M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1011 Press / Mis.

163 - 164

Dated 8 - 5 - 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 8th May 1862.

No. 163. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th April 1862, No. 2,515:—

Read the following letter from A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Gurramcondah, 25th March 1862, No. 30.

SIR,

With reference to the Extract of Order of Government, dated 28th January 1862, No. 123, addressed to the Board of Revenue, and to the Collector of Cuddapah, requesting an explanation of why the pay of the Deputy Collector's Head Clerk* was omitted from the original Budget of 1861-62, I have the honor to state that the pay of the subordinate Clerks being entered in a lump Estimate; the omission of the individual Head Clerk's salary was not perceptible to me, and that the Deputy Collector explains that on account of the office not having been filled up, at the time of preparation of the original Budget, he did not include it I have pointed out to him his mistake.

* Charged in the Supplemental Budget of 1861-62.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with reference to their Proceedings quoted by the Collector. The explanation afforded shows a great want of care on the part of the Deputy Collector. The Collector will draw his attention to the Order of Government under date 23rd July 1861, No. 380.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 164. ORDER THEREON, 8th May 1862, No. 1,011.

The explanation is unsatisfactory. The name of the Deputy Collector who allowed the omission ought to have been stated by the Collector.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 2,515.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th April 186.

Read the following letter from A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Gurramcondah, 25th March 1862, No. 30:—

With reference to the Extract of Order of Government, dated 28th January 1862, No. 123, addressed to the Board of Revenue, and to the Collector of Cuddapah, requesting an explanation of why the pay of the Deputy Collector's Head Clerk* was omitted from the original Budget of 1861-62, I have the honor to state that the pay of the subordinate Clerks being entered in a lump estimate; the omission of the individual Head Clerk's salary was not perceptible to me, and that the Deputy Collector explains that on account of the Office not having been filled up, at the time of preparation of the original Budget, he did not include it. I have pointed out to him his mistake.

* Charged in the Supplemental Budget of 1861-62.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with reference to their Proceedings quoted by the Collector. The explanation afforded shows a great want of care on the part of the Deputy Collector. The Collector will draw his attention to the Order of Government under date 23rd July 1861, No. 380.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to A. Wedderburn, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah.

Exd. J. Wright.

24 July /61 Nos. 357-355
28 January /62 — 240-241

Rev= 1862. Department.
Bds of Govt for allay.

No. 1213.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 16th April 1862.
Despatched. 26 "
Received. 28 "

No. 2,515.

Submitting with remarks a letter from the Collector of Cuddapah explaining the Cause of omission of the Salary of the Deputy Collector's Head Clerk in the Original Budget of 1861-62.

No 1637/64.

No 1011 8 May

William Crider

Esqre April 62 Allay

No 1011

The explanations are unsatisfactory. The name of the Deputy Collector who allowed the omission ought to have been stated by the Collector.

I. D. L.

Examined 8 May 62
Entered 8th May 1862
Sent to the
Board of Revenue Regt. 9