

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Revenue***DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2208

Press
Mis.

175-176

Dated

16 - 10 - 18 72

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th October 1862.

No. 175. Read the following letter from the Honorable C. PELLY, Member of the Board of Revenue, to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Chief Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ootacamund, 25th September 1862, No. 1,371 :—

Sir,

1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of Government a letter* and note† from the Collector of Malabar, regarding the appointment of a separate Moonsiff for Wainád.

2. Originally there was in Wainád a Deputy Collector and Magistrate, and a District Moonsiff, stationed at Manantoddy; Sir Charles Trevelyan proposed the appointment of a second Deputy Magistrate, and accordingly two Deputy Magistrates were given to the Wainád Táluq. But subsequently (see Proceedings of Government, dated 24th September 1860, No. 1,698) it appearing that more had been done for Wainád in this respect than was necessary and more than could be done without disregarding claims elsewhere, it was proposed to have but one Deputy Collector and Magistrate at Manantoddy invested with a Moonsiff's powers, and to remove the Moonsiff at Manantoddy to Nelialam, and to invest him with Magisterial powers also, and to raise him to a higher class of Moonsiff.

3. Mr. Grant, the late Collector, had also asked for three Sub-Magistrates for the Wainád; but with a Tahsildár, and two Deputy Collectors and Magistrates, it was thought that one Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry would be enough.

4. The Moonsiff at Manantoddy, it seems, was removed, but none has been appointed for Nelialam as contemplated, so the Magisterial staff of the Táluq now consist of:

- 1 Deputy Collector and Magistrate invested also with the Judicial powers of a Moonsiff.
- 1 Tahsildár.
- 1 Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry.

5. The Collector, Mr. Ballard, now asks for another Sub-Magistrate; and as the necessity for this Officer has already been contemplated by Government in their Proceedings dated 3rd July last, I have the honor to request that immediate sanction may be given to the Collector to entertain him. The Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry receives only 35 Rupees. But this is inadequate remuneration; and at the suggestion of the Collector, who, on the spot has considered the requirements of the District, I beg to recommend that the two Sub-Magistrates should receive respectively 65 Rupees and 50 Rupees each as Second and Third Class Sub-Magistrates. But that the establishment for each should be the same. The following is the revised establishment I would recommend for the Sub-Magistrates of the Wainád Táluq, costing 197 Rupees. The present cost of the Vaitry establishment, viz., 69 Rupees, will then be cancelled:—

	At Goodaloor.		At Vaitry.	
	Number.	Pay.	Number.	Pay.
		RS.		RS.
Sub-Magistrate	1	65	1	50
Gumáshta	1	15	1	15
Dusterbund	1	7	1	7
Masaljee and Sweeper	1	5	1	5
Peons at 7 Rupees each	2	14	2	14
Total...	7	106	7	91

6. The Collector further points out that the duties of the Deputy Collector are essentially of a nature requiring his frequent, if not constant, movement in the District; and requests that he may be relieved of other duties, the discharge of which is quite incompatible with the efficient performance of his Revenue and Magisterial work, and he recommends that there should be a separate Moonsiff appointed for the Wainád.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th October 1862.

No. 175. Read the following letter from the Honorable C. PELLY, Member of the Board of Revenue, to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Chief Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ootacamund, 25th September 1862, No. 1,371 :—

Sir,

1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of Government a letter* and note† from the Collector of Malabar, regarding the appointment of a separate Moonsiff for Wainád.

2. Originally there was in Wainád a Deputy Collector and Magistrate, and a District Moonsiff, stationed at Manantoddy; Sir Charles Trevelyan proposed the appointment of a second Deputy Magistrate, and accordingly two Deputy Magistrates were given to the Wainád Táluq. But subsequently (see Proceedings of Government, dated 24th September 1860, No. 1,698) it appearing that more had been done for Wainád in this respect than was necessary and more than could be done without disregarding claims elsewhere, it was proposed to have but one Deputy Collector and Magistrate at Manantoddy invested with a Moonsiff's powers, and to remove the Moonsiff at Manantoddy to Nelialam, and to invest him with Magisterial powers also, and to raise him to a higher class of Moonsiff.

