M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 921 Press Mis.

38-39

Dated 4-6- 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 4th June 1860.

No. 38. Read the following remarks by the Inám Commissioner on Government Petition No. 1,039 of 1860.

From V. Rámachendrudu an inhabitant of Idapally a Suburb of Masulipatam, Krishna District.

Under the circumstances stated, prays to grant him an endorsement, authorizing the Courts of Adalat to entertain Petitioner's suit regarding his Ináms. (Here enter 22nd March 1860.)

Endorsement No. 11.

The Inám claimed by the Petitioner has been confirmed to the present incumbent Gobita Venkatu Sinnudu, a direct lineal descendant of the original grantee who is the acknowledged owner according to the public accounts.

The Petitioner who is a descendant in the female line, is at liberty to establish his claim in the ordinary Courts.

(Signed) G. N. TAYLOR,
Inám Commissioner.

No. 39. ORDER THEREON, 4th June 1860, No. 921.

1. To enable the Government to pass an Order on this Petition under Regulation IV of 1831 it is requisite that information should be furnished by the Inám Commissioner regarding the nature, extent, and position, of the Inám in dispute : also how it was confirmed to the present possessor, and what is the basis of Petitioner's claim.

2. It will be pointed out to Mr. Taylor that his endorsement on the Petition is undated.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

No 1039 of 1860.

The humble Memorial of
Vannici Ránachendrudu
an inhabitant of Idupally
Jaheer of Masulipatam
Kristna District.

Most Humbly Sheweth,

That your Petitioners ma
nalgrand father Subbiti Ankubhottu, hav
had no sons, he constituted Petitioner his lawf
heir under Hindu Law, putting him in po
session of his personal and real properti
including his Inam lands, in the year
together with their title deeds & the deed of
acquittance which he had obtained from his
youngest brother Subbayya. That Petitioner
maintained his maternal grandfather
and grandmother till their death, and also le
gin debts a debt which they had contrac
and built a house upon the ground which
Petitioner has inherited from them laying
his self acquired property.

That Petitioners maternal grandfat
brothers grand daughter having been mar
to Petitioner, her brothers were placed under
Petitioners guardianship during their
minori

minority & after they came of age, they fraudulently asserted their claim to Petitioner's house and ground &c. during his absence and went and got themselves Registered in the Assistant Inám Commissioner Office as the holders of the Ináms which Petitioner has inherited from his grandfather though they have admitted my claim to the Ináms in a suit brought in the Sudr Ameen Court at this station as appears from the enclosed Translation of Plt. of their answer. But as soon as Petitioner heard about it, he came and represented to the Assistant Inám Commissioner who in a perusal of the Hon'ble &c in Petitioner's proposition and in a consideration of a present enjoyment of the Ináms in question being fully convinced of Petitioner's right and title thereto furnished Petitioner with an endorsement referring him to the Courts &c for proving his inability to snatch the Pattas which he has granted in favor of Venkatasimmudu Petitioner's opponent & brother in law. That under the provision of the order of Government dated 2d September 1859 a suit (besides one for other real property) was instituted by Petitioner in the District Moonsiff Court of Masulipatam for his Inám lands after undergoing an expense of 8 Rupees for stamp duty. But to the greatest misfortune of Petitioner, the Zilla Court of Masulipatam issued a Precept to Moonsiff not to entertain any suits regarding Inám lands so that your Petitioners suit has all the probabilities of being dismissed.

Having no other course left to find redress your Petitioner most respectfully and humbly prays that after perusing the enclosed copies of endorsement &c your honor will be pleased to issue a certificate to him authorizing the Courts of Adalut to entertain Petitioners suit regarding his Ináms &c to protect his legal right against the fraudulent encroachments of his opponents.

Masulipatam } Your most obedt of Humble
22d March 1860 } & Justice Petitioner shall ever Pray

Endorsement

From the documents presented by Petitioner, the property of his maternal grand father seems to have been in his enjoyment. It might be true. As Pattas have already been granted by G. Venkatasimmudu and others for the Inám lands in question they cannot be reinvestigated and if Petitioner feels himself aggrieved, he is informed that he can recover by instituting a claim according to the established Rules.

Masulipatam } Sd/ D. Marcar
Spl. Apt. Inám Factory } Special Assistant
2d November 859.

To

The District Moonsiffs Court of

Ramachendrapatam

Answer presented by Gabitta Venkatasimmudoo Antayya 1st & 2nd Defendants in original Suit No 119 of 1852 Sr & Ameen Courts file referred to your Court.

× × × ×

Our Donors, relatives and other Respectable men plainly know the fact of Ramachendrudu enjoying his maternal grand fathers property from his infancy and the fact of his having maintained him and his wife. The tiled house which he erected in the building etc belonging to his grand father is a strong evidence.

× × × × × ×

30th November 1852. / True Copy /

/ Sgd J. I. Minchin

Ag Civil Judge.

No 1039 of 1860

Referred for the remarks of the Inam Commissioner

Fort Saint George

8th May 1860 By order

/ Sgd C. G. Master

Dy Ley to Govt

The

No 11

Memo

The Inam claimed by the Petitioner has been confirmed to the present incumbent Gabitta Venkata Simmudu a direct lineal descendant of the original grantee who is the acknowledged owner according to the public accounts.

The Petitioner who is a descendant in the female line is at liberty to establish his claim in the ordinary Courts.

/ Sgd / G. N. Taylor

Inam Comm.

Revenue 1860. Depart.
Proc.s of Govt. for June.
No. 1039 of 1860 P. 1160.

From V. Ramachandrudu
Inhabitant of Idapally
Suburb of Masulipatam
Kristna District

Dated 22d March 60
R.d 5th June 60

With 2 enclosures

No. 38.

Under the circumstances
stated in the Petition, encloses
certain papers and prays to
grant him an endorsement,
authorizing the Courts of
Udalut to entertain Petitioners
suit regarding his inams.

Rev. Dept.

No. 921.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 4th June 1860.

Read the following re-
-marks by the Inam Commission-
-er on Government Petition No. 1039
of 1860

Here enter No. 11.

1. To enable the Government to pass an order on this Petition under Regula-
-tion IV of 1831 it is requisite that
information should be furnish-
-ed by the Inam Commission-
-er regarding the nature, extent,
and position, of the inam in
dispute: also how it was con-
-firmed to the present possessor,
and what is the basis of Pe-
-titioner's claim.

2. It will be pointed out
to Mr. Taylor that his endorse-
-ment on the Petition is un-
-dated.

4 Copies
To
The Inam Commissioner
with Nigl. Pr. & 2 Enclos
Desp. 5th

Revenue 1860 Dec[r] 4th
Pro: of Govt for Lunes.

No. 921.

Pro: of the Madras Govt

Dt 4th June 1860.

Calling for certain information respecting the Inam claimed by one V Ramachendradu of Masulipatam in the Kristna District -

No 38 & 39.