M.R.O. 14—10,000—2-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 718 Press ~~Mis~~

498-499

Dated 28-3- 1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

M.R.O. 14—10,000—8-1-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 718 — Press / ~~Mis.~~

498-499

Dated 28-3- 1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th March 1861.

No. 498. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th February 1861, No. 899:—

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Kaveripakam, 24th January 1861, No. 12.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your communication, dated 24th October 1860, No. 4,889, enclosing the order of Government, dated 20th idem, No. 1,920.

2. In compliance with the requisition therein conveyed, I beg to transmit the original Arzi of the Tahsíldár of the late Satiavedu Táluq with free translation. With it is likewise enclosed the original Arzi from the same Officer, reporting the decease of the then Srotriyamdár, as also the two Takids issued thereon by Messrs. Roberts and Ogilvie with their respective translations.

Dated 26th February 1836, *No.* 60.

3. The Táluq official copy on the form and condition of which I am called to report, has been carefully examined. It is stitched up in the form of a book and contains the Arzis addressed from that Táluq to the Huzúr, between 1st January and 22nd May 1836. The transcript of the Arzi in question begins half way down the last page of one sheet and is continued over two-thirds of the first side of the next. Immediately preceding and following are the transcripts of other Arzis which stand in the regular order of their respective dates and numbers. The book is so stitched that no single sheet could be removed without detaching also a second. On comparing the official copy with the Arzi sent to the Huzúr, I find both identical in every respect. I am, in short, quite satisfied that there is no room to suspect interpolation, or other fraudulent entry.

4. Adverting to paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, dated 12th June 1860, No. 2,772, I beg to observe that from 1836 to 1842 the Srotriyam village of Damarakúppam was placed under Government management, the Melvaram or Government share of the produce being commuted into cash at the current prices, and the difference between that and the Jódi credited to the head "of Shivai Zama."

Faslis.	*Melvaram commuted into ready money.*		
	RS.	A.	P.
1245.....	114	11	6
1246.....	166	15	7
1247.....	164	7	8
1248.....	241	11	2
1249.....	178	4	3
1250.....	99	9	4
1251.....	111	5	9
1252.....	267	3	6

5. As required in paragraph 7 of the Proceedings, I have also enclosed a Fasliwar Statement of the mesne profits of the Srotriyam village since its improper resumption. From this it will be seen that the sum collected by Government in excess of the Jódi amounts to Rupees 3,020-9-6, the interest on which at 5 per cent. up to 30th June 1860 would be 2,055-7-7. The total amount to be refunded to the Srotriyamdár thus becomes 5,076-1-1. Computed as I presume the interest should be from Fasli 1245 to the close of last Fasli, it becomes, as the Board will perceive, *a very heavy item in the amount to be refunded.*

---

1. Ordered that the above letter and its enclosures together with an extract from Mr. Græme's Register of alienated villages, quoted by the Board in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, dated 12th June 1860, be submitted to Government, with reference to their Proceedings, dated 20th October 1860, No. 1,920, Revenue Department.

In Cons. 24th October 1860.

2. The Board observe that the Register number of the village was misprinted 566 for 366 in their Proceedings above cited.

3. It will be seen that the further inquiry made by the Acting Collector in obedience to the orders of Government, has tended to confirm his and the Board's opinion of the validity

of the Petitioner's claim for the restoration of the Srotriyam, and the Board beg to renew their recommendation in its favor, and for the refund of the mesne proceeds beyond the Jódi for the period it has been under attachment with interest to date of payment. These amounts calculated to 30th June 1860, are respectively Rupees 3,020-9-6, and Rupees 2,055-7-7, total Rupees 5,076-1-1.

4. The Acting Collector seems to doubt the necessity of paying so large an amount of interest, but in the Board's opinion it is an act of simple justice for the wrong the Srotriyamdárs have sustained.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 499. ORDER THEREON, 28th March 1861, No. 718.

1. In these Proceedings the Board of Revenue in obedience to the order of 20th October 1860, No. 1,920, submit some further information respecting the Shrotriyam Village of Dámarakuppam, in the District of North Arcot, which was resumed without authority by the Collector in 1836. The facts formerly stated being confirmed by the further inquiry made, the Board renew their recommendation for the restoration of the village and for the refund of the mesne profits with interest.

