

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1276

Press
Mis.

298-299

Dated

28/6 - 18/61

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th June 1861.*

No. 298. Read the following letter from R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Sub-Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Chepauk, 30th May 1861, No. 2,745:—

SIR,

1. In submitting for the consideration and orders of Government the accompanying Estimate of charges on account of additional Establishments in the Kistna District for Fasli 1270, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that it was not included in their general Estimate sanctioned by Government on the 15th March last,* No. 607, Revenue Department, as no information had then been received regarding the proposed charge on account of Tahsildárs and Deputy Tahsildárs, which the Board were directed to explain in Government Order, No. 107, dated 16th January 1861. The Collector has since reported in the letters† herewith forwarded that this charge is made up of sums ranging from 5 to 50 Rupees which are additions made (but not yet permanently sanctioned) to the salaries of these Officers, consequent upon the increase of their work caused by the annexation of Estates by Government at different times.

2. The Estimate now submitted amounts to Rupees 34,901-1 and is less, by Rupees 110 than that of the preceding Fasli (1269), the entire decrease being apparent in the charge for Jamabándi Gumáshtas, and which amounting to Rupees 360 has been already sanctioned in the last mentioned Government Order.

3. Deducting from the Estimate the above amount of Rupees 360 and another item of Rupees 128, being the cost of attaching four Peons to the Gumáshtas employed in measuring the Inám and Zamíndári lands actually receiving water from the Anicut channels, which was disallowed by Government for Fasli 1269,‡ the total amount now recommended by the Board for sanction is Rupees 34,413-1.

4. The above sum includes a charge of Rupees 1,284 as shown in the margin§ for extra Peons in the Salt Department. The Collector, however, expects that of the 20 men proposed to be employed for six months at the Pondraka Kotar it will be sufficient if 10 are employed for four months, and the charge on this account be thus reduced from Rupees 480 to Rupees 160. Two Daffadars and 11 Peons from

the Huzúrand Táluq fixed Establishments have been deputed to destroy the spontaneous Salt in the swamps at Inagudur, &c., and the Collector hopes that this agency will prove sufficient, but as he, at the same time, entertains apprehensions for the security of the revenue in consequence of the large extent of the swamps all along the coast, and the facility with which the Salt could be appropriated from them by the neighbouring villagers, if not properly watched, the Board would not reduce the Estimate, but leave it to the Collector to employ as many of the extra servants proposed as may be absolutely necessary.

From G. THORNIHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, dated Masulipatam, 18th March 1861, No. 216.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd ultimo, No. 992, calling for further information about the extra charges, and in reply beg to state that certain sums were added at different times to the original salaries of the Tahsildárs, on their duties being increased by the annexation of estates, purchased by Government, to their respective

<i>Pondraka Kotar.</i>			
During time of manufacture.			
20 Peons, at 4 Rupees each for 6 months,	Rupees 480.		
<i>Inagudur, &c., Swamps.</i>			
For destroying spontaneous salt.			
1 Daffadar, at 8 Rupees	} each for 6 months, ,,	804	
6 Peons, ,, 6 ,,			
18 do. ,, 5 ,,			
		\$ 1,284	
From Collector, No. 73, dated 28th March, in Cons.			
6th April 1861, No. 2,126.			

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th June 1861.*

Táluqs. These sums as well as the salaries of additional servants entertained on the same account are entered in the annual estimate of extra charges, although they now form part of the regular establishment. On reference to the records of this Office, it appears that in order to obtain permanent sanction for the establishment in question, revised Moyenzabitahs* were sent to the Board by former Collectors, but that no final orders were ever passed upon the subject.

* To Commissioner N. C., 25th June 1850, No. 30.
To do. 26th February 1856, No. 21.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd February 1861, No. 992.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Masulipatam, 2nd February 1861, No. 85.

SIR,

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Order of Government, dated 16th ultimo, No. 107, conveyed in the Board's Proceedings, calling for explanation on salaries of Tahsildárs, I have the honor to forward a Statement showing distinctly the amount paid to each Tahsildár as per permanent sanction, and that included in the Estimate of extra charges annually sanctioned. The salaries of Tahsildárs ranging from 5 to 50 Rupees are the sums paid and charged under the head of "extra charges," and not the whole amount of their respective salaries. The number of Tahsildárs shown in the Estimate is 6 and not 8 as supposed by Government.

Dated 10th December 1860.

