M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 79

Press
~~Mis.~~

42-43

Dated 17 — 1 — 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 42. Read the following letter from C. PELLY, Esq., Member of the Board of Revenue; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 3rd January 1860, No. 145.

I have the honor to submit the arrangements I propose for the new táluqs of the Chingleput district. There are at present 10 táluqs, all having very small areas; the largest is but 540 miles, which includes the area of the Púlicat lake. The district is about 2,800 square miles, but is well populated and has a land revenue of, on an average, about 12 lacs. The district may easily be divided into five táluqs, the number proposed in Mr. Bourdillon's memorandum.

From	Collector,	*dated* 15*th October*	1859,	*No.* 76.
To	*do.*	*dated* 25*th*	*do.*	„ 58.
To	*do.*	*dated* 18*th*	*do.*	„ 45.
From	*do.*	*dated* 30*th Decr.*	1859	„ 218.
		1 *Map.*		

2. Mr. Shubrick the Collector at first submitted a plan which had the defect of cutting up the táluqs unnecessarily, with a view to make rivers the boundaries; but he now quite agrees with me that the division proposed by me is as good as any that could be suggested, and will produce the least amount of disturbance. This arrangement will divide but one táluq, the Peddapollium, which is inconveniently situated for amalgamation with another táluq entire.

3. The arrangement now proposed by Mr. Shubrick on my suggestion, and which (if the Sattivédu táluq of North Arcot is not to be given to Chingleput district) I would beg to recommend, is the following. The new táluqs are shewn on the map by a black =o= line. Mr. Shubrick proposes to call the united Chingleput táluq by that name, but as the kasba is to be, as at present, at Tirukalikundrum, the táluq had perhaps better receive, as in the other instances, the name of its kasba. Mr. Shubrick proposes also to fix Saidapettah as the kasba of the new táluq of that name. Punamalli would be more central, but perhaps Saidapettah will be the most suitable station for the Tahsildar's head quarters.

Statement shewing the arrears and revenues of the five táluqs newly proposed for the district of Chingleput.

Táluqs.	No. of villages.	Area in sq. miles.	Revenue of Fasli 1268. Rs.	A.	P.	Population.
Madrautakam táluq.						
Karaugúli...	386	650	1,66,811	15	3	88,227
Utramallúr...	177		1,39,566	8	9	47,324
Total...	563		3,06,378	8	0	1,35,551
Striperambattúr táluq.						
Kanjeveram...	264	500	1,52,482	14	11	84,786
Manimangalum...	200		1,41,036	4	7	46,074
Total...	464		2,93,519	3	6	1,30,860
* *Chingleput táluq.*						
Chingleput...	266	470	1,10,612	5	7	52,622
Tiruporúr...	178		75,792	0	4	35,474
Total...	444		1,86,404	5	11	88,096
Saidapettah táluq.						
Saidapettah...	203	558	94,272	7	9	58,682
Púnamalli...	147		94,018	14	5	41,775
Peddapollium (part)...	160		1,12,815	3	11	50,177
Total...	510		3,01,106	10	1	1,50,634
Ponnári táluq.						
Nagar...	298	622	1,67,909	9	6	72,471
Peddapollum (part)...	81		59,377	11	8	18,687
Total...	379		2,27,287	5	2	91,158
Grand Total...	2,360	2,800	13,14,696	0	8	5,96,299

* NOTE.—Or Tirukalikundrum.

4. But there is the question of the Sattivédu táluq of the North Arcot district which in my letter, dated 20th December, I suggested might be transferred to Chingleput and incorporated in the Ponnári* táluq. This would increase the size of Ponnári by about 300 miles it seems, and give that táluq an area in all about 900 square miles, which, however, includes the water area of the Púlicat lake. The revenue would be increased only by about ½ a lac of Rupees. Mr. Shubrick, however, now suggests that the Sattivédu táluq, with part of Peddapollium should be formed into a new táluq, but I did not contemplate that proposition, if the táluq was transferred to Chingleput. All that I should propose would be to cut off the tonque of land running into Sattivédu from the new Ponnári and Saidapettah táluqs, by the line which I have marked on the map in a red o o o line.

* *Púlicat, see paragraphs* 11, 12, 13, *letter to Government, dated 20th December* 1859, *No.* 131.

5. If Sattivédu is to form a separate táluq of a respectable size by the addition of part or the whole of Peddapollium, the question (as no saving will then be obtained) will arise whether it should be transferred to Chingleput or remain in North Arcot. I will briefly note the arguments that occur to me in favor of either proposition.

