

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2018	Press
	336. 337	<u>Mis.</u>
Dated	17. 9. 18.62	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th September 1862.*

No. 336. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st September 1862,
No. 5,811 :—

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Vellum, 21st August 1862, No. 324.

SIR,

1. With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, on the subject of the pay o

From Acting Collector to Board,
28th June 1862, No. 243.
Proceedings of the Board, 23rd July
1862, No. 4,797.
Circular Proceedings of the Board,
30th July 1862, No. 4,929.

the village and Nat Curnums and Potal Establishment disallowed by the Civil Paymaster, I have the honor to state that that Officer has again returned my Bills on that account for the months of April, May, June, and July unaudited, with the remark that the charge is "not included in the sum provided for in the Budget for

"1862-63 on account of Hoozoor and Taluq Establishments amounting to Rupees 99,210;" in the remark column of the Bill for June and July it was distinctly pointed out to the Civil Pay-

Gumashitas from 12 to 55	rs.
Taluq.....	20,784
Mukhasa.....	4,326
Nat and Village Curnums..	1,26,621
Potal Establishment.....	61,641
	<u>2,19,422</u>

master what provision had been made in the Budget for the expenditure, viz., that a sum of Rupees 1,26,621 was entered for Nat and Village Curnums and included in Rupees 2,19,422 under Bl, Land Revenue, and I may now add that the remaining portion of the latter sum was intended to cover, among other items, the salary to Potails. To be more specific, I show in the margin all

the component parts of the entry, Rupees 2,19,422 recorded in the Budget Estimate.

2. As however the Civil Paymaster quotes a figure which is apparently at variance with the Budget as sent up from this Office, I am led to conclude that a modification may have been made by the Board, of which I have had no specific intimation, and I request therefore that I may be informed if such has been the case. Under any circumstances, a distinct provision has been actually made in the Budget for the items of expenditure in question; and I have again to beg the interference of the Board in this matter. A large and low paid class of the District Establishment have now been kept out of their pay for four months, and repeated complaints are being made to me of the distress which they suffer in consequence.

1. The Collector will see from the Board's Circular dated 23rd August 1862, No. 5,567, that the provision made for Village Establishments was struck out in the Board's Office from the

• Board's Circular, 23rd August
1862, No. 5,567.

Budgets of those Districts in which it was improperly included under the wrong head "Taluq or District Establishment." He should as already directed,* submit the Supplemental Budget for the charge in question.

† To Govt. 23rd Aug. 1862, No. 5,559.

2. The Government have been requested† to authorize the disbursement of the pay of the Village Establishment under the head of Advance, to be adjusted after the receipt of the sanction of the Government of India to the Supplemental Estimate, and as soon as the Order is received, it will be communicated to the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, Secretary.

No. 337. ORDER THEREON, 17th September 1862, No. 2,018.

Recorded with reference to the Order of Government, dated 8th September 1862, No. 1,906.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st September 1862.

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Vellum, 21st August 1862, No. 324:—

1. With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, on the subject of the pay of the village and Nat Kurnums and Potal Establishment disallowed by the Civil Pay Master, I have the honor to state that that Officer has again returned my Bills on that account for the months of April, May, June, and July unaudited, with the remark that the charge is "not included in the sum provided for in the Budget for 1862-63 on account of Huzur and Taluk Establishments amounting to Rupees £9,210;" in the remark column of the Bill for June and July it was distinctly pointed out to the Civil Paymaster what provision had been made in the Budget for the expenditure, viz., that a sum of Rupees 1,26,621 was entered for Nat and Village Kurnums and included in Rupees 2,19,422 under BI, Land Revenue, and I may now add that the remaining portion of the latter sum was intended to cover, among other items, the salary to Potails. To be more specific, I show in the margin all the component parts of the entry, Rupees 2,19,422 recorded in the Budget Estimate.

Gumastahs from 12 to 55	Rs.
Taluk.....	26,784
Mokasa.....	4,326
Nat and Village Kurnums.	1,26,621
Potal Establishment.....	61,641
	<u>2,19,422</u>

2. As however the Civil Paymaster quotes a figure which is apparently at variance with the Budget as sent up from this Office, I am led to conclude that a modification may have been made by the Board, of which I have had no specific intimation, and I request therefore that I may be informed if such has been the case. Under any circumstances, a distinct provision has been actually made in the Budget for the items of expenditure in question; and I have again to beg the interference of the Board in this matter. A large and low paid class of the District Establishment have now been kept out of their pay for four months, and repeated complaints are being made to me of the distress which they suffer in consequence.

1. The Collector will see from the Board's Circular dated 23rd August 1862, No. 5,567, that the provision made for village establishments was struck out in the Board's Office from the Budgets of those Districts in which it was improperly included under the wrong head "Taluk or District Establishment." He should as already directed,* submit the Supplemental Budget for the charge in question.

2. The Government have been requested† to authorize the disbursement of the pay of the Village Establishment under the head of Advance, to be adjusted after the receipt of the sanction of the Government of India to the Supplemental Estimate, and as soon as the Order is received, it will be communicated to the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

[Signature]
Secretary.

To G. L. Morris, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Copy to Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government, for information.

Exd. J. Wright.

8th March 1862

Wm 1862
Deputy Secy of the Govt

No. 2512

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 1st September 1862.
Despatched 5th
Received 6th

No. 5811.

Stating that the Collector will see from the Board's Circular dated 23rd August last, that the provision made for Village Establishment was struck out as from the Budgets of those Districts in which it was improperly included under the wrong head, also that the sanction of Government has been requested for the disbursement of the pay of the Village Establishment as an advance to be adjusted on the Supplemental Estimate being sanctioned.

Mr. 2018.
Recorded with reference

To the order of Govt dated 8th Sept 1862.

18th Sept 1862.

Wm 1862

Colt

11th March 1862

Mr. 2018. 17th Sept 1862
With an order