M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2024 Press Mis.

Judl. 350

Dated 18. 9. 1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 18th September 1862.

No. 349. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd September 1862, No. 5,856:—

Read the following letter from Æ. R. McDONELL, Esq., Collector of Trichinopoly, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 28th July 1862, No. 130.

SIR,

As directed by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 11th instant, No. 4,474, calling upon me to ascertain how far the inference drawn in paragraph 2 of Sir Charles Wood's Despatch* is correct, I have the honor to report that it appears Mr. Bird in 1859, under an Order, dated the 4th of January, appointed Shaik Muktom Sahib to act as Police Peshkar of Varaganary during the temporary absence of the actual incumbent. Shaik Muktom Sahib took up this appointment and performed the duties of the office accordingly.

* Dated London, 14th May 1862. Government Order, 10th July 1862, No. 1,504.

1. Submitted for the information of Government, with reference to their Proceedings dated 10th July 1862, No. 1,504.

2. It would appear from the letter that the person in question was temporarily employed by Mr. Bird in the Police in January 1859, and it was in the same month that, as reported by Mr. Bird to the Board in his letter dated 14th February 1860, Shaik Muktom refused a subordinate situation.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 350. ORDER THEREON, 18th September 1862, No. 2,024.

1. With reference to the remarks in paragraph 2 of the Despatch of the Secretary of State of 14th May last,* No. 9, respecting one Shaik Muktom who was dismissed in 1857 from his office of Police Amín in Trichinopoly, the Board of Revenue in the foregoing Proceedings state that that individual was in January 1859 temporarily employed in the Police by Mr. Bird, the then Collector; but that Shaik Muktom had in the same month refused a subordinate situation.

* In G. O. 10th July 1862, No. 1,504.

2. This latter statement which is made on the authority of Mr. Bird's letter to the Board dated 14th February 1860, it is observed, was alleged by Shaik Muktom in his Memorial to the Secretary of State to be erroneous; while the present Collector's letter now submitted by the Board is silent on the point.

3. The Board will be requested to ascertain from Mr. McDonell if Shaik Muktom is willing to serve in a subordinate post, and whether he has any objection to re-employ that individual.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Revenue Department

No. 2024-

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 18th September 1862.

Read the following Proceed-
-ings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting for information a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly stating that Shaik Muktoom Sahib referred to in Sir Charles Wood's despatch of the 14th May 1862, was appointed by Mr. Baird, as acting Police Peshkar of Varaganary.

(Here enter 3d September 1862 No. 5856)

With reference to the remarks in para 2 of the Despatch of the Secretary of State of 14 May last No. 9 respecting one Shaik Muktoom who was dismissed in 1857 from his office of Police Amin in Trichinopoly, the Board of Revenue in the foregoing Proceedings state that this individual was in January 1859 temporarily employed in the Police by Mr. Baird the then Collector, but that Shaik Muktoom had in the same

* Rev. G. D. 10 July 1862 No. 504

same month refused a subordinate situation

2. This latter statement which is made on the authority of Mr Bird's letter to the Board dated 14 February 1860, it is observed, was alleged by Shaik Buddun in his memorial to the Secretary of State to be erroneous; while the present Collector's letter now submitted by the Board is silent on the point.

3. The Board will be requested to ascertain from Mr McDonell if Shaik Buddun is willing to serve in a subordinate post, and whether he has any objections to re-employ the individuals.—

14 Papers To the R. & F. Revd Dept 1861

10 July 62 No 155 155

Respecting the Claim of Chuckum Tolo Pillai Dubash to Trichinopoly.

Revenue 1862 Septr.
Pro: of Govt for Septr.

No 2024.

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dy 18th Septr 62.
Fo. B of Rev dy 6 Oct 62
& No 2875 in Pro.

Revenue Board requested to ascertain from Trichinopoly Collr if Chuck Muktum is willing to serve as a subordinate pro. & and whether he has any objection to re-employ that individual.

No 349 & 350.

Rd 14/10/62 Ans 17th