

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2123	<u>Press</u>
		<u>Mis.</u>
	75-76	
Dated	7 - u.	1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th November 1861.*

No. 75. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd October 1861, No. 5,319:—

Read the following Endorsement on Board's Petition, No. 3,040 of 1860, by the Collector of Tinnevely, dated Tenkasy, 24th June 1861, No. 272.

1. The Petitioners are Trustees of the Pagoda at Moorapanad in the Vidugramum Division. They pray that the surplus Dévastánam money now in deposit may be disbursed to them for repair of the Pagoda, and that in future the whole of the allowance sanctioned by Government for this Temple may be paid to them without any reserve.

2. The Government have sanctioned* Rupees 640-10-4 per annum on account of this Pagoda for performing daily, monthly, and yearly ceremonies, and this allowance was regularly paid in full up to Fasli 1226. In the following year, certain re-arrangements for turning the Dévastánam fund to the best advantage having been made, it was resolved by the then authorities to reserve Rupees 134-9 every year out of the above sum, for repairing the Pagoda, &c., as this Pagoda has no separate Tripany Funds, and to pay the difference or Rupees 506-1-4 for the performance of the periodical ceremonies.

3. The money thus reserved amounts to Rupees 5,920-12 from Faslis 1227 to 1270, and deducting therefrom Rupees 1,003-9-6 spent at different times for repairing the Temple as per margin, there is a balance in hand of Rupees 4,917-2-6.

4. The Petitioners applied for the same to the Board of Revenue in Petition No. 418 of 1860, and the Board having referred them to the Collector, they presented a Petition on the subject at the Huzúr, but seeing that a lengthened period had elapsed between the date of last payment and the date of application for the money in hand, the Collector declined payment without special authority, and passed an Endorsement to that effect on the 30th of September 1860.

5. As the Petitioners claim what was granted to the Pagoda by Government, there seems to be no objection to giving them the arrears in hand as well as to the payment in future of the whole amount sanctioned by Government annually.

6. The deduction on account of Tripany having been made from the ready money allowance, or Tasdeek money granted to the Pagoda as above stated, the surplus amount now claimed by the Trustees, viz., Rupees 4,917-2-6 is not held in deposit or credited to the District Tripany Fund. If sanctioned by Government to be refunded to the Pagoda Trustees, it will have to be paid from the general revenue of the District.

7. Similar demands were made by the Trustees of the Pagodas in the villages of Agaram and Nanalkadoo in the Vidugramam Division. These cases were brought to the notice of the Board in the Collector's reports of the 7th January 1859, No. 11, and 22nd December 1860, No. 515, and the money in hand was ordered to be disbursed to them by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 10th March 1859, and in their Proceedings, dated 9th March 1861.

8. No money appears to have been spent on account of this Pagoda from the General Tripany Fund.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council, with the Board's recommendation that the Collector be authorized to pay the sum of Rupees 4,917-2-6 to the Temple Trustees on their executing such a full discharge as shall clear Government from any future demands on this account or in case of misappropriation, and that the full allowance of Rupees 640-10-4 be permitted to be disbursed pending its commutation for land.

2. The case seems to be a precisely similar one to those disposed of in the Order of Government under date 10th March 1859, No. 293, and 9th March 1861, No. 546.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL, *Sub-Secretary.*

Fasli	RS.	A.	P.
1235.....	29	7	6
1236.....	814	2	0
1247.....	160	0	0
	1,003	9	6

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th November 1861.*

No. 76. ORDER THEREON, 7th November 1861, No. 2,123.

1. Sanction is granted for the disbursement of Rupees 4,917-2-6 for the purpose, and with the precautions specified.

2. The full allowance will henceforward be paid to the Trustees. Referring, however, to the present, as well as to the two cases referred to in paragraph 2 of the foregoing Proceedings, the Government wish to know what progress has been made in commuting the allowances detailed in the list alluded to in Extract Minutes Consultation, 21st February 1846, No. 228 for land. It is desirable that no unnecessary delay should occur.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 5,319.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd October 1861.

Read the following Endorsement on Board Petition No. 3,040 of 1861 by the Collector of Tinnevely, dated Tenkasy, 24th June, 1861 No. 272:—

1. The Petitioners are Trustees of the Pagoda at Moorapanad in the Vidoogramum Division. They pray that the surplus Devastanum money now in deposit may be disbursed to them for repair of the Pagoda, and that in future the whole of the allowance sanctioned by Government for this Temple may be paid to them without any reserve.

2. The Government have sanctioned* Rupees 640-10-4 per annum on account of this Pagoda for performing daily, monthly, and yearly ceremonies, and this allowance was regularly paid in full up to Fasli 1226. In the following year, certain re-arrangements for turning the Devastanum fund to the best advantage having been made, it was resolved by the then authorities to reserve Rupees 134-9 every year out of the above sum, for repairing the Pagoda, &c., as this Pagoda has no separate Tripany Funds, and to pay the difference or Rupees 506-1-4 for the performance of the periodical ceremonies.

3. The money thus reserved amounts to Rupees 5,920-12 from Fasli 1227 to 1270, and deducting therefrom Rupees 1,003-9-6 spent at different times for repairing the Temple as per margin, there is a balance in hand of Rupees 4,917-2-6.

4. The Petitioners applied for the same to the Board of Revenue in Petition No. 418 of 1860, and the Board having referred them to the Collector, they presented a Petition on the subject at the Huzur, but seeing that a lengthened period had elapsed between the date of last payment and the date of application for the money in hand, the Collector declined payment without special authority, and passed an Endorsement to that effect on the 30th of September 1860.

5. As the Petitioners claim what was granted to the Pagoda by Government, there seems to be no objection to giving them the arrears in hand as well as to the payment in future of the whole amount sanctioned by Government annually.

6. The deduction on account of Tripany having been made from the ready money allowance, or Tasdeek money granted to the Pagoda as above stated, the surplus amount now claimed by the Trustees, viz., Rupees 4,917-2-6 is not held in deposit or credited to the District Tripany Fund. If sanctioned by Government to be refunded to the Pagoda Trustees, it will have to be paid from the general revenue of the District.

7. Similar demands were made by the Trustees of the Pagodas in the villages of Agaram and Nanalkadoo in the Vidugramam Division. These cases were brought to the notice of the Board in the Collector's reports of the 7th January 1859, No. 11, and 22nd December 1860, No. 515, and the money in hand was ordered to be disbursed to them by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 10th March 1859, and in their Proceedings dated 9th March 1861.

8. No money appears to have been spent on account of this Pagoda from the General Tripany Fund.

1. Ordered to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Governor in Council, with the Board's recommendation that the Collector be authorized to pay the sum of Rupees 4,917-2-6 to the Temple Trustees on their executing such a full discharge as shall clear Government from any future demands on this account or in case of misappropriation, and that the full allowance of Rupees 640-10-4 be permitted to be disbursed pending its commutation for land.

2. The case seems to be a precisely similar one to those disposed of in the Order of Government, under date 10th March 1859, No. 293, and 9th March 1861, No. 546.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. H. Gell

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. Silver, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely.

Exd. J. Wright.

2 The full allowance will
hereforward be paid to the
Landtax. Referring however, to the
precedent, as well as to the herewith
referred to in June 2 of the foregoing
proceedings, the Government intends to
know what progress has been made
in committing the allowances
detected in the list attached to
the Contract of 11th Dec. 1844.
21st February 1846. A. J. 228 Age 1000.
It is desirable that some necessary
delay should occur.