

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1425	Press
441-442	Mis.
Dated 30-6-	1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th June 1862.*

No. 441. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th June 1862,
No. 3,959 :—

Read the following letter from V. RAMIENGAR, Deputy Collector, Namkul Division, to
Honorable D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Salem, dated 29th May 1862.


SIR,

No. 32 of 1862. 1. I have the honor to report on the Board Petition marginally noted.

2. It appears that one Gopalachar was the registered holder of one and a half shares in the Vengaray Agraharum; that on his death the Inām was divided by his five sons in the proportions shown in the subjoined Genealogical tree; that out of the six-sixteenths which fell to the share of the eldest son, Strinevassa Murti Achar, he sold one-quarter to his brother and to the share of the eldest son, Strinevassa Murti Achar, for 200 Rupees in the Tamil year Sarvari, or A. D. 1810-41; that the land so sold was in Petitioner's father's, i. e., the purchaser's enjoyment till within the last four years when Gopalachar, the son of the Vendor, contrived to obtain possession; and that it is to recover the land from this person that Petitioner seeks permission to sue him in the established Courts.

3. As it does not appear that the rights of Government would be in any way prejudiced by the required permission being given, I am not aware of any objection to a compliance with the Petitioner's request.

4. The Petition is herewith returned.
Gopalachar registered holder of $1\frac{1}{2}$ share.



The Collector concurs with the Deputy Collector in thinking that the desired sanction may be given without prejudice to the interests of Government.
(True Copy.)
(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector.

SALEM, 6th June 1862.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with Board Petition 32 of 1862, and with the Board's recommendation that the permission solicited by Strinevassa Murti be granted to him.
(A true Copy and Extract.)
(Signed) C. G. MASTER, Acting Sub-Secretary.

ORDER THEREON, 30th June 1862, No. 1,425.
No. 442.

1. His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant the permission solicited by Board Petition No. 32 of 1862, which will be returned to the Board with an Endorsement to that effect.

2. It is presumed that the shares obtained by the sons of the 1st wife were $\frac{6}{16}$ ths each, not $\frac{1}{6}$ th as printed in the Board's Proceedings.
(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

Order of Government on Petition N^o 32 of 1862

Under Section 2 Regulation
IV of 1831, the Governor in Council
is pleased to permit Strinivasa
Murti to sue in the established
Courts for the recovery of certain
Gurramanuru Village of Vengara
in Namacal Taluk of the
Zillah of Galen.

For J. J. Genger
30th June 1862

Sign of P. P. H. H. H.
At 24 July 1862

No. 3,959.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 20th June 1862.

Read the following letter from V. RAMIENGAR, Deputy Collector, Namkul Division, to Honorable D. ABUTHNOTT, Collector of Salem, dated 29th May 1862:—


No. 32 of 1862. 1. I have the honor to report on the Board Petition marginally noted.

2. It appears that one Gopalachar was the registered holder of one and a half shares in the Vengaray Agraharum; that on his death the Inam was divided by his five sons in the proportions shown in the subjoined Genealogical tree; that out of the six-sixteenths which fell to the share of the eldest son, Strinevassa Murti Achar, he sold one-quarter to his brother and Petitioner's father, Gopala Seshagiri Achar, for 200 Rupees in the Tamil year Sarvari, or A. D. 1840-41; that the land so sold was in Petitioner's father's, i. e., the purchaser's, enjoyment till within the last four years when Gopalachar, the son of the Vendor, contrived to obtain possession; and that it is to recover the land from this person that Petitioner seeks permission to sue him in the established Courts.

3. As it does not appear that the rights of Government would be in any way prejudiced by the required permission being given, I am not aware of any objection to a compliance with the Petitioner's request.

4. The Petition is herewith returned.

Gopalachar registered holder of $1\frac{1}{2}$ share.



The Collector concurs with the Deputy Collector in thinking that the desired sanction may be given without prejudice to the interests of Government.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector.

SALEM, 6th June 1862.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with Board Petition 32 of 1862, and with the Board's recommendation that the permission solicited by Strinivassa Murti be granted to him.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Honorable D. Arbuthnott, Collector of Salem.

Acting Sub-Secretary.

Exd. J. Wright

Per = 1862. Department.
no. of Gov. for Am.

No. 1797.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 20th June 1862.
Despatched, 21st 5.
Received, 23 2.
By 1st

Form - d 27 July/62
CA 1945

No. 3,959.

Submitting a letter from the Deputy Collec-
tor Namakul Division, Salem reporting on
Petition No 32 of 1862 with the Board's re-
commendation that the permission solicited b.
Petitioner be granted to him.

CA 11425- 30 June 1862
with answer

CA 11425

K. P. the Governor in Council
is pleased to grant the
recommendation submitted by Board
No. 32 of 1862 which will
be returned to the Board
with the endorsement
"Grant e. Govt."
The Board's recommendation
the Board's obtained by the time
of the 1st week were 6 the year,
not 1/4 the amount in the 1862
successor.

John G. L. George
30 June 1862
13th June 1862
with answer

CA 11425

CA 11425