2. The leading facts of the case, as stated in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Board's Proceedings of June 12th 1860, No. 2,772, are fully substantiated by the papers now sent up. The Governor in Council accordingly accedes to the recommendation of the Board, and directs the restoration of the village, and the payment to the Shrotriyamdárs of the mesne profits, together with interest thereon, together amounting, as stated by the Collector, to Rupees 5,076-1-1, up to 30th June 1860; with further interest on the principal from that day to the day of payment, which should not be delayed.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Register of Chittoor or Sundry Small Enaums in the Northern Division of Arcot received with Mr. Graeme's letter, dated 13th. Inst. 19th June 1815.

Register	Name of the Villages in English	Name of the Villages in the local language	Actual or Estimated annual Value of the Village	Name of the Person at present holding the Village or the Pagoda or Mosque for the expenses of which the Village was assigned	By whom Originally Granted and by whom Confirmed.	Date of the Grant. A. D.	Hereditary or during the Natural life of the Incumbent	Copy of the Grant Registered or not Registered in the Coll.r's Office	Annual Rent Payable for the Villages	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
6.	Dammara-Kooppum	5 to 15 vuls.	98. 2 8 13.	Paupoodoo, Chenchoo Mamoodoo and Uckiah being Bomma-lalavars i.e. players of Wooden Statues.	Granted by Kistna Rowger. The above is according to the present holders Assertion.	Not known by any documents but declared by the Incumbent that the Village was given 300 years ago.	Not known by any documents but declared by the Incumbent to be Hereditary.	Registered in Bhoga-vuttah of Village Cannum dated 11th Sattery 1919 Fasly.	19. 2 15 5	

True Copy

C. Anderson
Sub Secretary

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
19th February 1861.

C B

No. 497

This is the final report of the Board of Revenue regarding the village of Damarakuppam in the North Arcot district, formerly held on rotiny-am tenure up to the year 1836, and ~~the~~ the circumstances connected with the resumption of which are detailed in the Proceedings recorded in G.O. 22 June 1860. No. 1027.

Further information in connection with this case was called for in G.O. 20 October 1860. No. 1920. & the Board have now replied.

Without entering into ~~any~~ details, the papers sent up seem to shew clearly that the resumption, (as it may be called, though in reality no order resuming the village was ever issued) was a mistake.

When the Tahsildar of Sattiaved-Taluq, reported to the Collector the death of the rotiny-amdar of the village in question he was directed to send up to the Huzur the heirs of the deceased with any documents which might be in their possession keeping the village under circar management until further orders. Accordingly

18 January 1836. Enclosure A

Enclosure B.

26 Feb/36. Enclosure C

the Talukdar forwarded four individuals and two pattas, with an arzi, which bears the initials of Mr. Hallett, the then sub-Collector, in token of its receipt. No order seems to have been passed on this arzi, which bears a Memorandum that "no immediate answer" is required, though it could not altogether have been lost sight of, because in the following year the Collector returned to the Talukdar the two Pattas which had been received with it, for delivery to the ryotwarry-dars.

6 June/37. Enclosure D

There can be no doubt of the authenticity of the Talukdar's arzi of 26 Feb/36, since that it bears the initials of Mr. Hallett, while the Talug official copy of it is identical in every respect, and is formed in such a form, as to preclude, in the opinion of the Collector, any idea of interpolation, or other fraudulent entry. The order of the 6 June/37 is also corroborative of its existence at that date.

Supposing this to be the true state of the case, and the omission to pass orders on the village merely an oversight, the extraordinary fact still remains that from 1836 to 1855 the so-called heirs never made any complaint.

The Board renew their recommendation that the ryotwarry shd be restored to the heir, and that the revenue forcedly after deducting the Jodi, shd be refunded together with interest to date of payment.

On these grounds the orders of Govt are requested.

