M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 988 Press ~~Mis.~~

167-168

Dated 14—6—1860..

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 14th June 1860.

No. 167. Read the following letter from E. F. HARRISON, Esq., Civil Auditor, to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 28th May 1860, No. 522 :—

1. In acknowledging the receipt of the Orders of Government of the 11th instant, No. 755, Revenue Department, referring for my report Mr. Carr's claim to Deputation allowance for the period he was in charge of the Sub-Collectorate of Coimbatore, I have the honor to state that Mr. Carr's statement that claims similar to his own have been admitted heretofore by the Civil Auditor is correct.

2. The practice appears to have arisen from a misapprehension of the practice in Bengal as explained in a letter from the Financial Secretary to the Government of India, No 794, dated 31st May 1844.

3. The passage to which refer is as follows "regard being had to Section XXI "of the amended Absentee Rules, no distinction "is made in Bengal, so far as authorized "Deputation allowances are concerned between an Officer designated as officiating in an appoint- "ment not his own, and an Officer in charge of such an appointment only."

*Note.—Section XXVII of the present Code, providiug for the performance of duty by a Subordinate for one month without Deputation allowance.

4. The allusion in the above extract was clearly to the practice of the Bengal Government of directing a Collector or Magistrate when deputing him to officiate in another appointment to make over charge of his office to his Assistant, such an Order would, if the Assistant remained in charge for more than one month, entitle him to draw Deputation allowance, although not designated as Officiating Collector or Magistrate ; but I have no reason to think that in Bengal Deputation allowance has ever been admitted, unless the Officer claiming it has been placed in charge of Office by the Orders of Government.

5. I cannot recommend that any different course should be allowed to obtain in this Presidency.

No. 168. Order thereon, 14th June 1860, No. 988.

1. A copy of this letter will be transmitted to the Collector of Coimbatore in answer to his letter of the 13th April, and for communication to Mr. Carr. The Government are unable to modify their former decision.

2. It appears desirable that the Rule of the Civil Auditor's Manual which has caused the misunderstanding should be modified or cancelled and Mr. Harrison will be requested to advise the Govrnment on the subject.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

R. Pro. 28 May /60 Ba
J. C. -

Rev. 1860 Dept.
Pro. of Govt for June
From, 1118
The Civil Auditor
To.
The Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Dept.
Dated 28th May /60
Received 29
No. 522.

No. 988 - 14th June

Reporting with
reference to the order
of Govt of the 11th Instant
No. 755 Rev. Dept. and
Mr Carr's claim to deputation
allowance for the period
therein mentioned during the
Collectorate of Coimbatore

With an order

From the Civil Auditor dated 25th
June /60 C. No. 1298 in 13 July /60
No. 150 & 151
From Mr. T. L. Carr
19th September C. No. 2069

No. 167 & 168.

21 June 1860

No. 988.

A copy of this letter will be transmitted to the Collector of Coimbatore in answer to his letter of the 13 April, for communication to Mr Carr. The Govt are unable to modify their former decision -

2. It appears desirable that the Rule of the Civil Auditor's Manual which has caused the misunderstanding should be modified or cancelled and Mr Harrison will be requested to advise the Govt on the subject -

By order of the Govt
[illegible]

3 Copies
Civil Auditor 14th June /60
1 to Collr of Coimbatore
11 to 18 Pass for information