The Collector will explain the reason and object of this anomalous arrangement.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Secretary.

From G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, dated Masulipatam, 28th March 1861, No. 73.

Adverting to the estimate of charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional establishments in this District, forwarded to the Board under date the 4th August 1860, I have the honor to inform you that I have employed on the 21st instant, 10 out of the 20 extra Peons entered under the head "Salt" for the Salt Cotaur of Pondraka, headed by a Daffadar for the purpose of taking care of the spontaneous Salt which is now being gathered and preventing smuggling. These Peons will be required up to the end of June, so that the whole charge for the extra establishment of this year in Pondraka Cotaur will be Rupees 160, which is short of this year's estimate, as well as of the actual charges in this Cotaur in the last three years† as shown in the margin.

	Rupees.
† Fasli 1267.....	125
" 1268.....	1,121
" 1269.....	598

9. I have deputed 2 Daffadars and 3 Peons from the Iluzúr Establishment, and 8 Peons, from the Táluqs, to watch the swamps of Inugudur, Manganapudi and Cona, and to destroy the spontaneous Salt not fit for gathering, and hope by this means to avoid the necessity of appointing extra Peons for that duty. As the usual extra establishment for collecting the revenue in the late Masulipatam District has not been appointed this year, I could not draw more men from the Táluqs to assist in the Salt Department. I have, however, been obliged to assist the Salt Establishments at Nizampatam and Chinna Ganjam with Táluq Peons from the Guntoor side.

3. I beg to assure the Board that the establishment for watching the Salt during the producing season has been kept down to the very lowest point consistent with safety to the revenue. The swamps all along the coast are very extensive, and wherever water has lodged Salt is sure to be produced and unless speedily destroyed, it is certain to be carried off by the neighbouring villagers. I do not know if the new Police, when organized, will be able to meet all the requirements of this service; but I am sure that the present Police Establishment is not equal to it, without some special preventive servants, and the small number now employed will, I hear, be found hardly sufficient to prevent smuggling.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
28th June 1861.*

4. I beg also to remind you of my letter, dated 5th November last, No. 252. Sanction* was given for the extra Gumáshtas for assisting the preparation of the Jamabándí accounts, but not for the Gumáshtas for surveying the lands irrigated by the Kistna channels in the Zamindáris of Nuzid and Devarakota. I have, therefore, the honor to request that you will have the goodness to obtain sanction for them, as well as for the Peons now employed.

No. 299. ORDER THEREON, 28th June 1861, No. 1,276.

1. The Board of Revenue forward for sanction an estimate of charges on account of additional establishments in the Kistna District for Fasli 1270.

2. No orders seem requisite on this estimate, as the Fasli to which it relates will terminate at the end of the current month. It appears to the Government that the charges on account of establishments actually entertained, only need be sanctioned. These the Board will ascertain and report, distinguishing the charges incurred up to the end of April 1861 from those incurred during the months of May and June following; and they will state at the same time with reference to the orders of the Government of India noted in the margin,† what provision was made in the Financial Budget of the current official year for the charges of the two months last mentioned.

† 8th May 1861, published in the Fort Saint George Gazette of 28th May 1861, page 739.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

IN CONS. 6TH APRIL 1861, No. 2,126.

From G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the KISTNA DISTRICT,
dated Masulipatam, 28th March 1861, No. 73.

Adverting to the estimate of charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional establishments in this district, forwarded to the Board under date the 4th August 1860, I have the honor to inform you that I have employed on the 21st instant, 10 out of the 20 extra peons entered under the head "Salt" for the salt Cotaur of Pondraka, headed by a duffadar for the purpose of taking care of the spontaneous salt which is now being gathered and preventing smuggling. These peons will be required up to the end of June, so that the whole charge for the extra establishment of this year in Pondraka Cotaur will be Rupees 160 which is

* Fasli 1267.....	Rupees	125	short of this year's estimate, as well as of the actual charges
" 1268.....	"	1,121	in this Cotaur in the last three years* as shown in the margin.
" 1269.....	"	598	

9. I have deputed 2 duffadars and 3 peons from the Huzur establishment, and 8 peons from the taluqs, to watch the swamps of Inugudur, Manganapudi and Cona, and to destroy the spontaneous salt not fit for gathering, and hope by this means to avoid the necessity of appointing extra peons for that duty. As the usual extra establishment for collecting the revenue in the late Masulipatam district has not been appointed this year, I could not draw more men from the taluqs to assist in the salt department. I have, however, been obliged to assist the salt establishments at Nizampatam and Chinna Ganjam with taluq peons from the Guntoor side.

