M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1020	Press ~~Mis.~~
181-183	
Dated 9 . 5 . 1862.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 9th May 1862.

Read the following papers :—

No. 181. From H. NEWILL, Esq., Director of Revenue Settlement, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 30th January 1862, No. 103/12.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to forward for the orders of Government, a letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, dated 18th ultimo, No. 1,111, on the subject of marking the boundary line between Cochin and Coimbatore, which was directed in their Proceedings of 9th idem, No. 2,273.

2. In referring the matter to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, I am not certain whether the Government wish a regular Survey to be made of the boundary or merely to have it marked out. The latter can readily be done without the services of the Survey Department, which Major Priestley finds it difficult to afford, while also in the event of no regular Survey being required, the laying down a defined boundary with a "trench and pillars at convenient "distances" as proposed by the Acting Conservator of Forests, might be done by the Forest Conservancy Officers in connection with the Revenue Officers without the inconvenience and expense of detaching hands for the purpose from the Settlement Departments.

No. 182. From Captain H. R. MORGAN, Officiating Conservator of Forests, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Camp Neddivattum, 30th January 1862, No. 738.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to request that the Survey party now in Wainád, may be ordered to lay down the boundaries of the Mudumallai Forest.

2. At present we are felling on the borders of a Government Forest, and it is very important, in case of any private individual taking a lease of Mudumallai Forest, that the boundaries should be clearly defined.

No. 183. ORDER THEREON, 9th May 1862, No. 1,020.

1. In the middle of last year the Conservator of Forests asked Government to permit the Survey party then at Coimbatore to proceed to the Annamalais and mark out a certain boundary line separating that District from Cochin, the reason being that there was some very fine teak on the British side, close to which the Cochin people were cutting so that it was advisable to prevent future disputes by tracing out a clear boundary at once. Captain Morgan added that the Forest Assistant could point out the boundary line.

2. The Government in reply desired the Conservator to state more percisely what he wanted. If the boundary was known and undisputed, no survey seemed necessary. If, on the other hand, it was disputed or doubtful, a reference to the Cochin Government would become necessary.

Government Order 19th August 1861, No. 1,661.

3. From Captain Morgan's reply it appeared that the boundary had never been actually defined, and the Government therefore referred* the matter for the opinion of the Resident of Travancore and Cochin.

* 5th September 1861, No. 1,764.

4. Mr. Maltby having intimated the intention of the Cochin Sirkar to send an Officer to assist the Survey party deputed by this Government, in settling the boundary, the Superintendent of Revenue Survey was requested† through the Director of Revenue Settlement to make the necessary arrangements for the purpose.

† 9th December 1861, No. 2,273.

5. With the first letter recorded above, the Director of Revenue Settlement in reply to the last mentioned order forwards a communication from Major Priestley, in which that Officer submits his opinion that the duty of laying down a boundary line would be more efficiently performed

Thane

by the Demarcation Department: besides which he is quite at a loss to determine the party from which any Surveyor or Officer could be detached for the purpose. Mr. Newill, on the other hand, considers that if no regular survey is required the laying down a defined boundary with a trench and pillars at convenient distances might be done by the Forest Conservancy Officers in connection with the Revenue Officers without the inconvenience and expense of detaching hands from the Settlement Department.

6. This last would, the Government think, be on every account the most desirable arrangement. The Revenue authorities on either side are the proper persons to determine the boundary between the two territories. The Collector of Coimbatore will, therefore, be directed to depute one of his Assistants to settle the matter in communication with the Officer to be sent from Cochin. Timely notice should be given to the Resident of the proposed meeting. The Officer in charge of the Forests in that locality will also be present and give his aid.

7. The second letter recorded above is from the Conservator of Forests, who wants the boundary of the Mudumallai Forest to be laid down by the Survey party now in the Wainád. In this case also the Collector should arrange for the working done by his own and the Forest Conservancy Departments.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Revenue Survey
Superintendent's Office
Cherpalli
18th Dec 1861

No 1111

From

Major
Captain T. J. B. Prestley
Superintendent, Revenue Survey

To

H. Newill Esqr.
Dy Conr Lette

Sir

19th August No 1661
5th Sept " 1762
9th Dec " 2273

No 1622

G.O No 2273
9th Dec 18th
No 868
" No 803

In acknowledging receipt of copies of Pro. of Govt as per margin with your Memo of the 18th Instant appended I have the honr to observe that the duty required is not apparently a Survey or Sketch but that of properly marking out the boundary line between Cochin & Coimbatoor. Captain Morgan also in his letter refers to "marking out the boundary line" & "laying down a defined boundary which might be a trench or pillars erected at convenient distances."

