

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1444

Press
Mis.

32-34

Dated 3 - 7 - 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd July 1862.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 32. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th June 1862 :—
Read the following letter from the Honorable D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Salem, to
W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated
Saleri, 12th June 1862, No. 131.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to forward copies of the correspondence noted in the margin,* and to request the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the appointment of a Sub-Magistrate at Paramutti.

* Letter from the Superintendent of Police,
dated —.
Letter from the Deputy Collector in charge
of the Namcul Division, dated —.

2. My predecessor in his letter to Mr. Pelly recommended that the Kusba town and residence of the Tahsildár of Namcul (comprising the late Namcul and Paramutti Tálucs) should be Paramutti, because it was conveniently situated to those portions of the new Tálucs which are under Amani or Circar management. The Government in their Proceedings dated 20th July 1860, No. 1,204, ruled that Namcul should be the Kusba of the Táluc of Namcul, and that it should be the station of the Deputy Collector, even though that arrangement should make it necessary to have a Táluc Magistrate at Paramutti. The Board will observe from the correspondence now sent that the want of the services of a Sub-Magistrate at Paramutti are greatly felt, and that the fact of no competent officer being stationed within a distance of less than 15 or 20 miles from the villages situated on the banks of the Cauvery, seriously retards the working of the new Police. I therefore strongly recommend the appointment proposed by the Superintendent of Police.

3. It is scarcely necessary for me to enter into any further particulars as all the required information is contained in the correspondence annexed hereto. I would recommend that the salary of the Sub-Magistrate be fixed at Rupees 50, to enable me to secure the services of an efficient person to undertake the responsible duties that would devolve on him.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government with reference to the correspondence quoted by the Collector, and with the Board's strong recommendation that a Sub-Magistrate be appointed to Paramutti, on a salary of Rupees 50, as proposed by Mr. Arbuthnott.

2. The correspondence with the Superintendent of Police and the Deputy Collector stationed at Namcul clearly shows the necessity for this measure; and it is to be remarked that in every other case in the Salem District in which Tálucs were amalgamated, a Sub-Magistrate was allowed for the station from which the Tahsildár was removed, except at Paramutti. In that case the Collector proposed that the Tahsildár of the united Tálucs should be stationed at Paramutti, but the Government decided that Namcul should be the head quarters.

3. The Honorable Mr. Pelly will address the Government separately, with respect to the pay and establishment required, if this proposal is sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER, Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 33. From the Honorable C. PELLY, Member of the Board of Revenue, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 20th June 1862, No. 1,299.

SIR,

1. In their Proceedings of this date the Board of Revenue have strongly recommended the location of a Sub-Magistrate at Paramutti in the Namcul Táluc of Salem.

2. In combining the two old Tálucs of Namcul and Paramutti, and fixing the head quarters of the Tahsildár and also that of the Deputy Collector at Namcul, the Government contemplated the appointment of a

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd July 1862.*

Sub-Magistrate at the old Kasbah of Paramutti. The proposition for Sub-Magistrates for this District was then before Government, and orders passed thereon the next day, but no further requisition was made at the time by the Collector for a Sub-Magistrate at Paramutti, and consequently no action was then taken. But it is very evident that a Sub-Magistrate should be located at Paramutti, and I have the honor to request that sanction may now be given for the following Establishment, costing 84 Rupees, to be added to the list already transmitted to Government on the 9th May last:—

	Rs.
1 Sub-Magistrate.....	50
1 Gumáshta.....	12
1 Dafterband.....	7
1 Massaljee and Sweeper.....	5
2 Peons @ 5 Rupees.....	10
	<hr/> 84

No. 31.

ORDER THEREON, 3rd July 1862, No. 1,444.

	Rs.
1 Sub-Magistrate.....	50
1 Gumáshta.....	12
1 Dafterband.....	7
1 Massaljee and Sweeper.....	5
2 Peons at 5 Rupees.....	10
	<hr/> 84

The proposal to station a Sub-Magistrate at Paramutti in the Salem District is approved. The establishment proposed by Mr. Pelly amounting to Rupees 84, as per margin, is sanctioned.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Acting Chief Secretary.

Proceedings of the Mayor's Court
under date the 3^d. ^{July} June 1862

Read the following

Pro: of the Board of Reu

Submitting a letter from the collector of Saline requesting sanction for the appointment of a Sub Magistrate at Paramatti with the Board's remarks thereon and their recommendation that a Sub Magt. be appointed as proposed by the collector.

Price enters 20 June
1862 No. /

From the Honorable C.
Pelly, Member of the Red of the

Recommending all
Sub Magistrates Estab-
-lishment to be inter-
-tainers, at Sacramento, in
the Nama-kut Valley, Salem
District. —

These enter 29th June 12
No 1299/

The proposal to
station a Sub Magis-
trate at Paramutia in
the Salem District is
approved. The Establis-
hment proposed by Mr.
Cobb

H. A.

10,000 city/60,000
2/10 230,000
24 230,000 - 22-53

Recd = 18th Dec. 1911
20: of year from Daily.
p. 26/3/11

12-4777.

Proceedings of the
Board of Directors

*Decr 20, 20 } James B. E.
Feb 2 1863
at New York.*

Dear Mr. Webb - 3 July

The following things are either
 not a matter of value, or
 are a matter of value, in
 connection with the
 question of a
 value. The value of a
 thing is determined by the
 value of the thing itself, and
 the value of the thing itself
 is determined by the value
 of the thing itself. The value
 of a thing is determined by
 the value of the thing itself,

25 June 1957

1039

Kelly amounting to
 Rs 84 as per margin
 is sanctioned -

JDS

- Rs.
- 1. Sub-Magistrate - 50.
 - 1. Sonastah - 12.
 - 1. Supt. Band - 7.
 - 1. Madalje & Supt. - 5.
 - 2. Peons at 5 Rs - 10.
-
- 84

11 Copies
 To the Secy of Dist. A.
 An Honorable (Kelly) is
 To Civil Paymaster is
 To Secy. Sd. is
 To Judicial Secy. is

1st No. 238-240
 20-7-60
 245-246
 21-7-60
 62-63
 24-12-59

Received 1862 Debt
 no. of you for duty

W. H. H. H.

Geo. of the Adams Govt
 Sp. 3d July 1862

Benjamin

21522 at 34.

Lane County 1864 for
 the proposed Submarine
 cable and establishment
 at San Francisco, Cal
 District