

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1797

Press
~~Mis.~~

319-320

Dated

23-8-1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd August 1862.*

No. 319. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1862,
No. 5,219:—

Read the following letter from D. F. CARMICHAEL, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam,
to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated 12th
July 1862, No. 605.

SIR,

In returning the Petition noted in the margin, I have the honor to submit,
No. 268 of 1862. in a tabular form, particulars regarding the Inám lands referred to for disposal
by the Board and Government under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831.

ENCLOSURE No. 1—Petition.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd August 1862.

Statement showing the particulars of Ináms entered in the Petition referred to by the Board of Revenue.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

Number of the Board of Revenue.	Names of the parties.	Name of Village in which the dispute is situated.	When, by whom, and to whom the Inám was granted, and in whose name it is entered in the Permanent Settlement Accounts, who is the present holder. If procured by purchase, by whom it was sold.	Charitable or service Inám. If it is for service, dual entered by purchase, by whom it was performed in the Permanent Settlement accounts.	Relationship between the original grantee and the Inámi.	Extent.			Sist.	Cutting badi.	Particulars as to by whom the land entered in column 9 is at present enjoyed.	Remarks.
						Dry.	Wet.	Total.				
1	Complainants.		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
268 of 1862.	1. Auchalla Appiah. 2. Do. Subbaraidu. 3. Do. Sreeramulu. Versus. 1. Vorugundi Soondaramma. Do.	Pathanadipilly A- graharam in the Madgole Zamindari. Chetti Somanna got 10 Veesums of land, but by whom the grant was made is not known. Soondaramma the Defendant and Bootchemma her daughter are the present holders of the 2 Veesums of the original grant. Is stated to have been granted by the villagers some 75 years ago, or long previous to the Permanent Settlement to Chetti Somanna's father; is not entered in the Settlement Accounts as Inám: the present holders are Bootchemma and Soondaramma.	This Agraharam is entered as such in the Settlement Accounts. In this Agraharam it appears the ancestors of Defendant's father-in-law Chetti Somanna got 10 Veesums of land, but by whom the grant was made is not known. Soondaramma the Defendant and Bootchemma her daughter are the present holders of the 2 Veesums of the original grant.	Charitable.		v. 4	v. 11	v. 2	12 8 0	110 0	Defendant Soondaramma and her daughter Bootchemma.	1. From inquiries made in this case, it appears that during the life-time of Chetti Somanna he divided with his family, giving amongst other things to complainants and two other grandsons, 8 Veesums of the lands, retaining 5½ in his possession for the support of himself, Bootchemma his grand-daughter, and Soondaramma his daughter-in-law, and executed documents to that effect; that after his death, which happened two years ago, the 5½ Veesums have been in the enjoyment of Soondaramma and her daughter Bootchemma. 2. In one of the documents referred to, Chetti Somanna stated that in the event of Bootchemma his grand-daughter having male issue, the land should revert to such issue; but if not, it should on his own and his daughter-in-law's demise, devolve on the complainants and the grandsons alluded to above. 3. Complainants said nothing at the time, but now demur to the division, and argue that Defendant and her daughter have no right to the said 5½ Veesums of land, and to recover the same, they wish for permission to sue in the Courts. Bootchemma is still alive and living with her husband, as is also Soondaramma her mother.

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL, Ag. Collector.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd August 1862.

1. Ordered that the foregoing letter and its enclosure be submitted to Government together with Board Petition 268 of 1862, wherein certain inhabitants of the Vizagapatam District seek permission to sue in the Civil Courts for 5½ Veesums of Inám land situated in two villages named.

2. The Acting Collector has not recorded any opinion on their request, but as the parties in the case are members of the same family, the Board recommend that the required sanction be granted under Regulation IV of 1831.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER, Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 320.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd August 1862, No. 1,797.

The Petition in question will be returned to the Board with the following endorsement:—

“Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Governor in Council is pleased to permit the Petitioners, Achala Appiah, Subbráyudu, and Sriramudu, to bring a suit for 2 Visams of land in the Pathanandapilly Agraharam, Madgole Zamindari, and for 3½ Visams of Inám land in Madichula Alamanda in the Vizianagram Zamindari.”

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government,

1. Ordered that the foregoing letter and its enclosure be submitted to Government together with Board Petition 268 of 1862, wherein certain inhabitants of the Vizagapatam District seek permission to sue in the Civil Courts for 5½ Veesums of Inam land situated in two villages named.

2. The Acting Collector has not recorded any opinion on their request, but as the parties in the case are members of the same family, the Board recommend that the required sanction be granted under Regulation IV of 1831.

(True Copies and Extract,)

C. H. Carter

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To Honorable T. Pycroft, Chief Secretary to Government, with a Petition.
Copy to D. F. Carmichael, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam.

Exd. J. Wright.

215 Aug 1862

215 Aug 1862

215 Aug 1862

215 Aug 1862

Rev. 1862 Department
No. 2267

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 8th August 1862.
Despatched 11th Do.
Received 12th Do.
215 Aug 1862

No. 5,219.

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of Vizagapatnam, forwarding a statement showing particulars of Inams referred to in Petition No 268 of 1862, with the Board's recommendation that the permission sought by the Petitioners to sue for Inams be granted.

No 1477 No 1797

The Petition in question will be returned to the Board with the following endorsement:-

- " Under Sec II Regt 1831 the Govt in Council is pleased
- " to forward the petitioners,
- " Achala Appiah, Subbayuday
- " & Sivananda, to suing a suit
- " for 2 bighas of land in the
- " Attanadhyapacharam, Madhyala
- " Tannicilary, & for 3 1/2 bighas of
- " Inam land in Madzichala Alanda
- " in the Vizianagaram District

23rd Aug 1862

23rd Aug 1862