2 This duty can I submit be more efficiently performed by the Demarcation Department & I beg the more strongly to urge the advisability of entrusting the work to it as I should be quite at a loss to determine the party from which any Surveyor or Officer could be detached for the purpose of meeting the Officer of the Cochin Sircar.

I have

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servant
J. Priestley Major
Superintendent Rev Survey

No. 2243 A/R.

The Superintendent Rev. Survey

Revenue 27/1/62

The Director Revenue Settlement

Dated 17th Decr 1861
Recd 20 do
No. 63. 30 Jany /62

No. 1111

Enclosures none

Subject

Represents that the settlement of Boundary between Cochin & Coimbatore can be more effectually performed by the Survey Dept than by the [illegible]

See letter from the D.R.S. to Govt 30 Jany /62 No 103/12

1032 Govt 30 Jany /62

Revenue Depart.

8

No. 1020.

...dings of the Madras Government under date the 9th ~~April~~ May 1862

... following

... 30th January
No 103/12

... 21st Febry /62
... 788

... iddle of
... year ... Conservator
of Forests, asked Govt to
permit the Survey party
then at Coimbatore to proceed
to the Annamalais
and

Rev. Proc. 271 and 318.

In the middle of last year the Conservator of Forests asked Govt to furnish the Survey party then at Coimbatore to proceed to the Anamalais and mark out a certain boundary line separating that District from Cochin, the reason being that there was some very fine teak on our side, and that the Cochin people were cutting so that it was advisable to prevent future disputes by tracing out a clear boundary at once. Capt Morgan added that the Forest Assistant could point out the boundary line.

Government in reply desired the Conservator to state more

precisely what he wanted. If
the boundary was known and
undisputed, no survey seemed
necessary. If on the other
hand, it was disputed
or doubtful, a reference
to the Cochin Govern-
ment would become ne-
cessary.

3. From Capt: Morgan's re-
ply it appeared that the
boundary had never been
actually defined, and
the Govt therefore referred
the matter for the opinion
of the Resident of Travan-
core and Cochin.

4. Mr Maltby having
intimated the intention of
the Cochin Sirkar to send

an officer to assist the Survey part
deputed by that Government,
in settling the Boundary, the
Superintendent of Malabar
Survey was requested thro'
the Director of Revenue Settle-
ment to make the necessary
arrangements for the pur-
pose.

5. The first letter recorded
above, the Director of Revenue
Settlement in reply to the last
mentioned order forwards
a communication from Major
Priotler in which that officer
submits his opinion that the
duty of laying down a boundary
line would be more efficiently
performed by the Demarcation

5 Sept/61.
No 1764.

9 Dec/61
No 2273

Whittley Major

Department: besides which he is quite at a loss to determine the party from which any surveyor or officer could be detached for the purpose. Mr Newill on the other hand considers that if no regular survey is required the laying down a defined boundary with a trench and pillars at convenient distances might be done by the Forest Conservancy officers in connection with the Revenue Officers without the inconvenience and expence of detaching hands from the Settlement Department.

6. This last would, on every account, be the most desirable

6

arrangement. The Revenue authorities on either side are the proper persons to determine the boundary between the two territories. Should the Collector of Coimbatore, therefore, be desired to depute one of his Assistants to settle the matter in communication with the officer of Cochin, and to whom timely notice should be sent?

7. The second letter recorded above is from the Conservator of Forests who wants the boundary of the Anduvalli Forest & the said pass in the Survey Pasty pass in the

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obed.t Servant.
Whistler Majr

Wyard. (See again the Revenue
Authorities must furnish
information, but perhaps
the letter should be referred
to the Settlement Department
to arrange for the work
being done in the most
Economical way.)

