

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1578

Press

309-310

~~Mis~~

Dated 23 - 7 - 1862.

not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd July 1862.*

No. 309. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th July 1862:—
Read Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 13th June 1862, No. 1,300, Revenue
Department.

(In Cons. 16th June 1862, No. 3,710.)

1. The Board do not consider that it is necessary for the due security of the rights of Government that the joint liability of all shareholders in an Inám Village for the Jodi should be enforced by the issue of a joint Patta only, and they would strongly deprecate the depreciation of the boon which the Inám Settlement was calculated to afford, by refusing separate titles to recognised shareholders possessing separate individual rights.

2. It appears to the Board that the Acting Commissioner's instructions tend to limit the operation of the Rules for enfranchising Ináms in a manner that is inexpedient, by maintaining to some extent the limitations and conditions of the original Grants, all of which, as the Board understand the matter, it was designed to commute and abolish in consideration of the payment of an additional rate of Jodi or quit-rent under certain prescribed Rules. The Board would decidedly recommend that no unnecessary limitation to the recognition of individual rights and the creation of good individual titles be adopted but that the fullest and freest operation be given to the Rules.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 310.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd July 1862, No. 1,578.

• Order dated, 13th June 1862,
No. 1,300.

1. In these Proceedings the Board of Revenue submit as requested* their opinion on the instructions which the Acting Inám Commissioner issued to his Deputy Collectors for the settlement and enfranchisement of entire Inám Villages. These instructions require that every entire Inám Village be treated as one case without reference to the number of shares into which it may be divided though when the shares are held by families distinct and unconnected with each other, the enfranchisement is to be made upon terms applicable to each, and they direct that but one Title Deed be given in all cases of entire Villages, the different terms of enfranchisement being shown on the back thereof.

2. The Board strongly deprecate the proposal to refuse separate titles to recognised shareholders possessing separate individual rights, as such a rule would place an unnecessary limitation on the recognition of individual rights and the creation of good individual titles. The Governor in Council concurs, in the opinion of the Board of Revenue, and he resolves to request that the Acting Inám Commissioner will alter his instructions accordingly. A copy of the Board's Proceedings above recorded will be furnished to Mr. Blair.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Acting Chief Secretary.

Enfranchisement of Entire

Department.

No. 1590

I think the Specimen ready to
be sent to B. it is correct &
should be acted upon -

Wm
M

Proceedings

Revenue

July

No. 1

Proceed

of Revenue

which the

instruction

of Law

Commissioner issues to

his Deputy Collectors for

the settlement and en-

franchisement of entire

India

Department.

No. 1578

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date No 23^d July 1862

Read the following Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

Submitting the
and opinion on a letter
from the Indian Commission
regarding the subject
treating every entire Indian
page as a single case
and enfranchising it upon
and the same rate.

(Here enter the July
1862 No. /)

In these Proceed-
ings the Board of Revenue
submit as requested their
opinion on the instruction
which the Acting Indian
Commissioner issues to
his Deputy Collectors for
the Settlement and en-
franchisement of entire
Indians

Order of 13th June 1862 - Dec 13th 62.

Dec 13th June 62 - 1862 - 1862
30/6/62

Rev 1862
No. of Govt
Proceedings of
Board of Revenue

Subject
No. 80

C.A. No 1578-23^d July

Submitting the Board
opinion and letter from
Indian Commission, re-
garding the subject of treat-
ing every entire Indian
as a single case and en-
franchising it upon one
same rate.

No 309.

C 14 July 62 17th

Indian Villages. These instructions require that every entire Indian Village be treated as one case without reference to the number of shares to which it may be divided though when the shares are held by families distinct and unconnected with each other the enfranchisement is to be made upon terms applicable to each and they direct that but one title be given in all cases of entire villages, the different terms of enfranchisement being shown on the back thereof.

2. The Board strongly deprecate the proposal to refuse separate titles to recognized shareholders possessing separate or individual rights, as such a rule would place an unnecessary limitation on the recognition of individual rights and the creation

creation of good individual titles. The ^{Board} concurs in the opinion of the Board of Revenue and ^{the} resolves to request that the Acting Indian Commissioner ^{with} alter his instructions accordingly. A copy of the Board's Proceedings above recorded will be furnished to Mr. Blair.

[Signature] *[Signature]*

Copies to the Secy of the Dept. of the Interior
Indian Commissioner

E.

Treatment of every
Indian village, and enforce-
ment thereof

13. 6. 93
30/6/93
12/6/93
11/6/92

order of Govt for July

13/6/93

order of the Madras Govt

27/2/93 July 1862

From the Indian Council
17/5/62 No 2566.

2. Copying orders on
Bd's proceedings on the
Indian Council's
instructions for the settle-
ment and enforcement
of entire Indian
Villages-

1839-40