M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1364

Press
Mis.

313-314

Dated 20-6-1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 20th June 1862.

No. 313. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th June 1862, No. 3,748:—

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Masulipatam, 17th May 1862, No. 111.

SIR,

1. In acknowledging the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 15th March last, No. 1,739, calling for farther information on certain points connected with the lands taken up by channels, I have the honor to submit the following report.

Paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings.

2. The Inám at Panamakur village was first granted in Fasli 1233 by the then Zamíndár of Needadavole to Ramavudhanulu, father of the present holder Bhanamurty; and it was recognized by the successors of the original donor. The amount of compensation now recommended is to be paid to Bhanamurty, Inámdár.

Peddavadlapudy	4
Tadegadapa	2
Panamakur	3
	9

3. The nine Ináms noted in the margin were taken up by the channels, when the crop was standing on them. The Tahsíldárs reported that the Officers of the Engineer Department inquired into such claims, and granted compensation for the loss of the standing crops. The amount is shown in column 14 of Statement No. 2, which accompanied my report of the 16th December 1861, No. 312. All the other Ináms were taken up when there was no crop on the land.

4. With reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg to state that in the Circuit Committee accounts, which form the basis of the permanent settlement, it does not appear whether these Ináms were included in the assets, or were waste at the time. On reference to the Inám Registers of Fasli 1207, and the Inamaty accounts prepared in Fasli 1232, it appears that these Ináms were granted by the Zamíndárs prior to the permanent settlement, and they have been recognized by the present Zamíndárs.

5. Adverting to paragraph 7 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg leave to state that I made no recommendation for compensation for waste lands, because the occupation of those lands entailed no present loss upon the Zamíndárs, and because the profits of those lands were not included in the assets on which the estate's Peshkash was fixed. The total extent of Bhunjer, excluded from compensation, amounts to 19 Acres and 38 Guntas, as shown in column 8 of Statement No. 4 sent with my report of 16th December last, viz., 10 Acres and 39 Guntas in two villages of the Nuzid estate, and 8 Acres and 39 Guntas in two villages of Chavendra Zamíndári.

6. If the Board are pleased to recommend compensation for all the waste land also, it will amount only to Rupees 33-5-9 per annum as shown in column 16 of my Statement No. 4, allowing the same value as cultivated land; and for the whole period from the time they were taken up to last Fasli 1270, the entire compensation will amount to Rupees 146-3-6.*

	Yearly Sist.	Compensation for preceding Faslis.
	RS.	RS.
* To Nuzid Zamíndár	24 1 8	76 14 0
To Chavendra Zamíndár.	9 4 1	69 5 6
Total.	33 5 9	146 3 6

1. Submitted for the orders of Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,739, dated 15th March 1862, and with the Board's recommendation that the compensation for waste land referred to in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Collector's present letter be sanctioned in addition to that aleady recommended in the Board's Proceedings above quoted.

2. The total amount of compensation to be sanctioned would thus be Rupees (7,666-3-8 + 146-3-6) =7,812-7-2, and the amount by which the future Peshkash payable by the proprietors will have to be reduced, is Rupees (1,510-7-10 +33-5-9)=1,513-13-7.

3. There is no doubt whatever that the value of the waste lands was included at the permanent settlement in the prospective assets of the Zamíndárs.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th June 1862.

No. 314. ORDER THEREON, 20th June 1862, No. 1,364.

The Government await the receipt of the reply of the Board of Revenue to the Order date, 1st May 1862, No. 937.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 3,748.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th June 1862.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Masulipatam, 17th May 1862, No. 111 :—

1. In acknowledging the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 15th March last, No. 1,739, calling for further information on certain points connected with the lands taken up by channels, I have the honor to submit the following report.

Paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings.

2. The Inam at Panamakur village was first granted in Fasli 1233 by the then Zemindar of Needadavole to Ramavudhanulu, father of the present holder Bhanamurty; and it was recognized by the successors of the original donor. The amount of compensation now recommended is to be paid to Bhanamurty, Inamdar.

Peddavudlapudy....	4
Tadegadapa....	2
Panamakur....	3
	9

3. The nine Inams noted in the margin were taken up by the channels, when the crop was standing on them. The Tahsildars reported that the Officers of the Engineer Department inquired into such claims, and granted compensation for the loss of the standing crops. The amount is shown in column 14 of Statement No. 2, which accompanied my report of the 16th December 1861, No. 312. All the other Inams were taken up when there was no crop on the land.

4. With reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg to state that in the Circuit Committee accounts, which form the basis of the permanent settlement, it does not appear whether these Inams were included in the assets, or were waste at the time. On reference to the Inam Registers of Fasli 1207, and the Inamaty accounts prepared in Fasli 1232, it appears that these Inams were granted by the Zemindars prior to the permanent settlement, and they have been recognized by the present Zemindars.

5. Adverting to paragraph 7 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg leave to state that I made no recommendation for compensation for waste lands, because the occupation of those lands entailed no present loss upon the Zemindars, and because the profits of those lands were not included in the assets on which the estate's Peshcush was fixed. The total extent of Bhunjer, excluded from compensation, amounts to 19 Acres and 38 Guntas, as shown in column 8 of Statement No. 4 sent with my report of 16th December last, viz., 10 Acres and 39 Guntas in two villages of the Nuzid estate, and 8 Acres and 39 Guntas in two villages of Chavendra Zemindary.

6. If the Board are pleased to recommend compensation for all the waste land also, it will amount only to Rupees 33-5-9 per annum as shown in column 16 of my Statement No. 4, allowing the same value as cultivated land; and for the whole period from the time they were taken up to last Fasli 1270, the entire compensation will amount to Rupees 146-3-6.*

	Yearly Sist.			Compensation for preceding Faslis.		
	RS.			RS.		
* To Nuzid Zemindar...	24	1	8	76	14	0
To Chavendra Zemindar.	9	4	1	69	5	6
Total....	33	5	9	146	3	6

---

1. Submitted for the orders of Government, in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,739, dated 15th March 1862, and with the Board's recommendation that the compensation for waste land referred to in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Collector's present letter be sanctioned in addition to that already recommended in the Board's Proceedings above quoted.

2. The total amount of compensation to be sanctioned would thus be Rupees (7,666-3-8 +146-3-6)=7,812-7-2, and the amount by which the future Peshcush payable by the proprietors will have to be reduced, is Rupees (1,510-7-10+33-5-9) 1,543-13-7.

3. There is no doubt whatever that the value of the waste lands was included at the permanent settlement in the prospective assets of the Zemindars.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. Thornhill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

Pro. 1st May '62 No.
10-11-

No. 1364-

No. 136

The Govt await the receipt of the reply of the Board of Revt to the order dated 1st May 1862 No. 937

R No. 1862. Department.
Pro: of Govt for June

No. 1704 -

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 11th June, 1862.
Despatched. 13 – do
Received. 14th

Fort St. George
26th June 1862

To Collr
Bd. of Revu Copy etc.

No. 3,748.

Submitting for orders a letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, submitting further information connected with the lands taken up by channels, with the Board's remarks and recommendation that the compensation referred to by the Collector be sanctioned.

No. 313-A

No. 1364. 20 June

With an Order