Revenue Depart.t

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obed.t Servant.
Whiteley Major

Revenue Depart.t

8

W. 1020.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the 9th April 1862.
May

Read the following

From the Sir.r of Revenue Settlement papers.—

Forwarding letter from Superintendent of Revenue Survey on the subject of marking the boundary line between Cochin & Coimbatore and stating that if a regular survey of the boundary is not required the laying down of a definite line with a trench and pillars at convenient distances might be done by the Conservancy officers in connection with the Revenue officers.—

Received 30th January 1862 No 103/12

From the Offg Conservator of Forests.

Requesting that the Survey party now in Wynaad may be ordered to lay down the boundaries of the Malabar Forests, for reasons stated therein.—

Received 1st Febry 1862 No 788/

1. In the middle of last year the Conservator of Forests asked Govt to permit the Survey party then at Coimbatore to proceed to the Annamalais and

and mark out a certain boundary line separating that District from Cochin, the reason being that there was some very fine Teak on the British side close to which the Cochin people were cutting so that it was advisable to prevent future disputes by tracing out a clear boundary at once - Captain Morgan adds that the Forest Assistant could point out the boundary line -

2 The Govt in reply desire the Conservator to state more precisely what he wanted - If the boundary was known and undisputed, no Survey seems necessary - If, on the other hand, it was disputed or doubtful, a reference to the Cochin Govt would become necessary -

3. From Captain Morgan's reply it appears that the boundary had never been actually defined, and the Govt therefore refers the matter for the opinion of the Resident of Travancore and

G.O. 19th Augt 64 - No 1661.

× 5th September 64. No 1764 -

⊗ 9th Decr 1861 - No 2273 -

and Cochin. 9

4. Mr. Maltby having intimated the intention of the Cochin Sirkar to send an officer to assist the Survey party deputed by that Govt in settling the boundary, the Superintendent of Revenue Survey was requested through the Director of Revenue Settlement to make the necessary arrangements for the purpose.

5. With the first letter recorded above, the Director of Revenue Settlement, in reply to the last mentioned order forwards a communication from Major Priestley in which that officer submits his opinion that the duty of laying down a boundary line would be more efficiently performed by the Demarcation Department: besides which he is quite at a loss to determine the party from which

which any Surveyor or
officer could be detached
for the purpose - Mr Powell
on the other hand considers
that if no regular survey
is required the laying down
a defined boundary with
a trench and pillars at
convenient distances as
might be done by the
Forest Conservancy officers
in connection with the Re-
venue officers without the
inconvenience and expense
of detaching hands from
the Settlement Department -

6. This last would, the
Govt think, be on every
account the most desirable
arrangement - The Revenue
Authorities on either side
are the proper persons to
determine the boundary
between the two territories -
The Collector of Coimbatore
will therefore be directed
to depute one of his As-
sistants to settle the
matter in communication
with the officer to be sent
from

pore Location. Timely notice
should be given to the
Resident of the proposed
meeting - The Officer in
charge of the Forests in
that locality will also
be present and give
his aid.

7. The second letter
recorded above is from
the Conservator of Forests
who wants the Commen-
dancy of the Nuddumalloy
Forest to be laid down
by the Survey party
now in the Wynaad.
In this case also the
Collector should ar-
range for the work
being done by his own
and the Forest Conser-
vancy Departments

8

No Copies to Board of Rev. Cliff & Co
13 — Do. of New Settlement
1 — Do. of Coimbatore
4 — Conservator of Forests
7 — Do. of New Survey
3 — Resident of Travancore
J Collins

Pro. 9th Dec. 1861 No. 59-60
" 19 Aug " " 264-265
" 5 Sept " " 38-39

Boundary line between
Cochin and Coimbatore

Revenue 1862 Departt.
Pros: of Govt for May.

No 1020.

Pros: of the Madras Govt
Dated 9th May 1862.

From Collr of Coimbatore
Dated 3rd July 1862 Recd 19th Augt

Papers relating to the
marking of the boundary line
between Cochin and Coimbatore
and the laying down of the
Boundary of the Nedumalli
Forest.

No 181 at 183.

3-5/62