3. Mr. Grant, the late Collector, had also asked for three Sub-Magistrates for the Wainád; but with a Tahsildár, and two Deputy Collectors and Magistrates, it was thought that one Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry would be enough.

4. The Moonsiff at Manantoddy, it seems, was removed, but none has been appointed for Nelialam as contemplated, so the Magisterial staff of the Táluq now consist of:

- 1 Deputy Collector and Magistrate invested also with the Judicial powers of a Moonsiff.
- 1 Tahsildár.
- 1 Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry.

5. The Collector, Mr. Ballard, now asks for another Sub-Magistrate; and as the necessity for this Officer has already been contemplated by Government in their Proceedings dated 3rd July last, I have the honor to request that immediate sanction may be given to the Collector to entertain him. The Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry receives only 35 Rupees. But this is inadequate remuneration; and at the suggestion of the Collector, who, on the spot has considered the requirements of the District, I beg to recommend that the two Sub-Magistrates should receive respectively 65 Rupees and 50 Rupees each as Second and Third Class Sub-Magistrates. But that the establishment for each should be the same. The following is the revised establishment I would recommend for the Sub-Magistrates of the Wainád Táluq, costing 197 Rupees. The present cost of the Vaitry establishment, viz., 69 Rupees, will then be cancelled:—

	At Goodaloor.		At Vaitry.	
	Number.	Pay.	Number.	Pay.
		RS.		RS.
Sub-Magistrate	1	65	1	50
Gumáshta	1	15	1	15
Dusterbund	1	7	1	7
Masaljee and Sweeper	1	5	1	5
Peons at 7 Rupees each	2	14	2	14
Total...	7	106	7	91

6. The Collector further points out that the duties of the Deputy Collector are essentially of a nature requiring his frequent, if not constant, movement in the District; and requests that he may be relieved of other duties, the discharge of which is quite incompatible with the efficient performance of his Revenue and Magisterial work, and he recommends that there should be a separate Moonsiff appointed for the Wainád.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th October 1862.*

7. The proposition of the Collector is well deserving the re-consideration of the Government, as I think it would be expedient to relieve the Deputy Collector of his Moonsiff powers, and to appoint a separate Moonsiff for Wainád, as contemplated by Government in their Proceedings of 24th September 1860. But the Moonsiff might still, as originally contemplated, be invested with Magisterial powers, as a Sitting Magistrate either at Manantoddy, or Nelialum, as the Government may deem most fit. The Deputy Collector and Magistrate would then, at his head quarters and while moving through his District, supply the remaining Magisterial wants of the District over which he is to preside.

8. There would then be—

- 1 Deputy Collector and Magistrate.
- 1 Tahsildár.
- 2 Sub-Magistrates.
- 1 Moonsiff (with Magisterial powers) for this Táluq. The cost of which would be follows:—

	Rs.
Deputy Collector's salary...	500
Do. establishment...	118
Tahsildár's salary...	150
Do. establishment...	359
Sub-Magistrate, Second Class, salary...	65
Do. establishment...	41
Sub-Magistrate, Third Class, salary...	50
Do. establishment...	41
Total Revenue and Magisterial.....	1,324
1 Moonsiff's salary...	200
Do. establishment...	60
Total Judicial.....	260
Total.....	1,584

9. The Moonsiff and his establishment would of course be charged to the Judicial Department.

10. The Sub-Magistrates' salaries would be increased to Rupees 100 and to Rupees 70 respectively, if the recommendation made in my letter to you, under date the 11th July last, for raising the salary of the Sub-Magistrates generally, is adopted.

No. 176.

ORDER THEREON, 14th October 1862, No. 2,208.