3. I beg to assure the Board that the establishment for watching the salt during the producing season has been kept down to the very lowest point consistent with safety to the revenue. The swamps all along the coast are very extensive, and wherever water has lodged salt is sure to be produced and unless speedily destroyed, it is certain to be carried off by the neighbouring villages. I do not know if the new police, when organized, will be able to meet all the requirements of this service; but I am sure that the present police establishment is not equal to it, without some special preventive servants, and the small number now employed will, I hear, be found hardly sufficient to prevent smuggling.

4. I beg also to remind you of my letter, dated 5th November last, No. 252. Sanction* was given for the extra Gumastahs for assisting the preparation of the Jamabandi accounts, but not for the Gumastahs for surveying the lands irrigated by the Kistna channels in the Zemindaries of Nuzid and Devarakota. I have, therefore, the honor to request that you will have the goodness to obtain sanction for them, as well as for the peons now employed.

* Board, dated 22nd January 1861, No. 387.
Government, 16th do. " " 107.

In Cons. 22ND MARCH 1861, No. 1,837.

From G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the KISTNA DISTRICT,
dated Masulipatam, 18th March 1861, No. 216.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd ultimo, No. 992, calling for further information about the extra charges, and in reply beg to state that certain sums were added at different times to the original salaries of the Tahsildars, on their duties being increased by the annexation of estates, purchased by Government, to their respective taluqs. These sums as well as the salaries of additional servants entertained on the same account are entered

* To Commissioner N. C., 25th June 1850, No. 30.
To do, 26th February 1856, No. 21.

in the annual estimate of extra charges, although they now form part of the regular establishment. On reference to the records of this office, it appears that in order to obtain permanent sanction for the establishment in question, revised Moyenzabitahs* were sent to the Board by former Collectors, but that no final orders were ever passed upon the subject.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Enclosure of 4 in a
letter from the said Secretary
to the Board of Revenue
the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department dated
30th May 1861. No. 2745.

1345/1

Letter from the
Acting Collector of the District
to the Secretary to the Board
dated 20th March 1861 No. 17.
By the Secretary to the Board
on account of 1850 & 1851.

1276. *Proceedings of the Madras Government*
under date the 28th June/01.

Note. The reason why the "additional" establishing letter from the member in this district is so very large is that the large portion of it is really regular & permanent, though annually sanctioned, in expectation of further revision - Mr. Pelly's scale will set all this right.

J. D. J.

Read the following letter from the Sub-Secretary to the Board of Revenue -
 (Here enter 30 May/01. 27/01.)
 The Board of Revenue forward for sanction an estimate of charges on account of additional establishments in the district for June 1870.

2. No order is now requisite on this estimate as the list to which it relates will terminate at the end of the current month. It appears to the Govt. that the charges on account of establishments

actually

~~MD~~
 Vth

Enclosure - 1/3 or 4
 Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Government Revenue Department dated 30 May 1861/1874.5

En 134 of 01

Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 18 March 1861/1874.5. Page the estimate of charges on account of 1861/1874.5.

6
actually entertained, & need be sanctioned. These the Board will ascertain and report, distinguishing the charges incurred up to the end of April 1867 from those incurred during the months of May and June following; and they will state at the same time with reference to the order of the Govt of India noted in the margin ~~whether~~ any and what provision was made in the Financial Budget of the current official year for the charges of the two months last mentioned.

J. D. S.

* 8 May 1867 published in the Fort St Geo Gazette of 28 May 1867 page 739.

14 Copies.

B^d of Rev. with 2 notes. Dist. 24

①
 Estimate of add. H. Rel.
 Ashments in Netra for
 Fali 1270.

1908+299.

Revenue 1861 Departs
 H. of Govt for June.

191276.

Q. of the Madras Govt
 29287 June 1861.

Proportions of No. 471 21 June 1861.
 C. 21 1861 in No. 1.

Pro. from 207 200 1861.
 C. 21 1861 in No. 1.

213-14

15-5-14

280-1

15-5-14

Large orders in the
 letter from the Board of
 Revenue submitting an
 estimate of charges on
 accounts of additional
 establishments in the
 Madras District for Fali
 1270.