

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 769

Press
Mis.

30-31

Dated

14-5-1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
for the month of May 1860.*

No. 30. Read the following Petition from AMINI BI, Inámdár of Dokiparru, Sivar,
Toroyapáleyam in the Vinna Kotta Parganá, Masulipatam :—

*Complains of the unjust decision of the Deputy
Collector A. Shéshayar on the subject of her
Inám lands.* } (Here enter 1st March 1860, No. 772.)

No. 31.

ORDER THEREON, 14th May 1860, No. 769.

1. This Petitioner states that she is in possession of a certain Inám in the village of Dokiparru, in the Vinna Kotta Parganá of Masulipatam District, which has been in the enjoyment of her late husband and his ancestors for many years; and that she holds it in succession to her husband, jointly with a Nephew of her husband. She further states that one Shekh Husain having preferred a claim, to a portion of the Inám, the claim was investigated and rejected by the Collector Mr. Knox; and she submits a duly authenticated copy of Mr. Knox's Order. She goes on to say that the said Shekh Husain having preferred his claim to Deputy Collector Shéshayar, that Officer, notwithstanding her long possession and the decision of Mr. Knox in her favor, entered the name of the said Shekh Husain in the Register and in the Title Deed, as a joint owner; and that notwithstanding several Petitions presented by her to the Inám Commissioner and to his Special Assistants, Mr. Marcar and Rangachárlu, she has obtained no redress. She also submits an Order passed by Mr. Marcar, confirming Shéshayar's decision.

2. The Government resolve to transmit the Petition and enclosures to the Inám Commissioner for early and full report. If the Inám was, as it appears, in the enjoyment of the Petitioner and her Nephew, and registered in their names, the Deputy Collector altogether exceeded his powers and departed from the line of his duty, in entering the name of another person in the Register and the Title Deed; even if there had not been a previous decision by the Collector adverse to the claim of that other person. Mr. Taylor will be called on to explain the Order passed by Shéshayar, as well as that passed by Mr. Marcar; his attention will be called also to the Petitioner's allegation that she petitioned himself also, but without success.

3. He will also be directed to report to Government whether there are any other cases in which any of the Deputy Collectors have taken on themselves to determine the right to Ináms, as between persons in possession and other persons.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

*Summarized and verified
filed*

No 769

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 14th May 1860.

inamdar
Aminu-
Toroyapalayam

Read the following
Petition from <sup>Aminu-
Bt.</sup> inamdar of Dokiparam, Taluk
Toroyapallam in the Vinna
Kottah Parganah. Mam-
lipatam.

Complains of the unjust decision
of the Deputy Collector of ^{Gushikah} (here entered March 1857)
in the subject of her Inam
lands.

Sheshayar

Pargana

ll-

RM

Shesh

ghe

This Petitioner states
that she is in possession of
a certain Inam in the
Village of Dokiparam, in
the Vinna Kottah Parganah
of Mamlipatam District,
which has been in the enjoy-
ment of her late husband
and his ancestors for many
years, and that she holds
it in succession to her hus-
band, jointly with a
nephew of her husband.
She further states that one
Shanku Houshain having pre-
ferred a claim to a por-
tion of the Inam, the
claim was investigated
and rejected by the
Collector Mr Knox, and
she submits a duly authen-
ticated

licated copy of Mr. Knox's order. She goes on to say that the said Shauk Hainsain having preferred his claim to Deputy Collector Sheshayar, that Officer, notwithstanding her long possession and the decision of Mr. Knox in her favor, entered the name of the said Shauk Hainsain in the Register and in the title deed, as a joint owner, and that notwithstanding several petitions presented by her to the Inam Commissioner and to his Special Assistants Mr. Marcar and Rangachar, she has obtained no redress. She also submits an order passed by Mr. Marcar, confirming Mr. Sheshayar's decision.

2. The Government resolve to transmit the Petition and enclosures to the Inam Commissioner for early and full report. If the Inam was, as it appears, in the enjoyment of the Petitioner and her nephew, and registered in their names, the Deputy Collector altogether exercised his powers and departed from the line

of his duty, in entering the name of another person in the Register and the title deed, even if there had not been a previous decision by the Collector adverse to the claim of that ^{other} person. Mr. Taylor will be called on to explain the order passed by Sheshayar, as well as that passed by Mr. Marcar, his attention will be called also to the Petitioner's allegation that she petitioned himself also, without success.

3. He will also be directed to report to Government whether there are any other cases in which any of the Deputy Collectors have taken on themselves to determine the right to Inams, as between persons in possession and other persons.

JHA

To the Inam Commr. 20/10/5

4 Copies to the Inam Commissioner, Dist. B. with Mr. S. S. (M. S. / M. S.)

1 - Mr. Dep. Secy. B. S.

Mr. S. S. Each sent to Mr. Dep. with Dr. No. 1178-17 July 1900

Received by
of Govt 20th May
21/769.

of the Madras Govt
27th May/60.

Mr. J. J. Lane Esq. M. A.

From the Commission of
3rd July 1856 in No. 17 of
1st 1178

of the Madras Govt

Commission of Investigation
concerning of the sugar
cession of the Deputy Col
Dutty on the subject
of her known lands, been
submitted to the Govt as
Commissioner for report.

For immediate Submits -