M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 755. Press ~~Mis.~~

1-2

Dated 11-5- 1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 1. Read the following letter from E. B. THOMAS, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Utakamand, 13th April 1860, No. 11:—

1. I have the honor to submit copy of letter from my Head Assistant, Mr. Carr, dated 23rd ultimo, claiming Deputation Allowance for the period he was in charge of the Sub-Collectorate and performed the duties of that Division, minus the first month.

2. Agreeably to the Orders and Rules quoted by Mr. Carr, his claim for Deputation Allowance appear well grounded, but I am not aware why it was disallowed. I would request the Government, after the explanation given by Mr. Carr, be pleased to take his case into favorable consideration, and authorize the Civil Auditor to pass his Bill for the Deputation Allowance.

From F. C. CARR, Esq., Head Assistant Collector, in charge of the Sub-Division, Coimbatore, to E. B. THOMAS, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated Parindooray, 23rd March 1860.

I have the honor to request that you will submit the following case for the consideration of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

On the 3d November when Mr. Roberts left the District, I, by your Orders, dated November 1st, assumed charge of the Sub-Collector's Office, which I held until my departure to Salem, and have subsequently resumed. After the expiration of 60 days, I sent in an Abstract for Deputation Allowance for one month for one-fifth of the Sub-Collector's salary: (no Deputation Allowance being claimable for the 1st month under paragraph 88, * *Civil Auditor's Manual.* chapter II.*) This was returned to me unaudited with an Order, dated 13th February 1860, No. 225, stating that the "Governor in Council observes that claims to Deputation Allowance are admissable only when the Officers concerned have been regularly appointed to Office by Government."

I would respectfully submit that this Order is opposed to the spirit of that of the Madras Government, 29th June 1844, No. 568, as entered in the Civil Auditor's Manual, chapter II, paragraph 87, which specifies that no distinction is made between an Officer *designated as Officiating* and one *in charge only* of an appointment not his own.

This Order, I believe, entitles me to Deputation Allowance. I was in charge of the Sub-Collectorate and performed the entire duties of that Division, and I would further submit that the expression "*in charge of*" used in the Order above quoted, is never applied to an Officer deputed by Government to occupy another appointment, the expression then used being invariably "*Officiating*" *or* "*Acting.*"

Claims such as mine have previously been passed by the Civil Auditor, under precisely similar circumstances within my own knowledge, both in this District and in the neighbouring one of Salem, and in one case, I may remark that this claim, although not preferred for more than a year afterwards, through the Officer's ignorance of the Rule above quoted, was passed by the Civil Auditor without demur.

I once more request that you will submit this letter with any remarks you may be kind enough to add to it.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 11th May 1860, No. 755.

Referred to the Civil Auditor for report.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

No 755

Referred to the
Civil Auditor for report

Fort St. George 11th May 1860.

Copies to the Civil Auditor with part Orig. Rec.

Diff. 12

List

R 20th Dep to No. 24-25.

From Mr. J. C. Carr &
19th September to Oct. 1859.

No 1 to 2.

1860 Rev. Department.
Rec'd from the Collector of Coimbatore 20th May No. 787

To, The Chief Secretary to Government Fort St. George

Dated 13th April 1860
Read 17th "
No. 11

With one enclosure.

Forwards Copy of letter from Mr. Carr claiming deputation allowance for the period he was in charge of the Sub Division & requests that his case may be favourably considered & that the Civil Auditor authorized to pass his Bill.

From the Civil Auditor
Dy. 28 May to Cir. No. 1178
with Pro 14 June No 164-168.
No 755-11th May
With an order