

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

949

Press

~~Mis.~~

453

Dated

27-4-1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th April 1861.*

No. 453.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Dated 27th April 1861, No. 949.

1. The Government learn from the Superintendent of the Government Press that the printing of the Collectors' Jamabandi Reports for Faslis 1267 and 1268 with the Statements, as ordered by the Board of Revenue, has cost about Rupees 12,417 inclusive of paper, whereas without the Statements the total charge would not have exceeded Rupees 1,800.

2. The printing of the Statements thus entails a heavy cost, and it also causes long delay on the completion of the work; and in the opinion of Government there is no adequate counterbalancing advantage. The Government therefore resolve to request the Board of Revenue to print only the Reports of the Collectors for the future, and not the Statements.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Memorandum.

Cost of - Sanraabardi Reports printed at
Govt. Offices (Estts 1267 & 1268) Rs. 10,300. 1. 6
• ditto in this Office (about) 1,400. 0. 0
Cost of paper for ditto 717. 0. 0
Total Rs. 12,417. 1. 6

Govt. Offices
Press Office
12th April
1861.

sent to C.M. & Co.
for printing
12/4/61

B. Smith,
Superintendent.

the total charge would
not

Revenue Dept.

No 949
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 27th April 1861.

The Government
learn from the Superin-
tendents of the Government
Depts that the printing
of the ^{Collectors} Jamabundy
Reports for Fuzils 1267
and 1268 with the
~~Collector's~~ Statements,
as ordered by the Board
of Revenue ^{has} cost about
Rupees 12417 inclusive
of paper, whereas with-
out the statements &
the total charge would
not

W

W

The statements
were printed for
those two fuzils
but not before
in service. The
total cost of the
two reports (with
the statements) in-
clusive of paper
would not have
exceeded Rs. 1800.
The statements
were printed for
those two fuzils
but not before
in service. The
total cost of the
two reports (with
the statements) in-
clusive of paper
would not have
exceeded Rs. 1800.

Revenue
Office

not have exceeded —
Rupees 1800.

2 The printing
of the Statements thus
~~question~~ entails a heavy
cost, and ^{it also} caused long
delay in the completion
of the work, and ~~these~~
in the opinion of Government
~~are not of as practical~~
There is no adequate counter-
~~use at all the information~~
balancing advantage.
~~that they contain is~~
~~embodied in Board's~~
~~Tables.~~ The Government
therefore resolve to re-
quest the Board of
Revenue to print only
the Reports of the Collec-
tors for the future,
and not the Statements.

W
21/11/11
21/11/11

(5)
Printing of Sum. acc. & Reports.

Revenue 1881 Report.
Pro. of Govt for April

210949.

Pro. of the Madras Govt.
of 27th April 1881.

Board of Revenue
to print in future the
Annual Reports
only, of the Collectors and
not the statements.

1953.