M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1914 — Press / ~~Mis.~~

44 - 45

Dated 2 - 10 - 1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 2nd October 1861.

No. 44. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th September 1861, No. 5,185:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 10th September 1861, No. 316.

(In Cons. 12th September 1861, No. 5,845.)

In Cons. 9th March 1860, No. 1,085.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with reference to their Proceedings, dated 8th March 1860, No. 363, Revenue Department, sanctioning the defence of the Suit.

2. The Board see no sufficient reason to recommend an appeal, and consequently request sanction for the payment of the costs, amounting to Rupees 14-15-0.

3. The Suit has been badly conducted on the part of the defence, inasmuch as the documentary evidence produced in support of certain points was not the best evidence procurable, being the recorded depositions of parties whose personal attendance could have been secured, and the documentary evidence was therefore rejected by the Court.

4. The Board, however, are not disposed to contest the equity of the Court's decision, as they have from the first been of opinion that the site in question, should not have been given to another party, until the Plaintiff had been offered an opportunity of re-occupying it, and they, therefore, recommend acquiescence in the decree.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

No. 45. ORDER THEREON, 2nd October 1861, No. 1,914.

1. The Government agree with the Board that an appeal should not be preferred in this case.

2. They conclude that the land is liable to assessment and this should be imposed accordingly, and levied if necessary by distraint and sale.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

8th March 1860 No. 75-76

No. 1914-

The Govt agree with the Bd that an appeal should not be preferred in this case.—

They conclude that the land is liable to assessment and this should be imposed accordingly - and levied if necessary by distraint & sale

For Sec. Rev
2-Oct/61.

C.R. 28th Sep. R 2nd Oct
Copy to Bd of Rev. Dispt 2nd Oct

Rev 1861 Depart.
Proc. of Govt for October
No. 2295-

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

2083

Dated 25.
Desp. 25 } September 1861
Rec. 26 }
E. I. write. 20th Oct.
Encl 3 not received in the Record Office.
5185.

From Bd of Revenue / C. Trevor / 61
No. 2683 transmitting the explanatory letter of the Collector of Madura relative to the suit filed in the Principal Sudr Amin's Court at Chingleput by Subramanyan Mudali against the Collector & others for the recovery of certain land &c., and recommending for reasons stated acquiescence in the decree passed by the Court, and the payment of the costs amounting to Rs. 14-15-0.

No. 1914 - 2 Oct.

For orders.- It seems true that the Bd's view is correct and that the matter had better drop.- The Collr's course, when Govt admitted a right to the land, was to endeavour it & if he failed to pay, to attach & sell it for the first demand.- The land can still be assessed I conclude & this should be done.

J. B. L.