M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2502-2503 Press Mis.

Reg: 354

Dated 28-11-1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 28th November 1862.

No. 349. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th October 1862, No. 7,070:—

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tirukalikundram, 20th September 1862, No. 334.

SIR,

1. With reference to paragraph 3 of the accompanying copy of a letter from the Acting Inám Commissioner, I have the honor to inform the Board that, on the formation of the Cantonment of Pallaveram out of the lands of the Shrotriem village of Pammal and the Zamíndári of Pallaveram, an annual remission equal to their estimated value was ordered to be made in the Peshkash of the two Estates debiting the deduction to the Military Department. As the remission allowed for the lands of Pammal exceeded the share of the Peshkash originally charged, the surplus is credited towards the Peshkash of Melamanambedu and Vadakapattu, the three villages being Natavar Shrotriems held under one grant, though the Peshkash or Jódi was apportioned on them separately, as given in the margin. When the family divided some time ago, Pammal fell to the share of one member, who has since held the Shrotriem free of rent, whilst the other two villages have been held by another member of the family, upon a reduced Jódi of only Rupees 9-1-7, credit being annually given for the remainder of the Jódi or Rupees 351-13-7, and for the whole of the Jódi on Pammal, or Rupees 157-5-8.

From Government to the Board of Revenue, 21st January 1831.

	Kist. Rs.	A.	P.
Melamanambedu and Vadakapattu	360	15	2
Pammal	157	5	8

2. The Acting Inám Commissioner inquires whether the amount for which credit is now annually given to the Shrotriems cannot be permanently written off their Peshkash, so as to enable him to treat the villages as they are now actually enjoyed. I am not aware of any objection to the proposed measure, for I find that a similar course was pursued in reference to the lands taken in another Shrotriem village of Adambakum for the Cantonment of Saint Thomas'* Mount, estimated value of which was permanently reduced in the Peshkahs of the Shrotriem.

* Vide Order of Government dated 24th June 1817.

3. I beg therefore to recommend that the sanction of Government may be obtained for making permanent reductions in the Peshkash of the Pallaveram Zamíndári, and of the Shrotriems of Pammal, Melamanambedu, and Vadakapattu to the extent shown below, in lieu of the present system of giving them credit for the amount, and debiting the same to the Military Department, should the Board see no objection to the measure.

Names of the Estates.	Original Peshkash.			Amount of reduction equal to the credit annually given for the Cantonment lands.			Remaining Peshkash to be fixed.		
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Pallaveram	1,178	12	11	531	6	9	647	6	2
Pammal	157	5	8	157	5	8	...	...	...
Melamanambedu aud Vadakapattu	360	15	2	351	13	7	9	1	7

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Inám Commissioner, to B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated Vellore, 30th August 1862, No. 312.

SIR,

1. With reference to your letter of the 26th May last, I have the honor to forward for your information a copy of my decision in the case of the Pammal Shrotriem village therein referred to, and request you will be so good as to make over the village with its past proceeds to Chendalathipatti Mudali on the 1st October next, by which date the time which I have allowed to the opposite party to appeal will have expired.

2. Referring to the last paragraph of your letter under reply, I would suggest to you that as all Inám tenures whether consisting of whole villages or minor Ináms have been transferred to this Commission for inquiry and disposal, it will not be necessary for you to hold a separate investigation into the case.

3. It would appear that the Shrotriem villages of Pammal, Melamanambedu, and Vadakapattu which are held under the same grant being situated in different Táluqs have their Peshkash separately entered against each, and that in consideration of certain lands taken for the Pallaveram Cantonment in the village of Pammal the whole Peshkash of that village, and nearly the whole Peshkash of the other two villages is annually remitted.

4. In now dealing with these villages separately for the purpose of their enfranchisement it becomes a difficult question to determine to what extent the annual remission given should be taken into account in fixing the value. The simplest plan, it appears to me, will be to treat the villages as they are now actually enjoyed, or in other words, Pammal as rent free, and the other villages as held on their reduced Peshkash and enfranchise them with reference to their present actual value to their holders exclusive of the lands taken for the Cantonment. But this cannot be done so long as the annual payment on account of the Cantonment lands is not permanently written off from the Peshkash of the Shrotriem in your accounts. I shall feel obliged by your informing me whether any objection exists to the above plan being adopted in your accounts with the sanction of the Board of Revenue and of Government.

(True Copy.)

(Signed.) B. CUNLIFFE, *Collector.*

Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Collector be authorized to carry out the proposed arrangement, which seems unobjectionable.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed.) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 350. ORDER THEREON, 28th November 1862, No. 2,502.

As the reductions annually made in the Peshkash of these Estates are permanent, being in lieu of lands appropriated to Government purposes, no useful object is gained by retaining the original Peshkash in the accounts. The Peshkash of these Estates will therefore permanently stand at the rates marginally shown.

