M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1921 Press Mis.

139-140

Dated 9.9.1862.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 9th September* 1862.

No. 139. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd July 1862, No. 4,777 :—

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Karempudy, 3rd July 1862, No. 142.

SIR,

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th ultimo, No. 3,710, requesting me to state whether the deficiency of Rupees 1,666-3-8 cannot be met from any other items in the Budget for 1862-63, I have the honor to report that for the salaries of the Military Police Establishment transferred to the Collector in 1859 from the Commissariat Office, Northern Division, a sum of Rupees 2,600 was entered in the Budget Estimate for 1862-63 under the head "E, Civil Services, IV, Police"—minor head "Cantonment Police." On the introduction of the Constabulary into the Bandar Táluq in March last, the old Military Police Establishment was discontinued from 1st of that month. Hence the estimated amount will remain unexpended. I think, should the Board approve, the deficiency of Rupees 1,666-3-8 to complete Rupees 7,666-3-8, being the amount of compensation to be paid to Inámdárs, &c., whose lands were taken up for the Kistna channels, may be met from the said sum of Rupees 2,600.

2. Besides the above a sum of Rupees 2,688 was also entered in the same Budget under the head "B, Charges against Income Revenue Department, I, Land Revenue including Sayer and "Abkarry"—minor head No. 3 "Abkarry" on account of the salaries of the Military Abkarry Establishment. This sum will also be available for the purpose in question in the event of the Preventive Establishment* now under discussion being abandoned.

* From Board, 15th May 1862, No. 3,150
To Board, 13th June 1862, No. 133.

---

1. In their Proceedings of the 15th March 1862, No. 1,739, the Board recommended compensation to the amount of Rupees 7,666-3-8 for certain Inám and Seri lands taken up, in Government and Zamíndári Villages, for the Kistna channels; and in their later Proceedings, dated 11th June 1862, No. 3,718, they recommended a further sum of Rupees 146-3-6 on account of certain Zamíndári waste lands previously left out of calculation; so that the total compensation recommended was Rupees 7,812-7-2. Before sanctioning the compensation, however, Government wished to be informed† if provision had been made for the charge in the Budget, and if so, under what head.

† Govt. Procs. 1st May 1862, No. 937.
Do. 20th June ,, ,, 1,364.

2. In his letter of the 15th May 1862, No. 108, Mr. Thornhill reported that he had provided 6,000 Rupees for the purpose in his Budget Estimate of 1862-63 under the head "II, Refunds of Land Revenue; he also explained that the cause of his having made the provision under this head, was that his Estimate was forwarded before the completion of his report on the subject of the lands taken up for the channels, and before the receipt of Sheet E, "Estimates Public Works for the year ending 30th April 1863," in which a proper head was opened under "Miscellaneous," viz., "Compensation for lands taken up for public purposes," under which he entered a remark to the effect that "a sum of 6,000 Rupees had been entered under the item of Land Revenue. Refunds, Form A Allowances, Refunds, and Drawbacks." The Board then inquired‡ of the Collector whether the deficiency could not be met from any other items in his Budget, as the necessity for fresh application to the Government of India could then be avoided by making the necessary arrangements in communication with the Accountant General.

‡ Board's Procs. 10th June 1862, No. 3,710.

3. In his letter recorded above, the Collector states in reply that a sum of 2,600 Rupees entered in the Budget Estimate of 1862-63, under the head "E, Civil Services, IV, Police"—minor head "Cantonment Police," to cover the salaries of the Military Police Establishment transferred to the Collector by the Commissariat Department, Northern Division, in 1859, is not now required for that purpose as the new Constabulary was introduced into the Bandar Táluq in March last; and he suggests that the deficiency in the provision made for the compensation to

be paid for the Kistna channel lands, which is Rupees 1,812-7-2, and not Rupees 1,666-3-8 as stated in his letter, may be met from this source, or from a sum of Rupees 2,688 entered in the same Budget under the head "B, Charges against Income, Revenue Department I, Land Revenue including Sayer and Abkarry"—minor head No. 3 "Abkarry," on account of the salaries of the Military Abkarry Establishment, which sum is not now required for that purpose as the Preventive Establishment in question will be discontinued under orders of the Board, dated 7th July 1862, No. 4,384.

4. The Board resolve to request the Accountant General to state whether there is any objection to the arrangement suggested by the Collector. The Accountant General's reply will in due course be laid before Government in continuation of these Proceedings.

(A true Copy and Extract.)
(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

---

No. 140. ORDER THEREON, 9th September 1862, No. 1,921.

Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
*Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.*

No. 4,777.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd July 1862.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Karempudy, 3rd July 1862, No. 142:—

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th ultimo, No. 3,710, requesting me to state whether the deficiency of Rupees 1,666-3-8 cannot be met from any other items in the Budget for 1862-63, I have the honor to report that for the salaries of the Military Police Establishment transferred to the Collector in 1859 from the Commissariat Office Northern Division, a sum of Rupees 2,600 was entered in the Budget Estimate for 1862-63 under the head "E, Civil Services, IV, Police"—minor head "Cantonment Police." On the introduction of the Constabulary into the Bandar Taluk in March last, the old Military Police Establishment was discontinued from 1st of that month. Hence the estimated amount will remain unexpended. I think, should the Board approve, the deficiency of Rupees 1,666-3-8 to complete Rupees 7,666-3-8, being the amount of compensation to be paid to Inamdars, &c., whose lands were taken up for the Kistna channels, may be met from the said sum of Rupees 2,600.

2. Besides the above a sum of Ruppes 2,688 was also entered in the same Budget under the head "B, Charges against Income Revenue Department, I, Land Revenue including Sayer and "Abkarry"—minor head No. 3 "Abkarry" on account of the salaries of the Military Abkarry Establishment. This sum will also be available for the purpose in question in the event of the Preventive Establishment* now under discussion being abandoned.

* From Board, 15th May 1862, No. 3,150. To Board 13th June 1862, No. 133.

---

1. In their Proceedings of the 15th March 1862, No. 1,739, the Board recommended compensation to the amount of Rupees 7,666-3-8 for certain Inam and Seri lands taken up, in Government and Zemindari villages, for the Kistna channels; and in their later Proceedings, dated 11th June 1862, No. 3,748, they recommended a further sum of Rupees 146-3-6 on account of certain Zemindari waste lands previously left out of calculation; so that the total compensation recommended was Rupees 7,812-7-2. Before sanctioning the compensation, however, Government wished to be informed* if provision had been made for the charge in the Budget, and if so, under what head.

*Government Proceedings, 1st May 1862, No. 937.
Do. 20th June " " 1,364.

2. In his letter of the 15th May 1862, No. 108, Mr. Thornhill reported that he had provided 6,000 Rupees for the purpose in his Budget Estimate of 1862-63 under the head "II, Refunds of Land Revenue;" he also explained that the cause of his having made the provision under this head, was that his Estimate was forwarded before the completion of his report on the subject of the lands taken up for the channels, and before the receipt of Sheet E, "Estimates Public Works for the year ending 30th April 1863," in which a proper head was opened under "Miscellaneous," viz., "Compensation for lands taken up for public purposes," under which he entered a remark to the effect that "a sum of 6,000 Rupees had been entered under the item of Land Revenue. Refunds, Form A Allowances, Refunds, and Drawbacks." The Board then inquired† of the Collector whether the deficiency could not be met from any other items in his Budget, as the necessity for fresh application to the Government of India could then be avoided by making the necessary arrangements in communication with the Accountant General.

† Board's Proceedings, 10th June 1862, No. 3,710.

3. In his letter recorded above, the Collector states in reply that a sum of 2,600 Rupees entered in the Budget Estimate of 1862-63, under the head "E, Civil Services, IV, Police"—minor head "Cantonment Police," to cover the salaries of the Military Police Establishment transferred to the Collector by the Commissariat Department Northern Division in 1859, is not now re-

quired for that purpose as the new Constabulary was introduced into the Bander Taluk in March last; and he suggests that the deficiency in the provision made for the compensation to be paid for the Kistna channel lands, which is Rupees 1,812-7-2, and not Rupees 1,666-3-8 as stated in his letter, may be met from this source, or from a sum of Rupees 2,683 entered in the same Budget under the head "B, Charges against Income Revenue Department I, Land Revenue including Sayer and Abkarry"—minor head No. 3 "Abkarry," on account of the salaries of the Military Abkarry Establishment, which sum is not now required for that purpose as the Preventive Establishment in question will be discontinued under orders of the Board, dated 7th July 1862, No. 1,381.

4. The Board resolve to request the Accountant General to state whether there is any objection to the arrangement suggested by the Collector. The Accountant General's reply will in due course be laid before Government in continuation of these Proceedings.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To A. J. Arbuthnot, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, for information.

" H. A. Mangles, Esq., Acting Accountant General.

Copy to G. Thornhill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

1862 Department

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

*Dated* 23rd *July* 1862.

*Despatched.*

*Received.*

No. 4,777.

Submitting for the information of Government a letter from the Collector of the Kistna district relative to the compensation for lands taken up for the Kistna Channels, and requesting the Accountant General to state whether there is any objection to the arrangement suggested by the Collector to meet this charge in the Budget.