1. The Collector of Malabar and Mr. Pelly forcibly point out in the above papers the necessity of a second Sub-Magistrate for Wainád, and for the Deputy Collector in that Táluq being relieved from the duties of a Moonsiff. The proposal to raise the pay of the present Sub-Magistrate at Vaitri is also a judicious one. The Governor in Council therefore sanctions the establishment suggested by Mr. Pelly and specified in the following table:—

	Present at Vaitri.	Proposed	
		At Gudalur.	At Vaitri.
Sub-Magistrate			
1 Gumáshta...	35	65	50
1 Dufterbund...	15	15	15
2 Peons at 7 Rupees each...	14	7	7
1 Masalchi and Sweeper	5	14	14
		5	5
		106	91
Total.....	69	197	
Increase...		128	

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th October 1862.*

Mr. Pelly will include this establishment in the Tabular Statement under preparation, and provide for the higher rates of salaries proposed in paragraph 10 of his present letter agreeably to paragraph 1 of the Order of Government dated 3rd September 1862, No. 1,867.

2. The proposal to relieve the Deputy Collector from the work of a Moonsiff will be disposed of in the Judicial Department. The High Court will be requested through that Department to report whether they could spare any District Moonsiff out of the present sanctioned establishment for service in Wainád. The pay of the Moonsiff's establishment would be 120 Rupees per mensem and not 60 Rupees as stated by Mr. Pelly.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,

Chief Secretary.

Journal of the Rev. Dept.

N^o 2208-

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 14th October 1862

Read the following

letter from Hon. C. Pelly Esq.
Member of the Board of
Revenue.

Submitting with
his recommendation letter
from the Coll^r of Malabar
regarding the appointment (Here enter 25th Sept/62
of a separate Dist^l Munsiff 1873/1)
for Wynaud and requesting
immediate sanction for
the establishment of a second
class Sub Magistrate and
Establishment.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----

The Collector of
Malabar and M^r Pelly
forcibly point out in the
above
papers ~~the necessity for~~
the necessity for ~~appointing~~
a second sub Magis-
trate for Wynaud, and for
retaining the Dist^l Collector

being believed

in that taking from the
duties of a Moonseff.

The proposal to raise
the pay of the present

Sub Magistrate at Vaitre
is also a judicious one.

The Governor in Council
therefore sanctions the

establishment suggested
by Mr. Pelly and specified

in the following
table.

	Present at Vaitre	Proposed	
		at Gidda- har	at Vaitre
Sub Magistrate	35	65	50
1 Gunstale	15	15	15
1 Drifter band	-	7	7
2 peons at 7 Rs each	14	14	14
1 Mawalchi and Sweeper	5	5	5
		106	91
Total	69	197	
Increase		128	

Mr Pelly will indicate
this

this establishment in
the Tabular Statement
under preparation and
provide for the higher
rates of salaries pro-
posed in para 10 of his
present letter agreeably
to para 1 of the order
of Govt of 3 September
1862 & 1867.

2. The proposal
to relieve the Deputy
Collector from the work
of a Moonseff will be
disposed of in the Ju-
dicial Department.
The High Court will
be requested through
that Department to
report whether they
could spare any Dis-
trict Moonseff out of
the present sanctioned
establishment.

establishment for service
in Virginia. The pay
of the Mississippi es-
tablishment would be
120 Rupees per man
and not 60 Rs as stated
by Mr. Pelly.

[Faint handwritten notes and a large flourish]
8/10/60

- 4 Hon Mr Pelly
- 4-13th of Dec^r
- 5 Judd Dept
- 3 Civil Paymaster
- 3 Asst General

[Faint handwritten notes and a large flourish]

[Faint handwritten notes]

[Faint handwritten notes]

5-12-12

Revenue 1862
No. of Gov. for October

No 2208.

No. of the Madras Govt
Office, October 1862.

From Hon. Chief
Secretary

District Munsiff
Wynand.

No. No. $\frac{431}{24-9-60}$
 $\frac{35-36}{3-7-62}$
 $\frac{29-30}{3-9-62}$

No 175476.

By
orders
of the Madras Govt
referred to the necessity
of a 2d Sub Magistrate
for Wynand, and for
relieving the 2d Civil
from the duties of a
Munsiff.