

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1823

Press
Mis.

372.373

Dated 27. 8. 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th August 1862.*

No. 372. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th August 1862,
No. 5,257.

Read the following letters :—

From W. R. CORNISH, Esq., Secretary Principal Inspector General, Medical Department, to
W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 22nd
July 1862, No. 1,508.

SIR,

With reference to the Proceedings of your Board marginally
No. 1,649, dated 12th March 1862, noted, I have the honor by desire of the Principal Inspector
General, Medical Department, to acquaint you that no provision has been made in the Civil Budgets
of 1861-62 and 1862-63 for the pay of a First Dresser to be attached to the Head Assistant
Collector's Department, Tinnevelly District, as no items of charge can be entered in the estimate
until the sanction of the Government of India has been accorded for an additional Dresser to the
Establishment.

From W. R. CORNISH, Esq., Secretary Principal Inspector General, Medical Department, to
W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 22nd
July 1862, No. 1,509.

SIR,

1. With reference to the Proceedings of your Board, No. 3,228 of the 20th May last, I
have the honor, by desire of the Principal Inspector General, Medical Department, to acquaint
you that Second Dresser Valoydum has been posted to the Sub-Collector's Department, Tinnevelly
District, and a First Dresser for the Head Assistant Collector's Department will be nominated, in
anticipation of the sanction of the Government of India if so required.

2. The nomination of Second Dresser T. Valoydum originally to the Head Assistant's
Establishment was an error, which was subsequently altered as
G. O. C. C. 27th April 1861. posting him to the Sub-Collector's Department, to which Establish-
ment a Dresser is authorized; there was a mis-conception in this matter as applications from both
Establishments having come in at the same time.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government with reference to their Proceedings dated
11th March 1862, No. 524. In the first of the letters above recorded, the Principal Inspector
General, Medical Department, states that no provision has been made in the Civil Budgets of 1861-62
and 1862-63, for the pay of a First Dresser to be attached to the Head Assistant Collector's
Department in Tinnevelly, and the ground assigned is that no item of charge can be entered in the
Estimate until the sanction of the Government of India has been accorded for the additional
Dresser to the Establishment.

2. From the papers before them the Board find that no fresh provision is necessary either
in the Budgets of 1861-62 or 1862-63 for the pay of the Second Dresser Valoydum, who was
attached to the Head Assistant Collector's Kacheri from September 1861, and is referred to in
the Board's Proceedings dated 20th February 1862, No. 1,236, upon which the Orders of
Government dated 11th March quoted above were passed. This Dresser is not in excess of the
existing Establishment as supposed by the Civil Paymaster, and the necessary provision must
therefore have been made in the usual course for his pay in the Budgets of 1861-62 and 1862-63.
The Board deem it proper to review briefly the actual circumstances of the case.

3. The Second Dresser attached to the Sub-Collector's Kacheri of Tinnevelly having been
withdrawn for service in Bengal, and not replaced, the Collector applied for a substitute on
12th February 1861; and the Board in their Proceedings dated 12th March 1861, inquired of
the Principal Inspector General, Medical Department, whether a Dresser could be re-attached

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th August 1862.*

to the Sub-division; they were informed by that Officer, in his reply dated 27th March 1861, that a Second Dresser would be posted to the Sub-Collector's Department in a month.

4. While the foregoing correspondence was going on, an application was for the first time made by the Collector on 13th February 1861, for a Dresser to be attached to the Head Assistant Collector's Establishment, and the Board communicated with the Principal Inspector General on the subject. That Officer having finally informed them, in his letter dated 26th April 1861, that the Department requiring additional medical aid should apply to Government for sanction, the Board submitted an application to Government on 27th May 1861; but the Government in their Memorandum dated 26th June 1861, desired the Board to ascertain from the Inspector General whether the services of a Dresser could not be spared for the Head Assistant Collector from the existing Medical Establishment, or whether it was absolutely necessary that application should be made to the Government of India for a special addition. In reference to this call, the Inspector General stated in his letter dated 24th July 1861, that Second Dresser Valoydum had been nominated to do duty as a temporary measure with the Head Assistant Collector's Establishment; and that the sanction of Government would be obtained hereafter for an additional Dresser to the Establishment, when the scheme for the re-organization of the Subordinate Medical Department might come into operation.

5. The subject here dropped, on the supposition that the requirements of both the Sub-Collector and Head Assistant Collector had been met, but the Dresser Valoydum above referred to who joined the Head Assistant Collector's Kacheri on the 1st September 1861, and was doing duty there, was nominated for the Sub-Collector's Department in the "Fort Saint George Gazette" of the 23rd April 1862, page 636, and none was provided for the Head Assistant.

6. As the Dresser posted to the Sub-Division continued to do duty with the Head Assistant, the Sub-Collector applied for one for his own Department, and the Collector requested of the Board in his letter dated 6th May 1862, that if it should be decided that Second Dresser Valoydum should be attached to the Sub-Collector's Establishment according to his appointment in the Gazette, another Dresser might be appointed for the Head Assistant Collector.

7. In their Proceedings dated 20th May 1862, No. 3,228, the Board recommended to the Principal Inspector General that the Second Dresser required for the District should be gazetted to the Sub-Collector's Division and that Valoydum should continue in his present charge, and it is in reply to these Proceedings that the Principal Inspector General states, in the second letter recorded at the head of these Proceedings, that the Second Dresser Valoydum has been posted to the Sub-Collector's Department, and that a First Dresser for the Head Assistant Collector's Department will be nominated in anticipation of the sanction of Government of India if so required. He also explains that the nomination of Second Dresser Valoydum originally to the Head Assistant's Establishment was an error, and that it was subsequently rectified by posting him to the Sub-Collector's Department to which Establishment a Dresser is authorized. It is thus evident that the Dresser who has been doing duty with the Head Assistant is not in addition to the authorized Establishment, and the Civil Paymaster appears to have declined to pass his pay from September 1861, under an erroneous impression. The Board therefore request that that Officer may be directed to pass the charge at once, instead of its being authorized as an advance as requested in the Board's Proceedings dated 20th February and 25th July 1862.

