

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1490	Press Mis.
127-128	
Dated 8-7-	1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th July 1862.*

No. 127. Read the following letter from W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Inám Commissioner, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Vellore, 20th June 1862, No. 37:—

SIR,

1. In acknowledging the order of Government, dated the 16th instant, No. 1,317, on a letter from the Collector of the Kistna District having reference to an Inám case in the village of Kollúr Tenalli Táluq, I have the honor to submit the following explanation of the case as gathered from the remarks of the Deputy Collector who investigated it, and which were finally approved and confirmed by the Inám Commissioner.

2. The alleged gift sixty years ago of a portion of the Inám to the petitioner by her father is opposed and denied by her brother, who is at present the registered and recognized holder of the Inám, and is not supported by any of the standard accounts which have been usually referred to in the Settlement, viz., Ram's register, and the Inám registers of Faslies 1215, 1234 and 1256. The entry of the petitioner's name in the Curnum's account of Fasli 1257 without any authority can by no means be regarded as conclusive evidence in her favor, whilst as regards the deeds of partition which passed between the members of the family, there were two copies of them produced by the contending parties, in one of which the gift is mentioned and in the other it is not alluded to.

3. The petitioner's alleged possession of the Ináms is inconsistent with the fact of her having found it necessary to bring a suit against her brother in 1855 for the recovery of its rent. Mr. Thornhill adopting the petitioner's statement observes that the suit was rejected in appeal by the Civil Judge on the ground that the court could take no cognizance of suits regarding Inám lands which in effect must have left the petitioner's brother in possession of the Inám. But it is seen from the remarks of the Deputy Collector, who appears to have referred to the decree, that the Civil Judge further condemned the Proceedings of the District Moonsiff, and considered that the deed of kaul under which petitioner claimed rent was not genuine, but was fabricated to establish the petitioner's claim to the Inám itself.

4. It is thus apparent that the petitioner's title to the portion of the Inám claimed by her does not stand formally registered in the accounts, nor is it supported by present possession, and the only course that could have well been adopted by the Commission was to refer her with the permission of Government to a formal adjudication of her claims by the Civil courts.

No. 128. ORDER THEREON, 8th July 1862, No. 1,490.

The Governor in Council resolves to refer the Acting Inám Commissioner's letter of the 20th ultimo to the Board of Revenue, who will be good enough to ascertain from the Collector of the Kistna District on what evidence he based the remark made in the 6th paragraph of his letter of the 30th April last, as to the petitioner having long been "in the indisputable enjoyment" of the land in question. The correctness of this statement, the Collector will observe, is denied by the Inám Commissioner.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Acting Chief Secretary.

2
The Dept

N 1490

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 8th July 1862.

Read the following
letter from the Acting
Treasurer

affords the expla-
nation for in the list
of 16 instances in re-
spect of certain instances
in the Village of
Tennali Taluk
District.

(Here under 20 June 1862
§ 34.)

The Governor in
Council resolves to refer
the Acting Treasurer's
letter of the
20th ~~June~~ ^{Ultimo} to the Board
of Revenue who will be
good enough to ascertain
from the Collector of the
Tennali District in what
evidence he based the re-
marks made in the 6th
para of his letter of the
30 April last as to the
petitioners having long
(been)

Wm

3
been in the indisputable
enjoyment of the land
in question. The correct-
ness of this statement,
the collector will observe,
is denied by the Guam
Commissioner.



11 Copies.
Board of Revenue Sept 9th July.
5 Atty. Guam Commissioner

Regarding certain
Indian land in the Indian
District.

Re Pro. No. 220-221
16-6-62

Nov 27 + 128.

Revenue 1862. Sept 10.
No. of 400 for July.

No. 1490.

Pro: of the Indian Post.
Sept 20 July 1862.

Pro: of the Indian Post.
No. 31112 in the

Letter from Indian
Commissioner explaining
my account of the
cases in the village of
Hollum, Tanali village
of the Indian District, refer
to the Revenue Board.