6. The arguments for transferring Sattivédu to Chingleput are. That it formerly belonged to that district: that it will be united with a large portion of a táluq still appertaining to Chingleput: that it is much closer and more conveniently situated with reference to the head quarters of this district than it is to Chittúr: that it is quite isolated by zamíndári territory from other parts of North Arcot, and that though time may have reconciled the people of the existing táluq of Sattivédu to the inconvenience of distance, yet it would be imposing a hardship on the people of the Peddapollium portion of the new táluq, to place them under similar inconveniences; and that the district of Chingleput is much smaller than North Arcot, and, therefore, to deprive it of more territory to be given to the larger, rather than to take from the larger to increase the smaller is wrong policy. In favor of retaining Sattivédu in North Arcot, I can adduce no arguments but two—1st, that it forms part of the district 2nd, that as the Zamíndári of Calastry is under the jurisdiction of the Magistrate of North Arcot, it may be more convenient for police purposes to have a Magisterial officer subject to the same authority located in Sattivédu as strongly urged by Mr. Robinson. The Government will have to decide the question, but to my mind the re-transfer of Sattivédu to Chingleput appears the proper course.

7. And if the Government have no objection to make a separate and additional táluq, I would certainly recommend in preference to any other division, that Sattivédu be joined with Peddapollium; and the Nagar, (or Ponnári) táluq remain as it is, without the addition of part of Peddapollium. The new táluq of Peddapollium and Sattivédu united should be called Trivalúr, where the kasba should still be. This arrangement though it will increase the number of táluqs originally suggested, will no doubt make the Saidapettah táluq more compact, and be otherwise a desirable arrangement. But I do not think Peddapollium should be transferred to North Arcot. The exact position of Sattivédu is not well ascertained, but it has been approximately shewn on the map of North Arcot now before Goverament.

8. The proper division of the boundaries between North Arcot and Chingleput is not yet decided, but I will see to its immediate settlement. No changes but such as are evidently necessary will be allowed.

9. The names of the five proposed táluqs are written in pencil, until the decision of Government is known, when they will be written in ink in their appropriate names.

No. 43. ORDER THEREON, 17th January 1860, No. 79.

In this letter Mr. Pelly reports the new arrangement which he proposes of the táluqs of Chingleput.

2. This small district is now divided into ten táluqs; Mr. Pelly proposes six, including the small táluq of Sattivédu ordered to be transferred from North Arcot to Chingleput. This arrangement will not involve the dividing of any existing táluq; it has the concurrence of the

Collector. The Government approve of the plan; they fully agree with Mr. Pelly that the new táluq to be formed by the union of Sattivédu and Peddapollium should belong to Chingleput and not to North Arcot.

3. The táluqs as thus re-arranged will be as follow.

Táluqs.	Kasbas.	Of what táluqs formed.	Areas in square miles.	Revenue of Fasli 1268.	Population.
Madrautakam...	Madrautakam...	Karaugúli...	650	1,66,812	88,227
		Utramallúr... ...		1,39,567	47,324
Striperambattúr.	Striperambattúr	Kanjeveram.....	500	1,52,483	84,786
		Manimangalum.		1,41,036	46,074
Chingleput.....	Tirukalikundrum.....	Chingleput... ...	470	1,10,612	52,622
		Tiruporúr.....		75,792	35,474
Saidapettah.....	Saidapettah... ...	Saidapettah... ...	355	94,272	58,682
		Punamalli..		94,019	41,775
Ponnári.....	Ponnári.....	Nagar...	540	1,67,910	72,471
Trivalúr....	Trivalúr...	Peddapollium...	585	1,72,192	68,864
		Sattivédu		56,197	37,826

4. The Government are of opinion that the táluq formed by uniting Chingleput and Tiruporúr should be called Chingleput, though the kasba is to be at Tirukalikundrum.

5. The boundary between the Districts of North Arcot and Chingleput will be settled by Mr. Pelly in communication with the Collectors of the two districts, and the result will be reported for the approval of Government.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Sir. I have the honor to ack ne
of your letter of 15 Inst.
In your letter you inform
me the arrangement of
the Talugs of the Champlain
District, I make 5 Talugs
and of the existing 10 Talugs.
Your plan is based upon
the principle of making
the rivers Boundaries and
take the boundaries of
the northern & southern
Talugs - and dividing
the area between them Sir.
in 3 Talugs - but
in special and said cases
there is no necessity for
have the rivers as Talug
boundaries, and it is
better, when it can be con-
veniently arranged, to
keep the existing Talugs
entire as the Act to break
up ~~the~~ several Talugs and divide
them among other Talugs: and
in a separate memo
to Act I have given my
opinion that rivers are
not to be discarded as boun

transferred.

4 Be so good as to state how the comparative opinion as to advantages ~~education~~ of marking the Coast of the Carpentaria Valley at the towns of Coen. Princess and Maini. Jungalum. and if it is fixed at the latter place whether it would not be better to call the new Valley by the name of the Coast.

5 And for the same reason be so good as to inform me if there are any objections to changing the Carpentaria Valley by the name of the Coast Mountains.

