M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2108 — Press / Mis.

521. 522

Dated 27. 9. 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 27th September 1862.

No. 521. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th September 1862, No. 6,107:—

Read the following letters:—

From R. E. MASTER, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Ootacamund, 27th August 1862, No. 1,140-65.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 30th ultimo, No. 4,937, forwarding an amended form of Puttah to be adopted on the introduction of the Revenue Settlement in Trichinopoly, and requesting the opinion of the Deputy Director and myself upon it.

2. In reply I beg to annex copy of a letter from Mr. Puckle dated 19th instant, No. 54, and to state that I concur with that gentleman, in considering the form of Puttah prepared by the Board preferable to that originally proposed for adoption. Adverting to paragraph 2 of Mr. Puckle's letter, the Board will probably not object to the substitution of letters for numbers in column 2, as the former have been used in the Settlement Registers. With reference however to the remark, that no entry can be made under the head of Fusljasti, as that item of charge will no longer exist on the introduction of a consolidated double crop assessment, I would observe that the double crop assessment will only be imposed on lands, which nearly always bear two crops, and that the levy of Fusljasti will still be necessary in the case of a second crop being occasionally grown on one crop land.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement, to R. E. MASTER, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, dated Parambalur, 19th August 1862, No. 54.

SIR,

1. With reference to your Proceedings No. [illegible] dated 8th instant, forwarding a new form of Puttah, circulated by the Board, and requesting my opinion thereon, I have the honor to state that I consider the new form to be complete in every respect, and to contain all necessary entries, while the column of remarks, and endorsement on the back allow of the annual deductions from, and additions to, each Ryot's holding being briefly and clearly exhibited.

2. I notice however two slight discrepancies which have probably attracted your attention also, viz., that interstitial fields should be lettered and not numbered, and that no entry can be made under the head of Fusljasti, when, as stated by the Board in paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings, this charge will no longer exist when a consolidated double crop assessment is introduced.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) R. E. MASTER,
Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement.

From Æ. R. McDONELL, Esq., Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 2nd September 1862, No. 144.

SIR,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 30th of July last, No. 4,937, forwarding an amended form of Puttah and calling for my opinion on it, I have the honor to state that the form is in every way adapted to the requirements of a permanent document. But as the Board propose consolidating the assessment on second crop lands, I do not see the necessity of still retaining in the Puttah the item "Fusljasti."

1. The Board are of opinion that the use of letters instead of numbers to distinguish interstitial fields is a decided improvement, as lessening the chance of confusion in the entries.

2. As the form is approved by the Officers of the Revenue Settlement Department and by the Collector, the Board resolve to request the sanction of Government for its adoption.

3. They concur in opinion with Mr. Master, that the item of Fusljasti must be retained, as it will not unfrequently fall due.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 522. ORDER THEREON, 27th September 1862, No. 2,108.

The form of Puttah submitted with these Proceedings is approved.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Seal.

PUTTAH granted by A. B., Collector of in Taluk to
Inhabitant of on the 18 .

Monthly Kist.

November ... (*Ten per cent.*)
December ... (*Ten per cent.*)
January... ... (*Twenty per cent.*)
February ... (*Twenty per cent.*)
March ... ... (*Twenty per cent.*)
April ... ... (*Twenty per cent.*)

Showing the particulars of the land in your occupation, the assessment charged on each field, and the Kists payable to the Monegar.

Survey number.	Number of interstitial fields.	Tarum or Class.	Irrigated or Dry.	Extent. A.	G	Amount of Assessment. RS.	A.	P.	Remarks.
		Fasli 1270				10	0	0	
1	A	2nd	Dry.	5	20	12	0	0	Transferred to Papa Reddy in Fasli 1272.
	C	2nd	Do.	6	50	8	0	0	
	D	2nd	Do.	3	40	24	0	0	Razinamah given in Fasli 1271.
5	B	4th	Wet.	4	50	16	0	0	
	C	4th	Do.	2	30	30	0	0	
6	E	3rd	Do.	4	50				
				30	0	100	0	0	
	Lands newly taken up subsequently to Fasli 1270.								
	Fasli	1271				6	0	0	
39	E	6th	Dry.	3	0	24	0	0	
56	D	5th	Wet.	4	0				
	Fasli	1272				30	3	0	
95	H	4th	Wet.	5	0				

SETTLEMENT FOR EACH FASLI.

		Rs	Rs.	Rs.
Assessment as per particulars	Fasli 1270.			
Deduct.			100	
Waste remitted on No. 5 C		16		
Remissions as per Settlement		10		
		—	26	
			—	74
Fuzuljasty on No. 1 D, and 5 B			16	16
			—	—
Settlement for Fasli 1270...				90

Settling Officers's Stamp.

