

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 22	Press
	~~Mis.~~
41-42	
Dated	5-1-1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th January 1861.*

No. 41. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th November 1860, No. 5,248 :—

SIR,

1. The Board resolve to submit to Government, their usual report of the progress made in the preparation of the Village Register A, in August and September last.
2. The annexed is an abstract of the reports received from the different Collectors :—

Districts.	Total number of villages in each District.	Villages whose registers have been completely written to the end of August last.	Villages whose registers have been written to the end of Sept. last.	Villages whose registers are under preparation.
1	2	3	4	5
1. Ganjam	1,233	1,169	1,188	45
2. Godavery	980	965	968	12
3. Kistna	1,394	1,340	1,364	30
4. Nellore, Saidapúr villages ...	52	50	16	26
5. Cuddapah	1,181	1,177	1,181	0
6. Bellary	2,562	1,791	2,144	418
7. Kurnool	1,203	956	1,058	145
8. Madras	1,653	668	763	794
9. North Arcot	2,921	2,415	2,725	38
10. South Arcot	2,473	2,356	2,417	56
11. Tanjore	3,079	2,705	2,731	307
12. Madura	782	276	285	378
13. Tinnevely	1,095	1,065	1,078	14
14. Coimbatore	1,543	1,435	1,467	50
15. Salem	3,257	2,016	2,540	717

Kurnool.
South Arcot.
Madura.
Coimbatore.

3. It will be seen from column 2 of the foregoing abstract, that in the Districts noted in the margin, there are differences in the total number of villages, as entered in this and previous reports. These differences are owing to the recent transfers of villages from one District to another, and to the necessary deductions or additions not having been made in all the District returns. The Board have pointed out the omissions, and requested the Collectors concerned to supply them in their future Progress reports.

4. As regards the progress made, the Board regret to find that in Nellore, the Registers of only 16 of the 52 Saidapúr villages have been actually written. The number, viz., 50, of Registers entered in the previous reports as having been completed, was overstated, owing to incorrect information having been supplied to the Collector by the Tálúq Officers.

5. In their last report, dated 17th September 1860 (copy of which was circulated to the Collectors), the Board suggested the expediency of the correctness of the Registers being tested by the Collectors personally, and by their Assistants, Deputies, and Tahsildárs. With reference to this, the Registers of certain villages in two of the Tálúqs of Madras were examined by Mr. Cunliffe, and he reports that "not a single village may be said to be completed," as most of the principal columns had been left blank. He proposes when the accounts come in from the other Tálúqs to call on the different Sarishtadárs to account for their remissness. Mr. Cunliffe is requested to report the result to the Board.

6. In the other Districts, no attempts appear yet to have been made to test the accuracy of the Registers. The Board request the Collectors to lose no time in doing this; and to explain briefly in the Remark column of their future Progress reports, how many Registers have been examined; by whom the examination was made; and with what results.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Acting Secretary.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th January 1861.*

No. 42.

ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1861, No. 22.

1. The Board of Revenue submit their usual report on the progress made in the preparation of Register A, throughout the various Districts.
2. The report has reference to the months of August and September last.
3. From the 5th paragraph of their Proceedings it appears that the accuracy of the returns is questionable. The Board are requested to make inquiries on this point without delay, and to send for the Registers of some of the villages without delay for their own inspection.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 5,248.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 12th November 1860.

1. The Board resolve to submit to Government, their usual report of the progress made in the preparation of the Village Register A, in August and September last.
2. The annexed is an abstract of the reports received from the different Collectors :—

Districts.	Total number of villages in each District.	Villages whose registers have been completely written to the end of August last.	Villages whose registers have been written to the end of Sept. last.	Villages whose registers are under preparation.
1	2	3	4	5
1 Ganjam.....	1,233	1,169	1,188	45
2 Godavery...	980	965	968	12
3 Kistna.....	1,394	1,340	1,364	30
4 Nellore, Saidapoor villages. ...	52	50	16	26
5 Cuddapah...	1,181	1,177	1,181	0
6 Bellary.....	2,562	1,791	2,144	418
7 Kurnool.....	1,203	956	1,058	145
8 Madras.....	1,653	668	763	794
9 North Arcot. ...	2,921	2,415	2,725	38
10 South Arcot. ...	2,473	2,356	2,417	56
11 Tanjore.....	3,079	2,705	2,731	307
12 Madura.....	782	276	285	378
13 Tinnevely... ..	1,095	1,065	1,078	14
14 Coimbatore... ..	1,543	1,435	1,467	50
15 Salem.....	3,257	2,016	2,540	717

3. It will be seen from column 2 of the foregoing abstract, that in the Districts Kurnool, South Arcot, Madura, Coimbatore. noted in the margin, there are differences in the total number of villages, as entered in this and previous reports. These differences are owing to the recent transfers of villages from one District to another, and to the necessary deductions or additions not having been made in all the District returns. The Board have pointed out the omissions, and requested the Collectors concerned to supply them in their future Progress reports.

4. As regards the progress made, the Board regret to find that in Nellore, the Registers of only 16 of the 52 Saidapoor villages have been actually written. The number, viz., 50, of Registers entered in the previous reports as having been completed, was overstated, owing to incorrect information having been supplied to the Collector by the Taluk Officers.

5. In their last report, dated 17th September 1860 (copy of which was circulated to the Collectors), the Board suggested the expediency of the correctness of the Registers being tested by the Collectors personally, and by their Assistants, Deputies, and Tahsildars. With reference to this, the Registers of certain villages in two of the Taluks of Madras were examined by Mr. Cunliffe, and he reports that "not a single village may be said to be completed," as most of the principal columns had been left blank. He proposes when the accounts come in from the other Taluks to call on the different Sheristadars to account for their remissness. Mr. Cunliffe is requested to report the result to the Board.

6. In the other Districts, no attempts appear yet to have been made to test the accuracy of the Registers. The Board request the Collectors to lose no time in doing this; and to explain briefly in the Remark column of their future Progress reports, how many Registers have been examined: by whom the examination was made; and with what results.

(A true Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to all Collectors.

Exd. J. Wright.

No 17 - Oct 1860 - 279 250

Rec 1800
P. of Good Hope 27th January 1861

Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue

Dated 12th
July 27th - November 1860
Dec 28

From 22nd 8/20th 7/4th 1860
with 10:16:0m 1861

under report of the Survey
made in the preparation of
the Village Register A and
August and September last -

As per 5th January
With an order

12 Copies. Board of Rev. 27/1/61

No 27 22

1. The Board of Revenue submit
their annual report on the progress
made in the preparation of
Register A throughout the various
Districts.

2. The report has reference in
to the months of August and
September last.

3. From the 5th para of their pro-
ceedings it appears that the accuracy
of the returns is questionable. The
Board are requested to make
enquiries on this point without
delay, and to send for the Register
of some of the Village authorities
to be for their own satisfaction.

For 2nd 4th 3rd
1861 5th January 1861

Gloucester

988

the total of the report is not correct
and the fact is that the
report is not correct and the
fact is that the report is not correct