

1. Number of pages. / 40/0
2. Date of repair. / 3 . 11. 35-
3. Initials of Referent.

M.R.O. 1-82,400-22-11-80.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2449 Revenue	Press Mis.
Dated	20th November	1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Travelling Allowance Sept.

(1)
Civil Pay Master's Office
Fort Saint George
3^d November 1862.

N^o 162.

From,

W. I. Paynor, Esq^r.

Off^r Civil Pay Master.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esq^r.

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the order of Government dated 28th October, N^o 2305 referring for my remarks a letter from the Off^r Director of Revenue Settlement relative to the travelling charges of his Office Establishment, and in reply to state that I have no remarks to offer on the subject beyond those contained in my letter (a copy of which is annexed) of the 19th September to the address of that officer - The rule therein referred to is in force in other Departments as well as the Revenue Settlement. -

2^d. With reference to the concluding clause of paragraph of Mr. Master's letter, I beg to remark that the cost of conveyance of servants and additional baggage could not be admitted by this Office without a special ruling by the Government of India allowing such charges. -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. I. Paynor

Off^r Civil Pay Master.

C. J. H.

about
pension for
service
index
of Warde

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th November 1862.*

No. 240. Read the following letter from W. J. RAYNOR, Esq., Officiating Civil Paymaster, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 3rd November 1862, No. 162:—

Sir,
1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the Order of Government, dated 23rd October, No. 2,305, referring for my remarks a letter from the Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, relative to the travelling charges of his Office establishment, and in reply to state that I have no remarks to offer on the subject beyond those contained in my letter (a copy of which is annexed) of the 19th September to the address of that Officer. The rule therein referred to is in force in other Departments as well as the Revenue Settlement.

2. With reference to the concluding clause of paragraph 6 of Mr. Master's letter, I beg to remark that the cost of conveyance of servants and additional baggage could not be admitted by this Office without a special ruling by the Government of India allowing such charges.

From W. J. RAYNOR, Esq., Officiating Civil Paymaster, to R. E. MASTER, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, dated Fort Saint George, 19th September 1862, No. 141:

Sir,
1. With reference to your letter of the 13th instant, No. 113, I have the honor to observe that all subordinate Officers, whose duties are not executive, but ministerial only, are held to come under the denomination of Office Clerks, to every class of whom, irrespective of the amount of salary, the Order of the Government of India, dated 31st May last, is equally applicable.

2. As the Chief Uncovenanted Assistants of your Office do not appear to come in the category of Executive Officers, the order in question would apply to them.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. A. VINT,
Head Assistant to Civil Paymaster.

No. 241. ORDER THEREON, 20th November 1862, No. 2,449.

It appears to His Excellency the Governor in Council to be very questionable whether the Order referred to by the Civil Paymaster, was intended to apply to ministerial Officers of the rank of those alluded to in Mr. Master's letter of the 15th ultimo; and as the Governor in Council concurs in the opinion of the Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement that the simple payment of the Railway fare without any extra allowance for baggage or for a servant is in the case of such persons insufficient to meet their actual travelling expenses, he resolves to refer the matter for the orders of the Government of India.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the following letter be despatched.

No. 242. From J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated 20th November 1862, No. 2,450.

Sir,
1. I am directed by the Government of Fort Saint George to submit for the consideration and orders of His Excellency the Governor General in Council the papers noted in the margin, relating to a question which has arisen in connection with the rulings of the Government of India, dated 31st May and 31st July last, Nos. 3,835 and 5,163, Financial Department.

G. O. 23rd October 1862, No. 2,305.
G. O. 20th November 1862, No. 2,449.

Travelling allowance Sept.

No. 141.

To Mr. C. Master Esq.
Off. Director of Revenue Settlement
Sir,
With reference to your letter of the 13th instant No. 113, I have the honor to observe that all subordinate Officers in whose duties are not executive, but ministerial only, are held to come under the denomination of Office Clerks, to every class of whom, irrespective of the amount of salary, the Order of the Government of India dated 31st May last is equally applicable.

As the Chief Uncovenanted Assistants of your Office do not appear to come in the category of Executive Officers, the order in question would apply to them.

Civil Paymaster's Office
Fort Saint George.
19th September 1862

Shave & Co.
Signed, W. J. Raynor
Off. Civil Paymaster

(True copy)
C. A. Vint
Head Asst. to Civil Paymaster

C. A. Vint

No. 2449.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the 20th November 1862.

Read the follow-
ing letter from the off-
cial Paymaster.

With reference
to S.O. dated 28th Ulti-
mo, states that he has
no further remarks to
offer regarding travelling
charges of the office be-
-tablishment of the Di-
-rector of Revenue settle-
-ment than those con-
-tained in letter to Mr.
Master (copy enclosed) and
adds that the rule of
31st May is in force in
other Departments.

(Here enters 3rd Nov.
1862 No. 162)

It appears to
this Excellency the Gov-
-or in Council to be very
questionable whether the
order referred to by the
civil Paymaster was in-
-tended to apply to ex-
-ministration

W
gp

No. 28 October 1862
- 3rd Nov. 1862
- 1st Dec. 1862

To the Secretary
to Government

Revenue Department

Letter 3rd November

Read 1st Nov. 1862

With reference to S.O.

dated 28th Ultimo, states

that he has no further

remarks to offer regarding

travelling charges of the office

10th Nov 1862

Copy to the Secy to Govt.

Rev. Secy to Govt.

From the Secy to Govt.

At Madras 23rd Nov 1862

Oct 1862

S.O. No. 2449, 20 Nov

No. 162

Copy enclosed in letter to Mr. Master
dated 31st May 1862
The rule of 31st May is in force
in other Departments.

Ministerial officers
 of the rank of those
 alluded to in Mr. Master's
 letter of the 15th ultimo
 and as the Governor
 in Council concurs in
 the opinion of the off-
 g. Director of Revenue settle-
 ment that the simple
 payment of the Railway
 fare without ^{extra} any allow-
 -ance for baggage
 or for a servant is in
 the case of such persons
 insufficient to meet their
 actual travelling ex-
 -penses he resolves to
 refer the matter for the
 orders of the Govt of India.


 J. G.

13 Copies to the Secy of Revenue
 3 - Civil Department
 7 - Govt of India

Travelling charges
of the office ~~settled~~ of the
Director of Revenue settle-
ment.

32,669 +
619,669 +

Received 1862 D. 1170
No. of Govt for 24000

Pro: of the Collector's Post
of 20th December 1862.

From the Dep. to Govt of 22d.
20th Dec 62. of 396. in the
91st Vol. by 13 Dec 62.

Charges relating to the
question of railway as
accommodation to be allowed
to subordinate officers;
brought forward by the
acting Dir of Revenue
settlement, referred for
the orders of the
Government.