Assessment	Fasli 1271.			
Deduct.				100
Land given up No. 5 B				24
				—
Add.				76
Land newly taken up Nos. 39 E, and 56 D ...				30
Deduct.	Total holding...			106
Waste remitted on No. 5 C			16	
Remissions as per Settlement			9	
Add.			—	25
				81
Fuzuljasty on No. 1 D				4
Settlement for Fasli 1271 ...				87

Settling Officer's Stamp.

Assessment	Fasli 1272.			
Deduct.				106
Land transferred No. 1 C				12
				94
Add.				30
Land newly taken up No. 95 H				124
Deduct.	Total holding... ...			5
Remissions as per Settlement				119
Settlement for Fasli 1272...				

Settling Officer's Stamp.

Assessment	Fasli 1273.			124
Add.				
Teervajasty on No. 39 E				7
Settlement for Fasli 1273...				131

Settling Officer's Stamp.

Enclosure in Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue
to the Chief Secretary to
Govt. R. D. dated 13th
September 1862. No. 6107

Rev. 2092/62.

Form of Petta.

No. 6,107.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th September 1862.

Read the following letters:—

From R. E. MASTER, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Ootacamund, 27th August 1862, No. 1,140-65.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 30th ultimo, No. 4,937, forwarding an amended form of Puttah to be adopted on the introduction of the Revenue Settlement in Trichinopoly, and requesting the opinion of the Deputy Director and myself upon it.

2. In reply I beg to annex copy of a letter from Mr. Puckle dated 19th instant, No. 54, and to state that I concur with that gentleman, in considering the form of Puttah prepared by the Board preferable to that originally proposed for adoption. Adverting to paragraph 2 of Mr. Puckle's letter, the Board will probably not object to the substitution of letters for numbers in column 2, as the former have been used in the Settlement Registers. With reference however to the remark, that no entry can be made under the head of Fusljasti, as that item of charge will no longer exist on the introduction of a consolidated double crop assessment, I would observe that the double crop assessment will only be imposed on lands, which nearly always bear two crops, and that the levy of Fusljasti will still be necessary in the case of a second crop being occasionally grown on one crop land.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement, to R. E. MASTER, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, dated Parambalur, 19th August 1862, No. 54.

1. With reference to your Proceedings No. $\frac{1,044}{1,140}$ dated 8th instant, forwarding a new form of Puttah, circulated by the Board, and requesting my opinion thereon, I have the honor to state that I consider the new form to be complete in every respect, and to contain all necessary entries, while the column of remarks, and endorsement on the back allow of the annual deductions from, and additions to, each Ryot's holding being briefly and clearly exhibited.

2. I notice however two slight discrepancies which have probably attracted your attention also, viz., that interstitial fields should be lettered and not numbered, and that no entry can be made under the head of Fusljasti, when as stated by the Board in paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings, this charge will no longer exist when a consolidated double crop assessment is introduced.

(True Copy.)
(Signed) R. E. MASTER,
Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement.

From Æ. R. McDONELL, Esq., Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 2nd September 1862, No. 141.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 30th of July last, No. 4,937, forwarding an amended form of Puttah and calling for my opinion on it, I have the honor to state that the form is in every way adapted to the requirements of a permanent document. But as the Board propose consolidating the assessment on second crop lands, I do not see the necessity of still retaining in the Puttah the item "Fasaljasti."

---

1. The Board are of opinion that the use of letters instead of numbers to distinguish interstitial fields is a decided improvement, as lessening the chance of confusion in the entries.

2. As the form is approved by the Officers of the Revenue Settlement Department and by the Collector, the Board resolve to request the sanction of Government for its adoption.

3. They concur in opinion with Mr. Master, that the item of Fusljasti must be retained, as it will not unfrequently fall due.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government.

Copy to R. E. Master, Esq., Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement.
" to Æ. R. McDonell, Esq., Collector of Trichinopoly.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev= 1862. Department.
Pros: of Govt for Septr.

No. 2692.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 13*th September* 1862..
Despatched. 19 Do
Received. 26 Do.
Exd

Pros: of the Board of Revr
of 8 Octr/62 C Nr 2842

No. 6,107.

Requesting the sanction of Government for the form of Puttah proposed by the Board to be adopted on the introduction of the Revenue Settlement in Trichinopoly.

V.521 to 522.

Nº 2108. 27 Septr

With an order

Nº 2108.

The form of puttah sub-
mitted with this Proceedings is app-

W M

22/9/62
Fort St. George
27th Septr 1862

To Board of Revenue Dispatch

GS