6 Are there sufficient transfers of North Coast to Chrystetus & Port Coast. Some of them changes are no doubt proper. but when no great advantages are likely to accrue by such transfers, it is not desirable that

Upon transferring the whole of Pormairy. The distance from the greater part of the Valley to Pormairy would be about the same as to Coomamalla. and as Coomamalla is on the direct road to Mackay the rich would spend their money, spend their means, & reconcile the plan.

3. Shame marked in a double pencil line portion that stretches no might be present Pormairy, but Jan will be so good as to consider the coast Comment boundary, Shame it correctly marked on the map. was altered; and a statement of the villages with the reasons

to take in two districts
I am careful reconsideration in ~~corresponde~~ communication with the Collector of N. Ar. ~~Ct.~~ and that you will not perform any changes unless for obvious & good reasons. and when you have decided on this point carefully you should ~~instruct~~ know the pertinent changes in the map.

8. State the opportunities I expect you will make Dr Ley & examine again the areas pointed of the Valleys - especially the area of Canjeeram which put down as 440 Sq. miles & its adjoining Valley Manimpalam the area of which is stated as 220 Sq. miles. There is not apparently much difference in the size of the two Valleys. I am Gentlemen

9

my general views on the
subject of the future
improvement of the tobacco
of Charles Town district,
and you will oblige me
by completing them by
the transmission of ca.
inclosed maps and
statements - and I
trust you will be able
to do this in the course of
a few days. I shall
write to the Collector of H. Ca.
not to direct his vessels
to use no land settling
the future boundaries
of the two districts. In
deputing on this point,
you should refer to any
previous correspondence
on the subject -

Copy soon

JP

98-71-191

Rev D

No 58

To The Collector of Chingleput

Dated 25th

Desp. 26 Decr 59.

Rec'd 17th Jany 60 No 79

Rep'd 60.

In Cons - 20 Jany 60 No 42

See Disp.

Passing a few remarks on the arrangements proposed by the Coll.r for the division of Talugs; stating his own opinions on that subject, and lastly calling upon the Coll.r to give it his careful consideration and settle the future boundaries of the Talugs without loss of time

To Chingleput 27th Dec 59 No 258

Rec'd & put on file 2nd Jany 60

No 145

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 17th Jany 1860.

Read the following letter from C. Pelly Esqr Member of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting his report on the rearrangement of the Talugs of the Chingleput District

(Here enter 3rd Jany 1860 No 145

In this letter Mr Pelly reports the new arrangement which he proposes of the Talugs of Chingleput

2 This Small District is now subdivided into ten Talugs; Mr Pelly proposes six, including the Small Talug of Sattoveda ordered to be transferred from North Arcot to Chingleput. This arrangement will not involve the dividing of any existing Talug; it has the concurrence of the Collr. The Govt approve of the plan; they fully agree with Mr Pelly that the new Talug to be formed by the union of Sattiveda & Pedalapellium should belong to Chingleput & not to North Arcot.

re-arranged

3 The Talugs as thus ~~formed~~ will be as follows

Talugs	Kaslas	Of what Talugs formed	Areas in Sqre Miles	Rev. of Fusly 1268	Population
Madrantakam	Madrantakam	Karanguli	650	166,812	88,227
	Karai	Uttramallur		139567	47,324
Sriperumbattur	Sriperumbattur	Karanjeiramanu	500	152,483	84,780
	Pattur	Manimangalam		141,030	46,074
Chingleput	Tirukalikundram	Chingleput	470	110,012	52,622
	Karai	Tirupporur		75,792	35,474
Tindivanam	Tindivanam	Tandapottah	355	94,272	38,052
		Punamallee		94019	41,775
Vanoor	Vanoor	Vanoor	540	157,910	72,471
Tirukalur	Tirukalur	Vedalipottiram	585	172,192	88,864
		Settiveedu		50197	37,820

4 The Govt are of opinion that the Talugs formed by uniting Chingleput & Tirupporur should be called Chingleput, though the Cutcherry is to be at Tirukalikundram.

5 The boundary between

the Districts of North Arcot & Chingleput will be settled by Mr Pelly in communication with the Collectors of the two Districts, & the result will be reported for the approval of Govt

4 Copies to Pelly, Esqre Dispd 18th
4 Copies to the Dy of Revs
10 " " Chief Engrs
Copy to Judl Depts 2 Chief

Copy in a Letter to England
D/ 6th March 60 No. 21

837

Revenue 1860 Dept.
Pro: of Govt. 20th January

No. 79.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.

Dated 17th January 1860.

From Mr Pelly
D/ 28th March 1860
C. No. 800 in Pro.
D/ 11th April 60 No. 813

Passing orders on
the re-arrangement
of the Telugu in Chingl.
Dept.

From Mr Pelly D/ 18th May 1861
C. No. 1196 in Pro.

No. 42 & 43.