

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2627	Press
		Mis.
	248-469	
Dated	18/12	19/1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th December 1862.*

No. 248. Read the following letter from Major F. J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent Revenue Survey, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Chepauk, 3rd December 1862, No. 992 :—

SIR,

1. In July last my Deputy in Nellore Mr. Puckle made application to me for permission to employ Police guards to ensure the safe transport of the pay of the establishment for distribution in the District on account of the unsafe state of the roads, as evinced more especially by the robbery of a transit Theodolite in charge of four Peons in the Nellore Taluq, reported to

Letter from the Superintendent
Revenue Survey, dated 20th October
1862, No. 844.
* Average monthly charge Rupees 19.

Government in October last. I assented to this arrangement the more so as the survey Peons showed every disinclination to take charge of money to any distance from Nellore. The only condition I attached was that the pay* of the Police guards should be met from the unexpended balance and thus no additional funds be required.

For Rupees 6-13-8.

2. Till the end of September this charge was met and received audit, but at the beginning of October the bill was returned un-audited by the Civil Auditor, through the Superintendent of Police, because it had not been passed by the Assistant Inspector General of Police. It was consequently forwarded to that Officer with the receipt of the Inspector with a request that the bill might be passed or the money refunded. I now do myself the honor to report these circumstances, and to request that the sanction of Government may be accorded to the employment of Police guards for the purpose of escorting the pay of the District establishments when found necessary, no extra funds being required for the purpose.

3. I beg to explain that until the refusal of audit to the bill and the subsequent notification of the Civil Auditor, I was not aware that it was necessary to obtain the special authority of Government and sanction the charge under paragraph 13 of G. O. No. 1,779, dated 28th December 1859.

No. 249. ORDER THEREON, 18th December 1862, No. 2,627.

Referred to the Collector of Nellore for his opinion as to whether arrangements cannot be made for the disbursement of the pay of the Survey Establishment from the nearest Taluq Cutcherries, and so avoid the remittance of small sums of money under the charge of Police guards. Mr. Dykes will submit his report on the subject through the Board of Revenue.

(Signed.) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

3^d December 1862

Major G. B. Priestley
Superintendent Revenue Survey

My dear Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th inst. in relation to the proposed employment of Police guards to ensure the safe transport of the pay of the establishment for distribution in the District on account of the unsafe state of the roads as witnessed more especially by the robbery of a transit Thakur in charge of 6 pecus in the Tellone Taluk reported to Government in October last. I assented to this arrangement the more so as the Survey pecus showed every disinclination to take charge of money to any distance from Tellone. The only condition attached was that the pay of the police guards should be met from the unexpended balance and thus no additional funds be required.

At the end of September this charge was met and passed by the Civil Auditor through the Superintendent of Police because it had not been passed by the Assistant Inspector General of Police. It was consequently forwarded to that Officer with the request of the Superintendent with a request that the bill might be passed or the money refunded. I now do myself the honor to report these circumstances and to request that the sanction of Government may be accorded to the employment of Police guards for the purpose of securing the pay of the District establishment which found necessary no extra funds being required for the purpose.

I beg to explain that until the refusal of audit to the bill and the subsequent notification of the Civil Auditor, I was not aware that it was necessary to obtain the special authority of Government and sanctioned the charge under Para 13 of G. O. N^o 1779 dated 28th 10/62.

From the Secy. P.
Survey dated 20th Oct 62
N^o 864

Large monthly charge
Rs 19
for Oct 62 13/0

28 Dec 59 / 59 No 92-93.
 51st Nov 59 / 59 -- 50-51.

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd Govt 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

Recd 1862 Dec 1862
 Recd 1862 Dec 1862

No 248 & 249.

213 Dec 1862.

for his services as a soldier in the army of the United States
 he made for the discharge of the Army of the United States
 during his absence from the United States Army and during
 of his services. The Office will submit his report on
 of the subject through the Board of Review.

1862 Dec 1862
 Superintendent Revenue Service
 Major
 Priestley

Board of Review
 your most obt. servant

Collecting Station
 1312 1312 of Rev. Littlewood

1312 of Rev. Littlewood

28th December 1859.