M.R.O.—14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1910	Press / Mis.
47-118	
Dated 8. 9. 1862	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 8th September 1862.

No. 117. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd August 1862, No. 5,539 :—

Read again letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, dated 26th March 1862, No. 53[illegible] and recorded in Board's Proceedings 30th April 1862, No. 2,724.

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated 15th July 1862, No. 157.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated 30th April 1862, No. 2,724, calling for further information respecting Tummalapully Somarázu, dismissed Curnum of Mandapad and Commalamudy, in the Gudivada Táluq of this District ; and to inform you that Somarázu has no son, or any other relative to hold the office of Curnum on his behalf. From the report of Gudivada Tahsíldár it appears that Somarázu was given in adoption to another ; and that he has an uterine brother who lives separately, and maintains himself by means of his Curneekum emoluments.

2. In reply to paragraph 2 of the Proceedings under acknowledgment, I beg to observe that the estimated annual average receipts of the allowances of the Curnums of the above two villages was Rupees 104-13-9 for Faslis 1265 (1855-56), 1266 (1856-57), and 1267 (1858-59). There were two Curnums for these two villages, of whom Somarázu was one, who used to conduct the duties conjointly; but since the dismissal of Somarázu only one Curnum is doing the duties of both villages. As Somarázu was an enlisted Curnum, he was in the receipt, during the above Faslis and prior to that, of half the emoluments pertaining to the office along with his colleague, though he did no duty for some time prior to his dismissal.

1. Ordered that the papers noted above be submitted to Government, with reference to their Proceedings dated 15th February last, No. 329, communicating Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State, dated 24th December 1861, No. 92, and calling for report on the suggestion therein contained regarding the propriety of granting pecuniary relief to Tummulapally Somarázu, dismissed Curnum of Mandapad and Commalamudy in the Gudivada Táluq of the Kistna District.

2. In his first letter Mr. Thornhill reports that the only means of livelihood possessed by the Curnum in question consists of the produce derived from 8 acres of land, the average annual profit of which during the last three years has amounted to Rupees 14-14-4 only. The Collector also observes that Somarázu has been reduced to great distress since his removal from the office of Curnum.

3. From the Collector's second letter the Board learn that while in Government employ the Curnum in question drew annually a sum a little over 50 Rupees per annum, and that he has no son or other relative who could be appointed in his stead to the post which he has forfeited through his misconduct.

4. Under these circumstances the Board recommend that a gratuity of Rupees 150 be disbursed to the Memorialist. This sum would represent an income of 2½ Rupees per mensem for five years.

5. Government Petition No. 1,624 of 1862 on this subject is herewith returned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER, *Acting Sub-Secretary.*

No. 118. ORDER THEREON, 8th September 1862, No. 1,910.

1. Adverting to the opinion expressed by the Secretary of State in the Despatch alluded to in the foregoing Proceedings, the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement to T. Somarázu of a gratuity of Rupees one hundred and fifty (150) as recommended by the Board.

2. The Petition, No. 1,624 of 1862, will be returned to T. Somarázu with an intimation to this effect.

3. The Board of Revenue will determine in communication with the Accountant General he Budget Head to which this charge is to be debited.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 2,724.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th April 1862.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Masulipatam, 26th March 1862, No. 53 :—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th ultimo, No. 1,168, communicating the Order of Government, dated 15th idem, No. 329, calling for a report on the present circumstances of Tummalapalli Somaraze, dismissed Kurnum of Mandapad and Commalamudy in the Gudivadah Taluk of this District, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board, that from inquiry it appears that the said Somaraze is possessed of no means of livelihood, other than the produce of 8 acres of Seri land, in a Bilmukta Agraharum called Mandapad, and that the average profit he has derived from that land during the last three years, after the payment of the Circar Sist and the Ryot of Agraharumdar, amounts to Rupees 14-14-4 a year, as detailed in the margin. The Tahsildar of Gudivada Taluk in which he resides, states that Somaraze has been reduced to great poverty and distress, since his removal from the office of Kurnum.

Faslis.	Extent of land.	Produce.	Sist.	Deduct Circar Sist and the Ryot of Agraharumdar.	Remaining profit to Somaraze.
	A. G. C.	P. T. S.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1269.....	8 0 0	1 7 0	27 0 0	11 14 6	15 1 6
1270.....	8 0 0	1 5 28	22 6 6	12 1 0	10 5 6
1271.....	8 0 0	1 10 15	33 6 0	14 2 0	19 4 0
Total.. ..	....	4 2 43	82 12 6	38 1 6	44 11 0
Average..	....	....	.....	....	14 14 4

2. The three original enclosures received with the Proceedings under acknowledgment are returned herewith.

1. Before submitting the Collector's report to Government the Board desire to be informed whether Somaraz has a son, or any other near relative competent to hold the office of Kurnum, and if so, what his or their ages, circumstances, capacity or office, &c., are at present.

