M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 71	Press ~~Mis.~~
23-24	
Dated 14 — 1 — 1860.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 23. Read the following letter from J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, dated 12th January 1860, No. 154.

Proceedings to Government, 24th November 1859, *No.* 4810.
From do. 5th in Consultation, 7th January 1860, *No.* 23.
* *In Consultation 10th January* 1860, *No.* 124.
Principal Sadr Amín's Court in the Zillah of Chingleput.
O. S. No. 37 *of* 1858.
Plaintiff.
Kavelli Vencata Narainapah.
Versus.
B. Cunliffe, Esq., Collector of Madras.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith a letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 7th* January 1860, stating that the Plaintiff in O. S. No. 37 of 1858, (particularized in the margin) has appealed to the Civil Court, and that a notice was served on the Collector on the 22nd ultimo to file an answer by the 24th idem.

2. Mr. Cunliffe immediately applied for further time to the 24th instant, and now submits his draft answer for approval.

3. The question at issue in the original suit was the right of Government to levy quit rent on a piece of land in Comaleswaran Pettah, belonging to plaintiff, the assessment on which he maintained was payable to the Comaleswaran Pagoda. The decision of the Principal Sadr Amín was submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings of 24th November last.

4. Dissatisfied with this decision the plaintiff has applied to the Civil Court and the Collector proposes to reiterate the arguments contained in his original answer, viz., that the land in question is liable to quit rent and not lakheraj under Section II Act XII 1851.

5. The Board approve of the answer and recommend that the Collector may be authorized to file it.

6. The appellant alludes in his appeal petition to the arrears collected from him, but this subject requires no further notice, as the amount was paid into the lower Court and adjusted in the decree of the Principal Sadr Amín.

No. 24. ORDER THEREON, 14th January 1860, No. 71.

The Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Madras to file the answer proposed to be made by him in the appeal suit No. 174 of 1859 instituted by Kavelli Vencata Narainapah in the Civil Court of Chingleput.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

the decree of the Principal Sudr Ameen.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
12th January, 1800.

Secretary.

To
T. Pycroft Esq.
Chief Secretary to Government.

Compared
C. Burton

Recd: 10th January 60 No 49-50

Copy pd a Letter to Engr
of 2d Feby 61 No. 28.

Rev: 1860 Department.

No. 97.

From
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Dated 12th
Desp. 12th } January 1860.
Rec. 12th
E. Dary Clerk

Rev. 9th No. 57 th Dy 2d
Jan 60 Cr 2496.

Submitting a communication from the Collector of Madras reporting that the Plaintiff in O.S. No. 37 of 1858 has appealed to the Civil Court of Chingleput against the decision of the Principal Sudr Ameen and recommending that the Collector be authorized to file the answer proposed by him-

No. 71. 14th January 60.

W. Mauritius

No. 71.

The Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Madras to file the answer proposed to be made by him in the appeal Suit No. 1714 of 1859 instituted by Nandella Venkata Narainapah in the Civil Court of Chingleput

Copy S. Genl 14 Jany 60
M.S. to the
Board of Rev. Dy No 14
with 2 Encls
J. Pl. 2 to do — 17

No 23 + 24-