Names of the Estates.	Original Peshkash.	Amount annually given for the Cantonment lands.	Remaining Peshkash as fixed for the future.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Pallaveram	1,178 12 11	531 6 9	647 6 2
Pammal	157 5 8	157 5 8	... ...
Melamanambedu and Vadakapattu	360 15 2	351 13 7	9 1 7

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the following letter be despatched.

No. 351. From J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, to G. N. TAYLOR, Esq., Inám Commissioner, dated 28th November 1862, No. 2,503.

SIR,

1. Adverting to your letter of the 24th ultimo, I am instructed to inform you that it is proposed to postpone, for the present, the consideration of the Revenue Code. To prevent therefore any inconvenience occurring to the holders of Inám lands, the Governor in Council requests that you will prepare a Draft Bill embodying all those points on which you consider legislation necessary, such as for regulating the sub-division of Inám lands and enabling the proprietors to collect their dues. This Draft Bill, with full explanation of its provisions, should be forwarded through the Board of Revenue, in order that it may reach Government accompanied by the remarks of the Board.

2. The Governor in Council wishes to receive the Bill at an early date, and care must be taken to show in it what portions apply to both enfranchised and unenfranchised Ináms, or to one only of those two descriptions of Ináms.

No. 7,070.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 24th October 1862.

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tirukalikundram, 20th September 1862, No. 334:—

1. With reference to paragraph 3 of the accompanying copy of a letter from the Acting Inam Commissioner, I have the honor to inform the Board that, on the formation of the Cantonment of Pallaveram out of the lands of the Shrotriem village of Pammal and the Zemindari of Pallaveram, an annual remission equal to their estimated value was ordered to be made in the Peshkush of the two Estates debiting the deduction to the Military Department. As the remission allowed for the lands of Pammal exceeded the share of the Peshkush originally charged, the surplus is credited towards the Peshkush of Melwanambedu and Vadakapattu, the three villages being Natavar Shrotriems held under one grant, though the Peshkush or Jodi was apportioned on them separately, as given in the margin. When the family divided some time ago, Pammal fell to the share of one member, who has since held the Shrotriem free of rent, whilst the other two villages have been held by another member of the family, upon a reduced Jodi of only Rupees 9-1-7, credit being annually given for the remainder of the Jodi or Rupees 351-13-7, and for the whole of the Jodi on Pammal, or Rupees 157-5-8.

From Government to the Board of Revenue, 21st January 1831.

	Kist. Rs. A. P.
Melamanambedu and Vadakapattu	360 15 2
Pammal	157 5 8

2. The Acting Inam Commissioner inquires whether the amount for which credit is now annually given to the Shrotriems cannot be permanently written off their Peshkush, so as to enable him to treat the villages as they are now actually enjoyed. I am not aware of any objection to the proposed measure, for I find that a similar course was pursued in reference to the lands taken in another Shrotriem village of Adambakum for the Cantonment of Saint Thomas'* Mount, the estimated value of which was permanently reduced in the Peshkush of the Shrotriem.

* Vide Order of Government dated 24th June 1817.

3. I beg therefore to recommend that the sanction of Government may be obtained for making permanent reductions in the Peshkush of the Pallaveram Zemindari, and of the Shrotriems of Pammal, Melamanambedu, and Vadakapattu to the extent shown below, in lieu of the present system of giving them credit for the amount, and debiting the same to the Military Department, should the Board see no objection to the measure.

Names of the Estates.	Original Peshkush.	Amount of ~~reduction~~ equal to the credit annually given for the Cantonment lands.	Remaining Peshkush to be fixed.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Pallaveram	1,178 12 11	531 6 9	647 6 2
Pammal	157 5 8	157 5 8	... ...
Melamanambedu and Vadakupattu	360 15 2	351 13 7	9 1 7

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Inam Commissioner, to B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, dated Vellore, 30th August 1862, No. 312.

1. With reference to your letter of the 26th May last, I have the honor to forward for your information a copy of my decision in the case of the Pammal Shrotriem village therein referred to, and request you will be so good as to make over the village with its past proceeds to Chendalathipatti Mudali on the 1st October next, by which date the time which I have allowed to the opposite party to appeal will have expired.

2. Referring to the last paragraph of your letter under reply, I would suggest to you that as all Inam tenures whether consisting of whole villages or minor Inams have been transferred to this Commission for inquiry and disposal, it will not be necessary for you to hold a separate investigation into the case.

3. It would appear that the Shrotriem villages of Pammal, Melamanambedu, and Vadakapattu which are held under the same grant being situated in different Taluks have their Peshkush separately entered against each, and that in consideration of certain lands taken for the Pallaveram Cantonment in the village of Pammal the whole Peshkush of that village, and nearly the whole Peshkush of the other two villages is annually remitted.

