M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 634	Press ~~Mis.~~
333-334	
Dated 18-3-1861.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 18th March 1861.

No. 333. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd March 1861, No. 1,169 :—

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 18th February 1861, No. 48.

(In Cons. 22nd February 1861, No. 1,128.)

In Cons. 21st December 1859, No. 6,358.

1. Submitted for the information of Government, with reference to Order of Government, 20th December 1859, No. 1,726.

2. The Suit in question was dismissed, it will be observed, for default on the part of the Plaintiffs, and not after a trial on the merits ; but such default precludes them from bringing a fresh Suit in respect of the same cause of action (Section 114, Act VIII of 1859).

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 334. ORDER THEREON, 18th March 1861, No. 634.

Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

IN CONS. 22ND FEBRUARY 1861, No. 1,128.

From V. H. LEVINGE, Esq., Collector of MADURA,
dated 18th February 1861, No. 48.

To Board, 30th November 1859, No. 229.
From do. 22nd December 1859, No. 5,370.

With reference to the marginal correspondence, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of the Decree passed by the Subordinate Court of this station in Original Suit No. 31 of 1859, on the file of the same Court, dismissing the Suit in question.

—

ENC. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of Decree in Original Suit No. 31 of 1859, on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Seal

Madura Subordinate
Court

Original Suit No. 31 of 1859

Plaintiffs

1 Arnachallum Chetty
and
2 Chithumbaram Chetty

Defendants

1 J Clarke Esquire
late Collector of
Madura

2 Ramen the Pasul=
=dar of Mellur Taluq

3 Ayuppen Chetty

4 Coodgappan Chetty

5 Sawoojam Chetty

6 Arumoogam Chetty

7 Narainen Chetty
and
8 Mooteroolum Chetty

Vakeel none.

Government Vakeel
for 1st Defendant
Ramasawmiah

Vakeel for 3d Defendants
Venkatakrishniah

Plaintiffs brought this suit to establish their

Enrd. Plaint the Proceedings of the Dist. Court of Madura in Original Suit No. 31 of 1859 dated 18th Feby 1860 with the Report of the Commissioner dated 31st Augt 1859.

Enrd. 1 Map filed

Posted

Letter from the Collector of Madura to the Court dated 18th Feby 1860 with the Report of the Commissioner dated 31st Augt 1859.

1

their right to 6 3/4 Cooles of Punjas or 3 Cawnies of Nunjah land annually yielding Rs. 67-8-" and to recover loss of produce, Rs. 203-4-"

Decree

The Plffs being absent on the day in which the suit was taken up for final issue the case is dismissed with all cost under section 11th Act VIII of 1859

Costs incurred by Plffs

Value of Stamp paper		17-"-	
Peons Batta	1	13-"	18-13-"
Costs incurred by 2d Defts			
Value of Stamp paper		1-4-"	
Vakeels fees	13	8-"	
			14-12-"
Costs incurred by 3rd Defts			
Value of Stamp paper		-8-"	
Vakeels fees	13	8-"	
			14-"-"
Costs incurred by 4th & 5th Defts			
Value of Stamp paper		"-4-"	
Peons Batta	"-15-"	1-3-"	
		Total	48-12-"

Deduct

Costs borne by Plffs 18-13-"

Balance to be paid by 2d to the said Defendants 29-15-"

Given under my hand and the seal of the Subordinate Court at Madura this 31st day of January A.D. 1861

No Appeal

Plaint filed 12th November 1859

Pleadings closed 31st January 1860

Final Hearing 31st January 1861

Decree passed 31st January 1861

(Signed) J. D. Goldingham
Asst. Subordinate Judge

Witnessed Summons
Expenses Rs. 1-3-"
(True Copy)

H. Lewis
Coll.

No. 1,169.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2d March 1861.

Read letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 18th February 1861, No. 48.

(In Cons. 22d February 1861, No. 1,128.)

In Cons. 21st December 1859, No. 6,358.

1. Submitted for the information of Government, with reference to Order of Government, 20th December 1859, No. 1,726.

2. The Suit in question was dismissed, it will be observed, for default on the part of the Plaintiffs, and not after a trial on the merits; but such default precludes them from bringing a fresh Suit in respect of the same cause of action (Section 114, Act VIII of 1859).

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to V. H. Levinge, Esq., Collector of Madura.

Exd. J. Wright.

P.P. 20 December 1859 p. 104-105

Revenue 1861 Septr.
Proc: of Govt for March
No 606

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 2d
Desp.d 5
Rec.d 6th
March 1861.

Encs. 1 - with the 10th Encs.

Lieut. Lushington
No 1169

Submitting for information a letter from the Collr of Madura forwarding a copy of the Decree passed by the Sub Court of Madura in Os No 31 of 1859, dismissing the suit in question.

No 333 & 334 -

No 634 & Encl
Ordered to be recorded -
18th March 1861 -

P.P. 20 December 1859 p. 104-105 & 12th Sept. 1860 p. 18