M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1145 636. 637	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 23 — 5 — 1862.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 23rd May 1862.

No. 436. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th April 1862, No. 2,626 :—

Read the following letter from H. G. SMITH, Esq., Sub-Collector of Salem, to H. A. BRETT, Esq., Collector of Salem, dated Usur, 28th March 1862, No. 59.

SIR,

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement on the accompanying Petition* No. 30 of 1862, presented by Streenevassa Charry, residing at Savisttahully attached to Pennasvara Muttam Mittah of the Kistnagerry Táluq, I have the honor to submit the following report.

* Sent with Proceedings.

2. Petitioner complains that one Rungachar and two others have illegally taken possession of certain Buttavurthy Inám lands belonging to the Petitioner in the Savoottahully village during his absence. Petitioner therefore prays that the sanction of the Government may be given him to sue the Defendants in the Civil Court for the recovery of the Inám.

3. From the report of the Tahsíldár of Kistnagerry, dated the 24th March 1862, it appears that the claim of the Petitioner relates to certain Buttavurthy Inám lands situated in the said village, measuring acres 4-30-2, assessed at Rupees 24-3-6. The Jodighy thereof is Rupees 1-8-2 It stood registered in the name of Appajee Aucharry in the Survey account. After the death the Survey Inámdár the Inám passed to his grandsons named Rungachar, Ramachundrachar, and Vadaveyachar who, on the 24th June 1844, sold the Inám to Soobannachar, the father of the Petitioner, for the amount of Rupees 368. The deed of sale has been produced by the Petitioner.

4. After the death of Soobannachar, his son the Petitioner went to Benares, &c., on pilgrimage, and having taken hold of this opportunity the Defendants have taken possession of the Inám, and are now enjoying it.

5. Rungachar above referred to having died some years back his brothers Ramachundrachar and Vadaveyachar (Defendants) are now living in the Nacharcovil village in the Táluq of Combaconum Zillah Tanjore, and have rented out the Inám to one Ramasamy.

6. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the sanction solicited may be given the Petitioner to enable him to sue the Defendants in the Civil Court for the recovery of the Inám, the interests of Government being in no way affected.

The Collector concurs with the Sub-Collector that the desired sanction may be given without prejudice to the interests of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOTT, *Collector.*

SALEM, 10th April 1862.

Submitted for the orders of Government. The Defendants are the descendants of the original grantee, and appear to have sold the Inám land to the Petitioner ; this sale they now apparently deny, and have leased the land to another party ; it rests therefore with Government to decide whether the permission to sue in the Civil Court be granted or not.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 437. ORDER THEREON, 23rd May 1862, No. 1,145.

The Governor in Council considers that Petitioner's request should be granted. He therefore, under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, permits Strinivasa Chari to sue in the established Courts for acres four and odd of Batavrithi Inám land situated in the Savoottahully village, Kistnagherry Táluq, Salem District.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 2,626.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th April 1862.

Read the following letter from H. G. SMITH, Esq., Sub-Collector of Salem, to H. A. BRETT, Esq., Collector of Salem, dated Usur, 28th March 1862, No. 59 :—

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement on the accompanying Petition* No. 30 of 1862, presented by Streenevassa Charry, residing at Savisttahully attached to Pennasvara Muttam Mittah of the Kistnagerry Taluk, I have the honor to submit the following report.

* Sent with Proceedings.

2. Petitioner complains that one Rungachar and two others have illegally taken possession of certain Buttavurthy Inam lands belonging to the Petitioner in the Savoottahully village during his absence. Petitioner therefore prays that the sanction of the Government may be given him to sue the Defendants in the Civil Court for the recovery of the Inam.

3. From the report of the Tahsildar of Kistnagerry, dated the 24th March 1862, it appears that the claim of the Petitioner relates to certain Buttavurthy Inam lands situated in the said village, measuring acres 4-30-2, assessed at Rupees 24-3-6. The Jodighy thereof is Rupees 1-8-2. It stood registered in the name of Appajee Aucharry in the Survey account. After the death of the Survey Inamdar the Inam passed to his grandsons named Rungachar, Ramachundrachar, and Vadaveyachar who, on the 24th June 1844, sold the Inam to Soobannachar, the father of the Petitioner, for the amount of Rupees 368. The deed of sale has been produced by the Petitioner.

4. After the death of Soobannachar, his son the Petitioner went to Benares, &c., on pilgrimage, and having taken hold of this opportunity the Defendants have taken possession of the Inam, and are now enjoying it.

5. Rungachar above referred to having died some years back his brothers Ramachundrachar and Vadaveyachar (Defendants) are now living in the Nacharcovil village in the Taluk of Combaconum Zillah Tanjore, and have rented out the Inam to one Ramasamy.

6. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the sanction solicited may be given the Petitioner to enable him to sue the Defendants in the Civil Court for the recovery of the Inam, the interests of Government being in no way affected.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

The Collector concurs with the Sub-Collector that the desired sanction may be given without prejudice to the interests of Government.

(True Extract.)

SALEM, 10th April 1862. (Signed) D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitted for the orders of Government. The Defendants are the descendants of the original grantee, and appear to have sold the Inam land to the Petitioner; this sale they now apparently deny, and have leased the land to another party; it rests therefore with Government to decide whether the permission to sue in the Civil Court be granted or not.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Honorable D. Arbuthnott, Collector of Salem.

Exd. J. Wright.

Proc^{gs} th^{a} Apl. 62 - No 76-77.

Rev^{e} 1862. Department.
Proc: of Govt for May
No. 1334.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 25th *April* 1862.
Despatched. 7 May
Received. 8th
C. I. O.

No. 2,626.

Submitting with remarks letter from the Sub Collector of Salem reporting on Petition No 30 of 1862, praying for permission to sue in the Civil Court for recovery of certain Inam land.

N^{o} 436 & 437.
23-5-62

N^{o} 1145 23 May

Cir 12 May Rd 20

N^{o} 1145 N^{o} 1145.

The Governor in Council considers that Petitioner's request should be granted. He therefore, under Section 2 Regulation IV of 1831, permits Sriniwasa Chari to sue in the Established Courts for acres four and odd of Ba= =tavathi Inam land situated in the Savoottakully village Kustnagherry Taluq, Salem District.

First Vice Govr
23d May 1862
14 Copies to Rd
to write an Epistle

Cir^{r} 24
2