M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

| | |
|---|---|
| G.O. No. 930 | Press ~~Mis.~~ |
| 53-57 | |
| Dated 5-6-1860. | |

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

No. 53. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th April 1860, No. 2,090:—

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George; dated Vallum, 12th April 1860, No. 105:—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Government, and of the Board marginally noted, regarding the propriety of renting the village of Nallatadi to Venkatanáráyana Pillai, I have the honor to state that since the village was resumed in Faslis 1266 and 1857, every endeavor has been made to rent the village to the individual in question, and in my opinion, there can be no doubt as to the propriety of this course.

*Government Proceedings*, 11*th February* 1860, *No.* 221, *in Board's Proceedings*, 14*th February*, *No.* 811.

2. The difficulty, however, is regarding the terms on which the village is to be rented. The terms offered were by myself as Sub-Collector, viz., the average of the four surrounding villages, or at the rate of 161-8 Kalams per véli; these terms were, however, refused by T. Venkatanáráyana Pillai, and the village has been accordingly harvested under Amáni, since it was resumed as Rokka Guttagai. The outturn during these years has been very short, only at the rate of 95 Kalams per véli, but it is admitted by T. Venkatanáráyana Pillai that the average outturn of the village for 20 years when under the late proprietor's management was 138 and 130 Kalams. There is certainly no reason to believe that these returns (and which it will be observed are the Mirásdár's own) are under stated, and hence there can be but little doubt that during the past three years the Petitioner has been purposely neglecting the cultivation of the village, with a view to obtain it at very low rate, and in fact at a rent not much short of the terms on which it was originally granted to his ancestors as Rokka Guttagai.

3. While, therefore, concurring in the propriety of renting the village to the Petitioner T. Venkatanáráyana Pillai, I would beg to recommend that its settlement should be left to Ráma Aiyengár, my Deputy Collector, and on the same principles as have been adopted on the conversion of the Olungu into a Motta-faisal Settlement.

4. I beg to append a Statement shewing, to the Mirásdár's own account, the actual outturn of the village during 20 years when under his management, also the outturn under Amáni, and the average assumed produce of the surrounding villages.

---

*In Con.*, 13*th February* 1860. Resolved, that the above letter be submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 11th February last, Revenue Department, No. 221.

2. The Collector reports that the only obstacle to the renting of the village to T. Venkatanáráyana Pillai, is the settlement of the sum to be paid as rent. The Board think the plan proposed by the Collector, viz., to leave this question to Ráma Aiyengár, the Deputy Collector, worthy of adoption.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

---

No. 54. ORDER THEREON, 5th June 1860, No. 930.

1. The Government approve the Collector's proposal, viz. that the village should be settled by Ráma Aiyengár under the orders of the Collector, on the same principles as have been approved in the case of the Olungu villages generally. It appears to be the meaning of the Collector that Venkatanáráyana Pillai should have the option of taking the village on permanent rent on the terms so settled. No objection to this course is apparent, provided that it does not interfere with any other rights; but the Government must observe that the Petitioner cannot be recognized as possessing any interest in the village, of the nature of inám. It has already been decided that the inám tenure of the late Annáswámi Pillai ceased on the death of his widow.

2. The Board will communicate the purport of the foregoing paragraph to the Petitioner Venkatanáráyana Pillai.

3. The Board will point out to the Collector that native words are needlessly introduced into his letter.

4. The Government must also notice that the Board's Proceedings are very badly printed. This will be pointed out to the Superintendent of the Press who will duly admonish the Examiner, Mr. Wright.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
*Secretary to Government.*

Memo.

- I have read again the Court Despatch - I am willing to accord saying anything about a possible return to the Oolongoo - But as that Despatch argues against the Hostile facial arrangement (on good grounds in my opinion) would it not be better to ask if the Admty is ready to take the Village on a Lease &c?

Yrs

28 May.

Lord Elgin

Revenue Depart.t

No. 930.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 5th June 1860.

Read the following

Proceedings of the Board of

Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Coll.r of Tanjore furnishing the information required by Govt. on the subject of renting the village of Valiyalādi to Venkatānārayana Pillai with the Board's opinion that the plan proposed by the Coll.r viz.: to lease this question to Rāmayangār the D.y Coll.r - is worthy of adoption.

Rāma Aiyengār

(More order 30th April 1860 No. 2090)

The Government approve the Collector's proposal. viz. that the village should be settled by Rāmayangār under the orders of the Collector, on the same principles as have been approved in the case of the Ullingu villages generally. It appears to be the meaning of the Collector that Venkatanārāyana Pillai should have

have the option of taking the village on permanent rent on the terms so settled. No objection to this course is apparent, provided that it does not interfere with any other rights; but the Government must observe that the Petitioner cannot be recognized as possessing any interest in the village, of the nature of inám. It has already been decided that the inám tenure of the late Annásawmy Pillai ceased on the death of his widow.

2. The Board will communicate the purport of the foregoing paragraph to the Petitioner Venkatanárayana Pillai.

3. The Board will point out to the Coll.r that native words are needlessly introduced into his letter.

4. The Government must also notice that the Board's Proceedings are very badly printed. This will be pointed out to the Supt.t of the Press who will duly admonish the examiner, Mr. Wright.

(7)

Annáswam

11 Copies. Board of Revenue. with Encls Dis.p.c. 6.
1 " Supt.t of the Fort St. Geo: Gazette Press.

20th July 1860. No. 16-17-

Revenue 1860 Sept.
Pro: of Govt. for June
No 930.

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dy 5th June 1860.
From B 7/17th June/60
No 1448.

Approving the Proposal
of the Collector of Tanjore
that the Settlement of the
Village of Vallatadi should
be left to Ramiengar Dy
Collector.

No 53 & 54-