

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2014	Press
329.330	~~Mis.~~
Dated 17. 9. 1862	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th September 1862.*

No. 329. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd September 1862,
No. 5,862:—

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to
W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Masulipatam, 7th August 1862, No. 182.

Sir,

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 4,889 of 28th July 1862, forwarding Government Order 24th idem, No. 1,593, regarding the accommodation to be provided for the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt at Nizampatam, I have the honor to state that, with reference to the present distribution of the Salt divisions in this District, I do not consider it necessary or advisable to construct an office at Nizampatam.

2. Since Nizampatam was proposed as the head quarters of the Deputy Collector, sanction has been received for opening Salt manufacture at Manganapudi as a station under Buntumilly division.

3. Manganapudi is at some distance from Buntumilly, but is near to Masulipatam, four miles only, and it is likely that the sales there in future will be large.

4. I have recommended* that Manganapudi be eventually formed into a separate division, and there will then, if the plan be sanctioned, be two divisions north, and two divisions south of Masulipatam, and I would strongly recommend that Masulipatam be constituted the head quarters of the Salt Deputy instead of Nizampatam; accommodation can then be given him in the Collector's Kacheri. Nizampatam is at present the least important division in the District, and the Deputy's residence there would not be productive of any practical good; whereas his presence at the head quarters of the District, with his office, will often greatly facilitate the transaction of business with the Collector, as well as the Treasury Deputy. His tours of inspection would not in any way be curtailed by this arrangement.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with reference to their Proceedings dated 24th July last, No. 1,593, wherein the Government inquired whether the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt in the Kistna District spends such a proportion of his time at Nizampatam as would render it advisable to provide him with office accommodation, either permanently or during the manufacturing season.

2. The Collector is averse to constructing an office at Nizampatam, and recommends strongly that Masulipatam be constituted the head quarters of the Deputy Collector, who would thus be placed between the two northern and two southern divisions. The Board do not agree with the Collector. They coincide in opinion with Mr. Clarke, who in paragraph 195 of his report† recommended that the Deputy should have his head quarters at Nizampatam on account of its central position, and they would deprecate any arrangement being made which would have the effect of drawing his attention away from his own particular duties. They therefore recommend that office accommodation be provided at Nizapatam.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER, *Acting Sub-Secretary.*

No. 330. ORDER THEREON, 17th September 1862, No. 2,014.

The Board will ascertain and report whether any suitable office accommodation can be procured at Nizampatam on reasonable terms.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd September 1862.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Masulipatam, 7th August 1862, No. 182 :—

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 4,889 of 23th July 1862, forwarding Government Order 24th idem, No. 1,593, regarding the accommodation to be provided for the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt at Nizampatam, I have the honor to state that, with reference to the present distribution of the salt divisions in this District, I do not consider it necessary or advisable to construct an office at Nizampatam.

2. Since Nizampatam was proposed as the head quarters of the Deputy Collector, sanction has been received for opening salt manufacture at Manganapudy as a station under Buntumilly division.

3. Manganapudi is at some distance from Buntumilly, but is near to Masulipatam, four miles only, and it is likely that the sales there in future will be large.

4. I have recommended* that Manganapudi be eventually formed into a separate division, and there will then, if the plan be sanctioned, be two divisions north, and two divisions south of Masulipatam, and I would strongly recommend that Masulipatam be constituted the head quarters of the Salt Deputy instead of Nizampatam; accommodation can then be given him in the Collector's Kacheri. Nizampatam is at present the least important division in the District, and the Deputy's residence there would not be productive of any practical good; whereas his presence at the head quarters of the District, with his office, will often greatly facilitate the transaction of business with the Collector, as well as the Treasury Deputy. His tours of inspection would not in any way be curtailed by this arrangement.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government with reference to their Proceedings dated 24th July last, No. 1,593, wherein the Government inquired whether the Deputy Collector in charge of the salt in the Kistna District spends such a proportion of his time at Nizampatam as would render it advisable to provide him with office accommodation, either permanently or during the manufacturing season.

2. The Collector is averse to constructing an office at Nizampatam, and recommends strongly that Masulipatam be constituted the head quarters of the Deputy Collector, who would thus be placed between the two northern and two southern divisions. The Board do not agree with the Collector. They coincide in opinion with Mr. Clarke, who in para-

* Dated 25th October 1860. graph 195 of his report* recommended that the Deputy should have his head quarters at Nizampatam on account of its central position, and they would deprecate any arrangement being made which would have the effect of drawing his attention away from his own particular duties. They therefore recommend that office accommodation be provided at Nizampatam.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. D. Horsley

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government.

Copy to W. D. Horsley, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

Pro. 26. Dec 1860 No. 399-380
" 24. July 1862 - 3862337

Pro. 1862 Department.
No. 2572.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 3rd September 1862.
Despatched 9-2-2
Received 17-2-2

From J. J. M. M. M.
C. 2943. No. 5,862.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of the
Kistna District and stating that the Board do
not agree in the Collector's opinion for making
Masulipatam as Head quarters for the Salt De-
puty Collector, and that they recommend that
office accommodation be provided for him at
Nizampatam.

No. 2014. 17 1862

With an Order

17. 2014.

The Board will consider
and report on the subject
of the accommodation in
the proposed at Nizampatam
as recommended to them

J. J. M. M. M.
17-2-1862

17. 2014. 17 1862