8. The Board also request that the Principal Inspector General, Medical Department, be directed to appoint a First Dresser immediately for the Head Assistant Collector's Kacheri, so that Valoydum, who is doing duty on his Establishment, may join the Sub-Division in accordance with his appointment in the Gazette. The Inspector General states that no provision has been made in the Budget for the pay of the Dresser proposed to be appointed for the Head Assistant Collector's Kacheri, and does not state whether it can be met from other sources. As the Dresser is yet to be appointed, the provision required to be made from the Budget for 1862-63 for his pay will be for not more than nine months, amounting to Rupees 315 at 35 Rupees a month, and it should be decided in communication with the Inspector General, or Accountant General, from what source it can be met, and the necessary intimation given to the Government of India in reference to their call on the subject.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th August 1862.*

9. In the Resolution of the Government of India, Financial Department, dated 20th May 1862, communicated with the Order of Government dated 31st July 1862, No. 1,628 it was ruled that unless recommendations for increase of charge have received the sanction of the Supreme Government before the 1st of May, they cannot be admitted in the Budget of the ensuing year; but in the later letter from the Government of India dated 21st June 1862, passing the Estimates for 1862-63, it is stated in paragraph 43 that when once the Budget Estimates have been passed, special applications to this Government for sanction of alterations within prescribed limits, and of fresh charges on account of Salaries and Establishments and Contingencies, which may be met from Budget grants shall not be necessary. In the present instance, application for the addition of the Dresser was made to the Government of India in January 1862, and the Board believe that under this Order the Government are competent to sanction the addition, and include it in the Monthly Return of alterations and changes to be submitted to the Government of India, if the charge can be met from the sanctioned Budget grant for the Medical Department. If this however cannot be done, it will be necessary to obtain the special sanction of the Government of India for the Dresser, as the services of one are considered absolutely necessary in the Sub-Division of Tinnevely, and are equally necessary, if not more so, at the distant station of the Head Assistant Collector.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 373. ORDER THEREON, 27th August 1862, No. 1,823.

1. Under the explanation afforded in these Proceedings the Civil Paymaster will pass the pay of the Dresser who was lately doing duty with the Kacheri of the Head Assistant Collector of Tinnevely but has been transferred to the Sub-Collector's Establishment.

2. The Accountant General will be requested to report, with reference to paragraph 8, how funds can be provided for the Dresser required for the Head Assistant Collector's Establishment. The observations of the Board in paragraph 9 will be taken into consideration after the reply of the Accountant General is received.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

9. In the Resolution of the Government of India, Financial Department, dated 20th May 1862, communicated with the Order of Government dated 31st July 1862, No. 1,628, it was ruled that unless recommendations for increase of charge have received the sanction of the Supreme Government before the 1st of May, they cannot be admitted in the Budget of the ensuing year; but in the later letter from the Government of India dated 21st June 1862, passing the Estimates for 1862-63, it is stated in paragraph 43 that when once the Budget Estimates have been passed, special applications to this Government for sanction of alterations within prescribed limits, and of fresh charges on account of Salaries and Establishments and Contingencies, which may be met from Budget grants shall not be necessary. In the present instance, application for the addition of the Dresser was made to the Government of India in January 1862, and the Board believe that under this Order the Government are competent to sanction the addition, and include it in the Monthly Return of alterations and changes to be submitted to the Government of India, if the charge can be met from the sanctioned Budget grant for the Medical Department. If this however cannot be done, it will be necessary to obtain the special sanction of the Government of India for the Dresser, as the services of one are considered absolutely necessary in the Sub-division of Tinnevely, and are equally necessary, if not more so, at the distant station of the Head Assistant Collector.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government.
Copy to R. Cole, Esq., Principal Inspector General Medical Department.
" to J. Silver, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely.

Exd. J. Wright.

Recd 6th June 1862 No. 11013
 11 March " " 144 @ 146
 21 July " " 279-280
 31 " " 406-407
 23 Aug " " 29-30

Recd 1862 Department
 No. 2283-
 of the Board for Enquiry

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 11th August 1862.
 Despatched 13-8-62
 Received 14-8-62

George H. Doo H. Doo
 24/8/62 1862 2522

Submitting letters from the Secretary Princi-
 pal Inspector General Medical Department, and
 recommending that the Civil Paymaster be di-
 rected to pass the pay of the Dresser attached
 to the Head Assistant Collector's Department
 Tinnevely District, and that a 1st Dresser be
 appointed for this Department so that the one
 now attached to it may join the Sub Division.

21/10/22 29 Aug 6

Still all ready

1873

Under the explanation

offered in these proceedings the
 said paymaster will have the pay of
 the dresser who was duly doing duty
 with the collecting of the head assistant
 and collect of the same who had been
 transferred to the head of the district
 - that is to say -
 2. The account of the head
 will be signed and report with the
 to name & how far as can be provided
 for the dresser & provide for the head
 assistant to the head of the district
 persons living of the head and in having
 the taking into consideration of the
 of the head of the district -
 of the head of the district -

2039 24373

2039 24373