

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1000	<u>Press</u>
AS. 46	~~Mis.~~
Dated 6. 5. 1861.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th May 1861.*

No. 45. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th April 1861, No. 2,140:—

Read the following letter from J. W. REID, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Masulipatam, 8th April 1861, No. 80.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to state that Rajah Kocherlacota Lakshmidavamah, ex-Zamindarnee of Vassantavada Mootah, lately transferred to Godavery District, has submitted an Arzi, under date the 8th August 1860, representing that the said Mootah was sold for arrears of revenue, and a sum of Rupees 500 and odd was then held in deposit, the particulars of which are as follows, Rupees 400 and odd being the balance of the sale proceeds after the entire demand was satisfied, and Rupees 106 and odd collected from the Ryots of the Mootah on account of arrears due to her when the Mootah was in her hands; that in the year 1857 the said sum of Rupees 400 and odd only was refunded to her by the then Collector; and she prays that the remaining sum of Rupees 106 still in deposit may be paid to her.

2. From the records of this Office, it appears that a sum of Rupees 106-14-3, as particularized in the margin, has been held in deposit on account of the ex-Zamindarnee, though there are no outstanding balances against her.

3. I request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Board for the payment of this sum of Rupees 106-14-3 held in deposit to the ex-Zamindarnee as requested by her.

1. The Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District reports that when the Vassantavada Mootah was sold for arrears of revenue it realized more than the arrear and the surplus was duly paid to the owner, Rajah Kocherlacota Lakshmidavamah, but a sum of Rupees 106-14-3 which had been collected from her Ryots on account of arrears due by them to her and which was then in deposit, was not paid to her, as it ought to have been.

2. As the Sub-Collector reports that this sum was actually collected and is still in the Collectorate Treasury, the Board request the sanction of Government for its being now paid to the ex-Zamindarnee.

3. The account of Government with the Estate should have been closed immediately after the sale, and if the District accounts had been properly examined by the local Officers, the existence of this in deposit for four years would not have escaped notice.

4. If there are any other similar items unsettled they should be brought forward for orders at once.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Secretary.

No. 46. ORDER THEREON, 6th May 1861, No. 1,000.

The refund is sanctioned.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

No. 2,140.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th April 1861.

Read the following letter from J. W. REID, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated Masulipatam, 8th April 1861, No. 80:—

1. I have the honor to state that Rajah Kocherlacota Lakshmidavamah, ex-Zemindarnee of Vassantavada Mootah, lately transferred to Godavari District, has submitted an Arzi, under date the 18th August 1860, representing that the said Mootah was sold for arrears of revenue, and a sum of Rupees 500 and odd was then held in deposit, the particulars of which are as follows, Rupees 400 and odd being the balance of the sale proceeds after the entire demand was satisfied, and Rupees 106 and odd collected from the Ryots of the Mootah on account of arrears due to her when the Mootah was in her hands; that in the year 1857 the said sum of Rupees 400 and odd only was refunded to her by the then Collector; and she prays that the remaining sum of Rupees 106 still in deposit may be paid to her.

	Rs.	A.	P.	
For Fasli 1251...	3	7	10	
" 1252...	6	15	6	
	10	7	4	
For Fasli 1252 as per Jamabandi accounts of Fasli 1253.	96	6	11	
	106	14	3	

2. From the records of this office, it appears that a sum of Rupees 106-14-3, as particularized in the margin, has been held in deposit on account of the ex-Zemindarnee, though there are no outstanding balances against her.

3. I request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Board for the payment of this sum of Rupees 106-14-3 held in deposit to the ex-Zemindarnee as requested by her.

1. The Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District reports that when the Vassantavada Mootah was sold for arrears of revenue it realized more than the arrear and the surplus was duly paid to the owner, Rajah Kocherlacota Lakshmidavamah, but a sum of Rupees 106-14-3 which had been collected from her Ryots on account of arrears due by them to her and which was then in deposit, was not paid to her, as it ought to have been.

2. As the Sub-Collector reports that this sum was actually collected and is still in the Collectorate Treasury, the Board request the sanction of Government for its being now paid to the ex-Zemindarnee.

3. The account of Government with the Estate should have been closed immediately after the sale, and if the District accounts had been properly examined by the local Officers, the existence of this in deposit for four years would not have escaped notice.

4. If there are any other similar items unsettled they should be brought forward for orders at once.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. D. Sim
Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. W. Reid, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Kistna District.
" to A. Purvis, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. J. Wright.