	Rs. A. P.
The actual collections from Fasli 1245 to 1269, Drought Sh attachment amount to	4725 " 4 " 6
Deduct Jodi for the same period	1704 " 11 " 0
Balance to be refunded	3020 " 9 " 6
Add interest at 5%	2055 " 7 " 7
Total amount to be repaid	5,076 " 1 " 1

It is observed from the Statement forwarded by the Board that since 1265, when the ryotwarry dars complained, the Jodi only has been credited to Govt, the remaining collections being left with the ryotwarry dars, instead of being brought under "sherai jama". So that in reality the refund has to be made for the 20 years from 1245 to 1264 - both inclusive.

C Gill
March 11.

Note. The leading facts of the case, as stated in Paras 3 & 4 of the Board's Proc. of June 12/60, are fully substantiated by the papers now under report. The village of Thenpun to be restored, & the

Rev. Dept.

№ 718

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 28th March 1867.

Read the following
Progs of the Board of
Revenue.

Submits a letter
from the Ag. Coll.r of N
Arcot furnishing the cor-
respondence required by Govt
regarding the Shrotriem
village of Damaratinpa-
-pam

(Here enter 18 Febry & 6 Sept 1866)

In these Pro-
-ceedings the Board of
Revenue in obedience to
the order of 20 October
1866 № 1920, submit some
further information re-
-specting the Srotriyam
Village of Damaratinpa-
-pam, in the District of
North Arcot, which was
summoned by the Collector
in 1836. The facts for-
-merly stated being confirmed
by

(14) 4a 3/25

Memo on the Srotriyam
village of Damaratippam
in North Arcot

mesne profits paid over;
But orders are requested as
to the interest; viz. whether
it shd be paid from the
commencement (in 1836)
or only
from the time (in 1855)
when the Petrs brought
forward their claim;
or not at all.

The Petrs may be
regarded as respondts
for the delay from 1836
to 1855.

- I would restore the Village
& the mesne profits, but only
simple interest from 1855.

The village should
be restored - & Govt
decreed only of Simple

The village must be restored
the profits also, and interest
but as it appears that the
Govt have since 1265 only
received their proper due
there will be no return of
Annual profits after that
year - The interest however
will have to be paid up to
the present time - as the
respondents have been
kept out of it till now

Govt interest from
1836 - Sd

March 12. That, in connexion
herewith - it is the
duty of Govt to pay
the whole of Govt
36 - The mistake
was the Collectors -
The whole, viz Rs 2
should only at 5
per cent - Rs dd - Convinced

C-14 March 9 16

by the further enquiry
made, the Board passed
their recommendation
for the restoration of
the village and for
the refund of the mesne
profits with interest.

2 The leading
facts of the case, as stat-
ted in paras 3 and 4
of the Board's Proceed-
ings of June 12/60 N°
2772, are fully substan-
tiated by the papers
now sent up. The
Governor in Council
accordingly accedes to
the recommendation
of the Board, and
directs the restoration
of the Village and the
payment to the Sro.
Triyambara of the
mesne profits, together
with interest thereon,
amounting

amounting in the
whole as stated by the
Collector, to Rs. 5,976-4-
up to 30 June 1860, +
with further interest
on the principal from
that day to the day
of payment, which
should not be delayed.

13. Copies. Board of Revenue. with 5 Encls. Dis N° 29
3 " Accountant General N
3 " Civil Auditor. N

Sreevaram Village of Donna
Kuppam - N. Arcot

22d June 1860 No. 243
246
20th Oct. 1860
No. 343-344.

Revenue 1861 Departt.
Pro: of Govt. for March

No. 18.

Pro: of the Madras
Government

Dt 28th March 1861.

From the Offg Civil Auditor
Dt 5 July 1861 No 1600 in Pro
from Coll. of North Arcot Dt 16 July /61
In obedience to orders dated 17th Feb:
: mit further information respecting
the Shrotriyam Village of
Damaraipuppam in North
Arcot and renew their recom:
: mendation for the restoration
of the Village and for the refund
of the mesne profits with
interest. The Governor in
Council accordingly accedes
to the Board's recommendation

Of Genl Mems to the Collector of
North Arcot Dt 9th July /61 No. 238.

No. 498 & 499.

L