M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 179 Press ~~Mis.~~

32.33

Dated 3 2 - 1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 3rd February 1862.

No. 32. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th December 1861, No. 6,840:—

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Vellum, 19th November 1861, No. 389.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2,612 of 1861, addressed to the Board by Narrainasawmy Iyen, applying for permission to sue one Annavaiyen in the Civil Court for the recovery of certain Shrótrium land situated in the village of Kolewanur in the Pattukottai Táluq, and the extent and value of which are noted in the margin.

	V.	M.	G.
Extent.....	1	19	50
	Rs.	A.	P.
Taruff assessment.....	58	10	5
Shrótrium Jódi.....	3	9	1
Difference...	55	1	4

2. From the inquiries made as well as from an examination of the Survamaniem records in this Office, it appears that V. 4,200, M. 5, G. 83$\frac{1}{8}$ of Nanja and Punja land in Kolewanur and 132 other villages were, in the year 1784, granted by Tuljamaharajah to a body of Brahmins of Vullam Puttamahal on Shrótrium tenure under a Sikka Sanad, which is entered in No. 24 of the Register made in the year 1810, when the village of Kolewanur comprising V. 39, M. 13, G. 43$\frac{3}{4}$ of land was in the enjoyment of eleven individuals.

3. Petitioner states that he succeeded to the possession of the $\frac{2}{33}$ Pungu of land (now under dispute) on the death of his father, and who had acquired the same partly by inheritance, and partly by purchase some 30 years since; that he (Petitioner) mortgaged the same 12 years since, to the Defendant for Rupees 156-1-0, under a deed stipulating that the Defendant should enjoy the land in lieu of the interest, and restore possession of the same on the re-payment of the principal, but that as the Defendant declines to receive the amount and restore possession of the land, Petitioner seeks to recover the same by means of a Civil action.

4. The Defendant has proceeded to Ramaswarum on pilgrimage, and his son Appasamy Iyen, who is the managing member of the family admits having obtained possession of the land from the Petitioner on mortgage, but states that the deed contains a stipulation to the effect that any expense which might be incurred in carrying out Maramat to the land should be borne by the Petitioner; that in the years Nala (1856-57) and Pingala (1857-58) he has expended a sum of Rupees 40 in carrying out certain Maramat to the land; further that the Petitioner has, on two occasions, borrowed of him Rupees 58-12-0 with a promise to return them with the mortgage amount; and that, if these sums are repaid by the Petitioner together with the original sum borrowed, he (Defendant) has no objection to restoring the land to the Petitioner.

5. The particulars as to the descent of the original grantee, and how the Petitioner's ancestors and the party from whom a portion of the land under dispute was purchased by the Petitioner's father came to possess the land cannot be traced.

6. As the case stands, I see no objection to the permission sought by the Petitioner being granted.

Ordered to be submitted for the consideration of Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Petitioner be granted the permission to sue which he solicits.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 33. Order thereon, 3rd February 1862, No. 179.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Narrainsawmy Iyen to sue in the established Courts for the recovery of about two Vélis of Shrótrium land situated in the village of Kolewanur, Pattukottai Táluq, Tanjore District.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 6,840.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th December 1861.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Vellum, 19th November 1861, No. 389:—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2,612 of 1861, addressed to the Board by Narrainasawmy Iyen, applying for permission to sue one Annavaiyen in the Civil Court for the recovery of certain Shrotriem land situated in the village of Kolewanur in the Pattukottai Taluk, and the extent and value of which are noted in the margin.

	V.	M.	G.
Extent.....	1	19	50
	Rs.	A.	P.
Taruff assessment...	58	10	5
Shrotriem Jodee...	3	9	1
Difference...	55	1	4

2. From the inquiries made as well as from an examination of the Survamaniem records in this Office, it appears that V. 4,200, M. 5, G. $83\frac{1}{16}$ of Nunja and Punjah land in Kolavanur and 132 other villages were, in the year 1784, granted by Tuljamaharajah to a body of Brahmins of Vullam Puttamahal on Shrotriem tenure under a Sikka Sunnud, which is entered in No. 24 of the Register made in the year 1810, when the village of Kolavanur comprising V. 39, M. 13, G. $43\frac{3}{4}$ of land was in the enjoyment of 11 individuals.

3. Petitioner states that he succeeded to the possession of the $\frac{1}{32}$ Pungu of land (now under dispute) on the death of his father, and who had acquired the same partly by inheritance and partly by purchase some 30 years since; that he (Petitioner) mortgaged the same 12 years since, to the Defendant for Rupees 156-1, under a deed stipulating that the Defendant should enjoy the land in lieu of the interest, and restore possession of the same on the re-payment of the principal, but that as the Defendant declines to receive the amount and restore possession of the land, Petitioner seeks to recover the same by means of a Civil action.

4. The Defendant has proceeded to Ramaswarum on pilgrimage, and his son Appasamy Iyen, who is the managing member of the family admits having obtained possession of the land from the Petitioner on mortgage, but states that the deed contains a stipulation to the effect that any expense which might be incurred in carrying out Maramat to the land should be borne by the Petitioner; that in the years Nala (1856-57) and Pingala (1857-58) he has expended a sum of Rupees 40 in carrying out certain Maramat to the land; further that the Petitioner has, on two occasions, borrowed of him Rupees 58-12 with a promise to return them with the mortgage amount; and that, if these sums are repaid by the Petitioner together with the original sum borrowed, he (Defendant) has no objection to restoring the land to the Petitioner.

5. The particulars as to the descent of the original grantee, and how the Petitioner's ancestors and the party from whom a portion of the land under dispute was purchased by the Petitioner's father came to possess the land cannot be traced.

6. As the case stands, I see no objection to the permission sought by the Petitioner being granted.

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

Ordered to be submitted for the consideration of Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Petitioner be granted the permission to sue which he solicits.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev 1861 Department

Pros. of Govt for February 62

No. 2976

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 9th December 1861.
Despatched. 16 Dec 3
Received. 16 Dec
E. 1 Sm

No. 6840.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting on Petition No 2612 of 1861, and recommending that Petitioner be permitted to sue for the recovery of certain lands.

No 279. 5 February 62

With an Order

Rev 179.

Under Section 2 Regn

Section IV of 1831, the Government permit Varrunisavoor Appoo to sue in the Established Courts for the recovery of about two acres of Shrotrium land situated in the village of Kolevamur Pattukottai Talug Tanjore District.

No 32 & 33.

Rev 29 Jan 62 R 31