M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2090 Press Mis.

482. 483

Dated 25 — 9 — 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 25th September 1862.

No. 482. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th September 1862, No. 6,135:—

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Wallajahbad, 3rd September 1862, No. 317.

SIR,

To Board, 26th November 1855.
Do. 14th June 1858.
Do. 29th December 1859.
From do. 2nd July 1858, No. 2,289.
Do. 3rd April 1860.
Do. 19th do. do. No. 1,671.
Do. 21st do. do ,, 1,961.
Do. 21st January 1860, No. 345.
To Board, 23rd March 1860.
Do. 15th April 1861.
From Board, 24th do. No. 2,133.

With reference to the correspondence marginally noted, I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for Rupees 140 paid to Mr. Dale, the Government Pleader for the defence of the Special Appeal Suit No. 72 of 1860 on the file of the late Sudder Court. The Appellants, who were permitted to sue as "paupers," were adjudged to pay all costs both in the original and Appeal Suits, but as the Government Vakíl of the Chingleput Court reports that they are possessed of no property, the cost incurred by Government in the defence of the Suits cannot be recovered from them.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the disbursement of 140 Rupees to the Government Pleader for defending Special Appeal Suit No. 72 of 1860 on the file of the late Sudder Court, be sanctioned.

To Government, 20th December 1855, No. 3,378.
From do. 15th January 1856, No. 53.
To do. 2nd July 1858, No. 2,289.
From do. 10th July do. No. 946.
To do. 21st January 1860, No. 345.
To do. 3rd April 1860, No. 1,671.
From do. 9th April 1860, No. 551.
To do. 24th April 1861, No. 2,133.
From do. 4th May 1861, No 996.

2. The particulars of this case are fully recorded in the correspondence noted in the margin. One Annasawmi Pillai and another sued the Collector of the late Chingleput District *in forma pauperis* before the Principal Sudder Amín's Court (Original Suit No. 18 of 1855), to recover the trusteeship of the Malaivayavoor Pagoda, from which the abovementioned Annasawmi had been removed for mismanagement. The Principal Sudder Amín dismissed the Suit with costs; and the parties carried their case in appeal before the Civil Court (Pauper Appeal Suit No. 11 of 1858), and in Special Appeal before the late Sudder (No. 72 of 1860) and were equally unsuccessful, the Sudder adjudging them to pay costs which had been incurred by the Government Pleader to the extent of 140 Rupees in defending the Special Appeal; but Mr. Cunliffe now reports that the money cannot be recovered from them, and that they have no property.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 483. ORDER THEREON, 25th September 1862, No. 2,090.

Sanction is accordingly granted for Rupees (140) one hundred and forty.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 6,135.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th September 1862.

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Wallajahbad, 3rd September 1862, No. 317 :—

To Board, 26th November 1855.
Do. 14th June 1858.
Do. 29th December 1859.
From Do. 2nd July 1858, No. 2,289.
Do. 3rd April 1860.
Do. 19th do. do. No. 1,671.
Do. 21st do. do. ,, 1,961.
Do. 21st January 1860, No. 345.
To Board, 23rd March 1860.
Do. 15th April 1861.
From Board, 24th do. No. 2,133.

With reference to the correspondence marginally noted, I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for Rupees 140 paid to Mr. Dale, the Government Pleader for the defence of the Special Appeal Suit No. 72 of 1860 on the file of the late Sudder Court. The Appellants, who were permitted to sue as "paupers," were adjudged to pay all costs both in the Original and Appeal Suits, but as the Government Vakil of the Chingleput Court reports that they are possessed of no property, the cost incurred by Government in the defence of the Suits cannot be recovered from them.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the disbursement of 140 Rupees to the Government Pleader for defending Special Appeal Suit No. 72 of 1860 on the file of the late Sudder Court, be sanctioned.

To Government, 20th December 1855, No. 3,378.
From do. 15th January 1856, No. 53.
To do. 2nd July 1858, No. 2,289.
From do. 10th July 1858, No. 946.
To do. 21st January 1860, No. 345.
To do. 3rd April 1860, No. 1,671.
From do. 9th April 1860, No. 551.
To do. 24th April 1861, No. 2,133.
From do. 4th May 1861, No. 996.

2. The particulars of this case are fully recorded in the correspondence noted in the margin. One Annasawmi Pillai and another sued the Collector of the late Chingleput District *in forma pauperis* before the Principal Sudder Amin's Court (Original Suit No. 18 of 1855), to recover the trusteeship of the Malaivayavoor Pagoda, from which the above-mentioned Annasawmy had been removed for mismanagement. The Principal Sudder Amin dismissed the Suit with costs ; and the parties carried their case in appeal before the Civil Court (Pauper Appeal Suit No. 11 of 1858), and in Special Appeal before the late Sudder (No. 72 of 1860) and were equally unsuccessful, the Sudder adjudging them to pay costs which had been incurred by the Government Pleader to the extent of 140 Rupees in defending the Special Appeal ; but Mr. Cunliffe now reports that the money cannot be recovered from them, and that they have no property.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government.

Copy to B. Cunliffe, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev = 1862. Department.

Proc: Sanction for Sp: Co

No. 2656.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 15th *September* 1862.
Despatched. 16 —
Received. 17 —

No. 6,135.

Submitting letter from the Collector of Madras, and recommending that the disbursement of Rupees 140 to the Government Pleader for defending Special Appeal Suit No 72 of 1860, be sanctioned.

Rs 209 0.

Sanction is ordered

Orig Sanction for Rs (140)

One Sanction Counterfoil

From 4th George's
25th Sept 1862
18 9 1/2

4 Orig Sanctions
3 Orig Counterfoils
3 Orig Civil Paymaster

Rs 209 0.

William Arden