M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1819	Press ~~Mis.~~
364-365	
Dated 27-8-1862	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 27th August 1862.

No. 364. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th August 1862, No. 5,064 :—

Read the following letter from E. F. ELIOTT, Esq., Sub-Collector in charge of Nellore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 8th July 1862, No. 167.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to enclose copies of a correspondence betwixt the Acting Civil Paymaster and myself regarding the amount of my salary for the month of April last.

2. As may be seen I presented an abstract for the full pay of Sub-Collector in Nellore for the whole of that month, but the Civil Paymaster passed the Abstract for 25 days only, and for the remaining five days debited me with the pay of a Head Assistant Collector payable from the salary of Sub-Collector of Nellore, on the grounds that although I was appointed Sub-Collector of Nellore and drew the increased salary attached to that appointment while Acting as Sub-Collector in the Madras District, yet under the rules* I am not entitled to the same rate while in transit to join the former appointment after I was relieved. This seems to me to be a false reasoning, inasmuch as the full salary of Sub-Collector was available, and I was the authorized incumbent, and my acting in the Madras District was the act of Government, and I had actually drawn the full salary of the appointment while acting in that District ; therefore to fall back upon Head Assistant's pay from the salary of an appointment to the full salary of which I was entitled and which I had actually drawn, merely on the ground that I was on transit to join that appointment, appears to me an anomalay, and I feel convinced that it could not be intended so.

* *Vide* paragraph 45, Chapter II, Civil Auditor's Manual.

3. I would therefore request that you will be so good as to bring my case to the notice of the Board for reference to Government as the Civil Paymaster considers it necessary for him to do so.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copies of correspondence between the Acting Civil Paymaster and Sub-Collector in charge of Nellore, regarding the amount of his salary for April last.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. On the 21st March 1862, Mr. Eliott whose permanent appointment at the time was that of Head Assistant to the Collector of North Arcot, but who was Acting as Sub-Collector of Madras, was appointed Sub-Collector of Nellore, but to continue to do duty in his acting appointment until relieved.

3. Mr. Eliott appears to have finally relinquished his acting appointment on the 25th April last, and to have assumed his permanent post in Nellore on the 1st May, and for the intervening period of five days occupied in joining his station, he claims the salary of a Sub-Collector, a claim which the Civil Auditor refuses to allow on the ground that as Mr. Eliott had not permanently assumed charge of any Sub-Collectorate previous to his assumption of that of Nellore, he was only entitled under paragraphs 45 and 57 of Chapter II of the Civil Auditor's Manual, to the salary of Head Assistant Collector during the period of transit to Nellore.

4. As, however, Mr. Eliott at the time of his appointment as Sub-Collector of Nellore, was detained to fill in Madras a situation of *the same grade as his permanent new appointment*, his case does not appear to be exactly provided for in the rules quoted by the Civil Auditor, and the Board would recommend it to the favorable consideration of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

Said be proceeded
to

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 27th August 1862.

No. 365. ORDER THEREON, 27th August 1862, No. 1,819.

1. It appears to the Government that Mr. E. F. Eliott's case falls within the rules quoted by the Civil Paymaster, that he is therefore entitled only to the salary of a Head Assistant Collector for the five days that intervened between his relinquishing charge of the Madras and assuming that of the Nellore Sub-Collectorate, and that there are no grounds for any special relaxation of the rules in his favor.

2. Had he proceeded to join his Sub-Collectorate of Nellore immediately on being appointed, he would still have been on Head Assistant's allowances for the time spent in the journey. It is immaterial whether that journey took place immediately on appointment or at a later period.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Revenue Department

1819

No. 1819.

Proceedings of the Madras Government under date the 27th August 1862

Read the following

Roo: of the Board of Revenue

Submitting for orders a letter from the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore, enclosing copies of correspondence between himself and the Retg Civil Paymaster regarding the amount of his Salary for April 1862.

Have entered 4th Aug 62 No. 5064

It appears to the Govt that Mr. E. F. Eliott's case falls within the rules quoted by the Civil Paymaster - that he is therefore entitled only to the Salary of a Head Assistant Collector for the five days that intervened between his relinquishing charge of the Madras and assuming that of the Nellore Sub Collectorate - and that there are no grounds for any special relaxation of the rules in his favor -

Had he proceeded to

Shown in Comm: draft Extract

to join his Sub Collector=
=ate of Pollore immediately
on being appointed, he
would still have been
on Head Assistants allow=
=ances for the time spent
in the journey - It is immma=
=terial whether that journey
took place immediately on
appointment or at a later
period -

J P

Chief Secy

Copies to the Bd of Revr
with 1 orig Enclosure 2 Dept 28

3 - Asst Paymasters

W

Revenue 1862 Depts
Pro: of Govt for August

№ 1819.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dt 27 Augt 1862

Rec'd No. 132
21-3-62 Mis

Passing orders on
Board's Proceedings
submitting Nellore
Sub Collectors letter
regarding his salary
for April 1862.

№ 364 & 365.

23-8-62