M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 919 Press
Mis.

394-395

Dated 23-4- 1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 23rd April 1861.

No. 394. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd April 1861, No. 1,669 :—

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 8th March 1861, No. 115.

(In Cons. 14th March 1861, No. 1,604.)

In Cons. 18th February 1861, *No.* 1,008.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to paragraph 2 of the Order, dated 16th February 1861, No. 372.

2. The Board do not see the propriety of the course taken by the Collector. If the present Curnum was a participator in the crime of his father, he is as deserving of dismissal as the latter; otherwise, as an innocent party, he is not justly liable to any punishment. If again the transfer of the office was merely to escape the consequences of the fraud, the expedient should not be allowed to prove successful.

3. The Collector will re-consider his decision in the matter.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 395. ORDER THEREON, 23rd April 1861, No. 919.

The Government fully concur in the Board's remarks in paragraph 2. They have on several occasions insisted on the necessity of punishing Curnums' frauds in an exemplary manner. The present Curnum having been acting with his father at the time of the fraud can hardly have been other than a participator in it, and it was apparently on that account that he was fined. It appears to Government that he ought to be dismissed.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,

Secretary to Government.

In Cons. 14th March 1861, No. 1,604.

From J. SILVER. Esq., Collector of TINNEVELLY,
dated Tutacorin, 8th March 1861, No. 115.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the order of Government, dated 16th ultimo, No. 372, communicated to me by the Board of Revenue, under date the 19th idem, I have the honor to inform you that the fraudulent entry in the Putta of Shanmuga Taven was made by the former Curnum, the father of the present incumbent. Subsequent to the fraud and before a charge was preferred and disposed of, the office was transferred to the present incumbent, at the request of his father. Thus the individual who committed the act having been put out of office, and it appearing that the son had been assisting his father in the performance of his duties, the present Curnum was fined one month's pay as a warning.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 1,669.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd April 1861.

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 8th March 1861, No. 115.

(In Cons. 14th March 1861, No. 1,604.)

In Cons. 18th February 1861, No. 1,008.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to paragraph 2 of the order, dated 16th February 1861, No. 372.

2. The Board do not see the propriety of the course taken by the Collector. If the present Curnum was a participator in the crime of his father, he is as deserving of dismissal as the latter; otherwise, as an innocent party, he is not justly liable to any punishment. If again the transfer of the office was merely to escape the consequences of the fraud the expedient should not be allowed to prove successful.

3. The Collector will re-consider his decision in the matter.

(A true Extract.)

J. D. Sim

Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. Silver, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly.

Exd. J. Wright.

R 16 February 1861 N° 228 229

Revenue 1861 Departmt.
Proc.s of Govt. for April.
No 854

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 3d
Desp 8th } April 1861.
Rec.d 9th
Encr. 1

N°1669.

Submitting with
reference to Govt. Order
of 16th February 1861 N°372
a letter from the Coll.r of
Tinnevelly reporting having
fined certain Curnum for
assisting in the fraudulent
entry in the Putta of Shan
-nuga Tavee.--

N°919. 23d April.
With an order

N° 3941 to 3995

R. H. Apr. 1861

Lushington

N° 919.

The Govt. fully concur in
the Board's remarks in Para 2. They have
on several occasions insisted on the necessity
of punishing Curnums' frauds in an exemplary
manner. The present Curnum having been
acting with his Father at the time of the fraud
can hardly have been other than a participator
in it, and it was apparently on that account
that he was fined. It appears to Govt. that
he ought to be dismissed.

If the Curnum is fined he ought
of course to be dismissed but
at present we know nothing but
Curnum - I am not sure that
Revenue takes up the Collector to assist the Govt
upon further enquiries

Despe WR Post Office
23d April 1861.

1861 April 23d Despe