4. In now dealing with these villages separately for the purpose of their enfranchisement it becomes a difficult question to determine to what extent the annual remission given should be taken into account in fixing the value. The simplest plan, it appears to me, will be to treat the villages as they are now actually enjoyed, or in other words, Pammal as rent free, and the other villages as held on their reduced Peshkush and enfranchise them with reference to their present actual value to their holders exclusive of the lands taken for the Cantonment. But this cannot be done so long as the annual payment on account of the Cantonment lands is not permanently written off from the Peshkush of the Shrotriem in your accounts. I shall feel obliged by your informing me whether any objection exists to the above plan being adopted in your accounts with the sanction of the Board of Revenue and of Government.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) B. CUNLIFFE, Collector.

Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Collector be authorized to carry out the proposed arrangement, which seems unobjectionable.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government.

Copy to B. Cunliffe, Esq., Collector of Madras.
" G. N. Taylor, Esq., Inam Commissioner.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rec'd: 24 June 1862 by post
" 29 January 1863 " 31-32

Rev: 1862. Department.
Rec'd: 29 Jany 1863
No. 297-

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 24th October 1862.
Despatched 28-10-62
Received 29-1-63

No. 7,070.

Con: No 2502 - 29 Nov

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras, proposing to make permanent reduction in the Peshkush of the Palaveram Zemindary and of certain Shotriems instead of annual remissions, with the Board's recommendation, that the Collector be authorized to carry out the proposed arrangement.

Rec'd: Nov: 25th 1862
Pd 249-
26-11-

3

Revenue Department

No. 2502

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 28th November 1862.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras, proposing to make permanent reduction in the Peshkush of the Palaveram Zemindary and of certain Shotriems instead of annual remissions, with the Board's recommendation, that the Collector be authorized to carry out the proposed arrangement.

(Here enter 24th Oct. 1862 No. 7070)

As the reductions annually made in the Peshkush of these Estates are permanent, being in lieu of lands appropriated to Government purposes, no useful object is gained by retaining the

The original Peshcush in the accounts. The Peshcush of these Estates will therefore permanently Stand as the rates marginally shown.

Names of the Estates	Original Peshkush	Amount annually given for the Cantonment lands.	Remaining Peshkush to be collected in the future.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Pullaveram.	1178-12-11	531-6-9	647-6-2
Pannal.	157-5-8	157-5-8	.....
Melumanam & Vadakupattu.	360-15-2	351-13-7	9-1-7

16 Prices Table Bd of Revenue Dec 18th 1801

5

Dear dear Sirin

I return you Sifonia
(Christ spelling unknown) with
many thanks. -
Sir Wm Pym's thanks with
me that a letter of this
sort had been sent to Jagla

Ellen

Reduction of the Peshkush
of the Pallaveram Zamindary

Pallaveram Dy Coll
Rec: 9th Jan 62
No 2,502.

Pallaveram Zamindar Petr.
Dated 28th Nov 61.

Rec'd 4th Jan 62.

Petition of the
Pallaveram Zamindar
and Pattah Chittadar of
Pannoor, Chetpaun arundar
and Kolakathoor villages
Chingleput praying that
the Peshkush fixed on
the said villages may be
reduced from Fasly 1249
to 1250.
Fasly 1250.

To/ From the Secretary to Govt

No 2503

G. N Taylor Esqre

28th Novr /0

Sworn Commissr

Sir,

Adverting to your letter of the 24th Octo. I am instructed to inform you that it is proposed to postpone for the present the consideration of the Revenue Code — To prevent therefore any inconvenience occurring to the holders of Swamp lands, the G. in C requests that you will prepare, a Draft Bill embodying at Ntore such on which you consider legislation necessary for the subdivision of Swamp lands & such as for regularity

including the jurisdiction to collect their dues

This Draft Bill, with full explanation of its provisions, should be forwarded through the Board of Revenue, in order that it may reach Govt accompanied by the remarks of the Board —

2 — The Govt in C wishes to receive the Bill at an early date, and care must be taken to show in it what portions apply to both unpurchased & unappropriated Swamps, or to one only of these two descriptions of Swamps —

5. Copies to the Swamp Commrs Sec'd 28th
Bd of Rev. for inf.

3 October 1862 No 403-404

Revenue 1862 Dept.
Proc. of Govt for Nov.

13.6.93
30/6/28
5/7/02

22.11.04

No 2503.

To
The Inam Commr.

Dy 28th November 1862.
From B of Revd. 28th Janry
No 262.

The Inam Commissioner requested to prepare and submit, through the Revenue Board a Draft Bill for regulating the Inam Division of Inam lands and enabling proprietors to collect their dues.

No 357.

L

Copied 28/1