from NUMBURE NARASINGUM, late Zilladar of Vinnacotta Mittah, Gudivada Táluq, Kistna District, to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, Madras, dated 5th December 1862 :—

HONORED SIR,

I beg to submit triplicate copies of my Memorial to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State, and humbly solicit that you will be pleased to transmit the same with your favorable recommendation.

No. 255. ORDER THEREON, 18th December 1862, No. 2,630.

Memorialist should submit the orders passed in his case by the different authorities to whom he has appealed.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To,

His Excellency
The Right Honorable the Secretary
of State for India in Council
India House
London

The humble Memorial of
Numbure Narasingum, late
Zilladar of Vuincotta Mittah
Gudivada Taluq Kistna
District.—

Most humbly Sheweth,

That in appealing to your August authority, Memorialist would most humbly and respectfully represent that he has been unjustly and arbitrarily dismissed from his Office of Zilladar.—

That during the period Memorialist held Office, he discharged his duties faithfully and assiduously nor was he found fault with in a single instance.—

That for the Jummabundy of Fusily 1266. he according to usual practice prepared and forwarded to the Tahsildar, the Cultivation accounts of the 14 Villages of the Mittah of Vincotta.—

That whilst his written accounts were correct, he was summarily dismissed at the Jamabundy for uttering a falsehood relative to the actual extent of land irrigated from a Channel of the Anicut in one of the Villages.—

In reference to this Charge Memorialist would humbly submit that the account forwarded by him on the 18th February 1857 with regard to the

the Extent irrigated under the Channel was Cultoolos 5-5 9/16 which was Correct.—

Contrary to this Statement, the Kurnum sent in a falsie one that only Cultoolos 1-12 were irrigated from the Channel.—

In respect to this discrepancy, an enquiry was held, when Memorialist gave his deposition Corresponding with the accounts previously submitted by him. He did not in the least prevaricate. But notwithstanding his innocence, from the aminosity which the Sherishtadar entertained against him, he was unjustly dismissed whilst the real offender the Kurnum is still retained in Office.—

Memorialist having sent in a written Statement a Month previous to his giving his deposition there was no necessity nor motive for him to prevaricate. Memorialist addressed the Board of Revenue, and requested them to send for his abstract Statement and deposition. On their requisition, the Acting Sub Collector in Charge replied that both the documents were not forthcoming but admits that Memorialist did give a Correct account a Month previous to his giving his deposition. The Native officials knew full well that if the documents were forwarded Memorialist restoration would be inevitable, and therefore they Concealed them.—

In appealing to the local Government Memorialist Solicited that his Statement and deposition might be sent for and Compared in order that his innocence might be ascertained, but they declined doing so, and Confirmed the Collectors decision and further declined to interfere on the ground of Memorialist having delayed in preferring his appeal. There was no delay whatever on the part of Memorialist He was dismissed on the 4th April 1857. He attended on the Collector for more than a year and half and obtained an Endorsement on the 15th May 1858 he then addressed the Board of Revenue on the 3rd September 1859 and lastly he addressed Government in 4 petitions in 1861 & 1862 who gave him a final Endorsement on the 15th May 1861 he presented 5 petitions in this interval with the above results.—

From the foregoing your Excellency in Council will perceive that the actually Culpable party is the Kurnum and that Memorialist is perfectly innocent.—

He therefore most humbly and respectfully solicits your Excellency to take his hard and pitiful case into kind and merciful Consideration and pass an order reinstating him in his former post or that he may be employed in some other post.—

And Memorialist as in duty bound shall ever Pray.

Copy in a Letter to Engr
Dd 1st May N. 39 of 1863

1.

Am N° 3354 of 18[illegible]

Norman 1862 Oct 22
Ltr of Gov Doo Dec 15
1330 & 1 Encl
Va 3429

Jno Lamb
Narasingum Lt Gl
Collector of Customs Ca

Mutteah Gurdoodo Pulley
Assistant District

Dated 5th Dec 1862
Rec'd 11th Dec 1862
Under Secretary's
Copy in a Letter to Govt
for Mdy No 39 of 1863

Requesting that his ac-
companying Petition expres-
sive of Memorialist's grievances
& the Rights Memorialist thereby
& what with the favourable re-
commendation of this Govt.

No 2030. 18th Dec

Memorialist

Mutteah Gurdoodo Pulley

No. 2030.

Memorialist should submit the orders passed in his case by the different authorities to whom he has appealed.

Fort St. George
18th December 1862

1 Copy Lr to Secretary Narasingum Dept

1264 & 255.