M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1881 Press Mis.

೬೫. ೬೯

Dated ೬ — ೯. 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th September 1862.

No. 58. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th August 1862, No. 5,610:—

Read Official Memorandum from Government, dated 13th August 1862, No. 148, calling for explanation of certain discrepancies in Statement No. 17, which accompanied the Board's Reports on the Settlement of the Revenues of Faslis 1269 and 1270.

(In Cons. 14th August 1862, No. 5,115.)

1. The Board observe that the discrepancies pointed out in the above Memorandum have already been explained in the general reports for Fasli 1270, and in some of the Statements which accompanied it.

2. As regards the difference in the Godavery and Kistna Districts, it will be seen from the foot note appended to Statement No. 17, that it is owing to the deductions and additions which were made to the figures entered in the Return of Fasli 1269, on account of the Relangi and Akivade Divisions, which were restored to the Zamíndár on 1st March 1860, and the Táluqs of Ellore, Pentapád, and Chentalapudi which, on the 1st January 1860, were transferred from the Kistna to the Godavery District. It was necessary to correct the figures entered in the Statement for Fasli 1269 to render their comparison with the transactions of Fasli 1270, fair.

3. In all the remaining Districts, the differences are due to one cause, viz., the interchange of villages between them in Fasli 1270, which was also explained in paragraph 2 of the Report and in the foot note appended to Statement No. 3, and it was not considered necessary to enter this explanation in every Statement in which these differences occurred. The transactions of these villages for Fasli 1269 were either added to, or deducted from, the figures of that year entered in Statement No. 17 of Fasli 1270; and it will be seen that in each case, the deductions and additions balance each other. Thus between Nellore, Cuddapah, Bellary, and Kurnool, transfers of villages took place, and the total of the decrease in the two former is equal to the total of the increase in the two latter; similarly there were transfers of villages between Madras, North Arcot, and South Arcot; and the increase in the last mentioned District is equal to the decrease in the other two. Some villages were also transferred from Madura to Tinnevelly and *vice versâ*, and the deduction made from the entries regarding the former District is therefore equal to the addition made to those of the latter.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, 5th September 1862, No. 1,881.

Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 5,640.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th August 1862.

Read Official Memorandum from Government, dated 13th August 1862, No. 148, calling for explanation of certain discrepancies in Statement No. 17, which accompanied the Board's Reports on the Settlement of the Revenues of Faslis 1269 and 1270.

(In Cons. 14th August 1862, No. 5,115.)

1. The Board observe that the discrepancies pointed out in the above Memorandum have already been explained in the general reports for Fasli 1270, and in some of the Statements which accompanied it.

2. As regards the difference in the Godavery and Kistna Districts, it will be seen from the foot note appended to Statement No. 17, that it is owing to the deductions and additions which were made to the figures entered in the Return of Fasli 1269, on account of the Relangi and Akivade Divisions, which were restored to the Zemindar on 1st March 1860, and the Taluks of Ellore, Pentapaud, and Chentalapudi which on the 1st January 1860, were transferred from the Kistna to the Godavery District. It was necessary to correct the figures entered in the Statement for Fasli 1269 to render their comparison with the transactions of Fasli 1270, fair.

3. In all the remaining Districts, the differences are due to one cause, viz., the interchange of villages between them in Fasli 1270, which was also explained in paragraph 2 of the Report and in the foot note appended to Statement No. 3, and it was not considered necessary to enter this explanation in every Statement in which these differences occurred. The transactions of these villages for Fasli 1269 were either added to, or deducted from, the figures of that year entered in Statement No. 17 of Fasli 1270; and it will be seen that in each case, the deductions and additions balance each other. Thus between Nellore, Cuddapah, Bellary, and Kurnool, transfers of villages took place, and the total of the decrease in the two former is equal to the total of the increase in the two latter; similarly there were transfers of villages between Madras, North Arcot, and South Arcot; and the increase in the last mentioned District is equal to the decrease in the other two. Some villages were also transferred from Madura to Tinnevelly and *vice versa*, and the deduction made from the entries regarding the former District is therefore equal to the addition made to those of the latter.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government.

Exd. J. Wright.

Copy in a Letter to England
Sep. 5th Settlement No. 56 of 1862

Rev. 1862 Department.
Proc. of Govt. for Sept.
No. 2460

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 26th *August* 1862.
Despatched. 27
Received 29

No. 5,640

Affording the explanation called for regarding certain discrepancies in Statement No 17 which accompanied the Board's reports on the Settlement of the Revenues of Faslis 1269 and 1270.

Re. 1881.

RESCINDED.

From Fort St. George
5th Sept. 1862.