In Cons. 4th April 1859, No. 1,460.

2. The Collector is also requested to state with reference to paragraph 2 of his letter, No. 44, dated 29th March 1859, what was the actual advantage enjoyed by the Petitioner from the circumstance of his name being on the list of Kurnums.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To G. Thornhill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23 August 1862 No. 5539

1862. Department.

No.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 30th April 1862.

No. 2,724.

Calling for further information respecting Somaraz dismissed Kurnum.

No. 5,539.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd August 1862.

Read again letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, dated 26th March 1862, No. 53, and recorded in Board's Proceedings 30th April 1862, No. 2,724.

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated 15th July 1862, No. 157:—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated 30th April 1862, No. 2,724, calling for further information respecting Tummalapully Somaraz, dismissed Curnum of Mandapad and Commalamudy, in the Gudivada Taluk of this District; and to inform you that Somaraz has no son, or any other relative to hold the office of Curnum on his behalf. From the report of Gudivada Tahsildar it appears that Somaraze was given in adoption to another; and that he has an uterine brother who lives separately, and maintains himself by means of his Curneekum emoluments.

2. In reply to paragraph 2 of the Proceedings under acknowledgment, I beg to observe that the estimated annual average receipts of the allowances of the Curnums of the above two villages was Rupees 104-13-9 for Faslis 1265 (1855-56), 1266 (1856-57), and 1267 (1858-59). There were two Curnums for these two villages, of whom Somaraz was one, who used to conduct the duties conjointly; but since the dismissal of Somaraz only one Curnum is doing the duties of both villages. As Somaraz was an enlisted Curnum, he was in the receipt, during the above Faslis and prior to that, of half the emoluments pertaining to the office along with his colleague, though he did no duty for some time prior to his dismissal.

1. Ordered that the papers noted above be submitted to Government, with reference to their Proceedings dated 15th February last, No. 329, communicating Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State dated 24th December 1861, No. 92, and calling for report on the suggestion therein contained regarding the propriety of granting pecuniary relief to Tummalapalli Somaraz, dismissed Curnum of Mandapad and Komalamudi in the Gudivada Talu[illegible] of the Kistna District.

2. In his first letter Mr. Thornhill reports that the only means of livelihood possessed by the Curnum in question consists of the produce derived from 8 acres of land, the average annual profit of which during the last three years has amounted to Rupees 14-14-4 only. The Collector also observes that Somaraz has been reduced to great distress since his removal from the office of Curnum.

3. From the Collector's second letter the Board learn that while in Government employ the Curnum in question drew annually a sum a little over 50 Rupees per annum, and that he has no son or other relative who could be appointed in his stead to the post which he has forfeited through his misconduct.

4. Under these circumstances the Board recommend that a gratuity of Rupees 150 be disbursed to the Memorialist. This sum would represent an income of 2½ Rupees per mensem for five years.

5. Government Petition No. 1,624 of 1862 on this subject is herewith returned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government, with a Petition.

Copy to G. Thornhill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District.

d. J. Wright.

Nos. 15th February 62. 1334 & 335

Rev. 1862 Department.
Bd. of Govt. for Septr.
No. 2466.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 22nd *August* 1862.
Despatched. 23d
Received 25th
Sept 10th

No. 5,539.

Submitting letters from the Collector of the Kistna District, affording further information respecting the Memorial from Tummalapully Somarez a dismissed Curnum, with the Board's recommendation that a gratuity of Rupees 150 be disbursed to the Memorialist.

Nos 1910 & Sept 12
with an order

Nos 117 & 118.

Rec'd Augt 28 Sept 12

Nos 1910 & 2466

Adverting to the Opinion expressed by the Secretary of State in the despatch alluded to in the foregoing Proceedings, the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement to T. Somarazu of a gratuity of Rupees One hundred and fifty (150) as recommended by the Board.

2. The Petition, No 1624 of 1862, will be returned to T. Somarazu with an intimation to this effect.

3. The Board of Revenue will determine in communication with the Accountant General, the Budget Head to which this charge is to be debited.

14-Jo Sept 62 - Govt Desp No 94.

3-Jo Acct Genl ✓
3—Civil Paymaster ✓
1— To the Petitioner Despatch with one Petition

with copy of the despatch.

Sept 19